Conjunctival tumour as the primary manifestation of infectious mononucleosis in a 12 year old girl

EDITOR,—Infectious mononucleosis (IM), a common disease in childhood, is an acute lymphoproliferative disease caused by Epstein–Barr virus (EBV), a member of the herpes virus family. The association of EBV infection with benign and malignant lymphoproliferative diseases, as well as its role in the pathogenesis of nasopharyngeal carcinoma, is well known.1,2 The course of IM is usually self limiting but can be extremely variable, ranging from asymptomatic to a fatal outcome. Fever, pharyngitis, lymphadenopathy, and hepatosplenomegaly are typical systemic manifestations. Essential for the diagnosis is an increase in relative and absolute numbers of lymphocytes and monocytes, including 20–40% atypical forms. Occasional involvement of IM is unusual and occurs often as a mild unilateral follicular conjunctivitis simultaneously with these other symptoms.3 We describe a girl with a conjunctival tumour as the first manifestation of IM; systemic symptoms of the disease occurred some days later.

CASE REPORT
A previously healthy 12 year old girl with a 10 day history of a painless red left eye was treated with antibiotic eye drops. Despite these, a unilateral conjunctival swelling developed, and the girl was admitted for further ophthalmological consultation. On examination, a 5 × 5 mm red coloured mass in the upper nasal conjunctiva of the left eye was observed. The globe was not displaced, the ocular motility and visual acuity were normal, and funduscopy was unremarkable. At this time, there were no palpable cervical lymph nodes. An excisional biopsy of the conjunctival epithelium. The infiltrate mainly consisted of large polyclonal B immunoblasts, smaller mixed lymphocytes and polytypical plasma cells. Occasional immunoblasts, particularly those close to necrotic areas, expressed the latency B protein of the EBV (CS–1–4) (Fig 2) and were positive for the EBV related proteins PE2 and BZ1. In addition, in situ hybridisation for EBV encoded early nuclear RNA (EBER) showed positive reaction in some immunoblasts. The growth fraction of the infiltrating cells was 60–80%, using the antibody Ki-67. On the basis of the conventional histology and immunohistology, in particular of the polyclonal nature of the immunoblasts and plasma cells as well as the demonstration of EBV infection, a diagnosis of IM involvement of the conjunctiva could be made; a malignant lymphoma was excluded. During the subsequent several weeks, all symptoms and signs of the IM resolved spontaneously.

COMMENT
Manifestations of IM in ocular tissues are rare, with the conjunctiva being the commonest tissue involved and then usually in the form of a follicular conjunctivitis.4 In general, the conjunctivitis occurs in parallel with the systemic symptoms of IM described above. Conjunctival involvement in the form of a swelling or a tumour has been reported previously.5–7 These occurred in 11, 38, and 14 year old patients, respectively, with the latter case being similar to an acute EBV infection. The initial pathological findings by a lymphoma referral centre was under- taken. An extensive lymphocytic infiltrate and large necrotic areas were seen below an ulcerated conjunctival epithelium. The infiltrate showed mildly enlarged para-saorl lymph nodes. The peripheral blood count revealed a relative and absolute lymphocytosis (WBC 13 × 10⁹/l, 76% lymphocytes) with 33% atypical lymphocytes. Elevated levels of lactate dehydrogenase (658 U/l), aspartate aminotransferase (79 U/l), alanine aminotransferase (151 U/l), and bilirubin (1.1 mg/dl) were also detected. Serological tests confirmed the clinical diagnosis of an acute EBV infection. Re-evaluation of the pathological findings by a lymphoma referral centre was under- taken. An extensive lymphocytic infiltrate and large necrotic areas were seen below an ulcerated conjunctival epithelium. The infiltrate showed mildly enlarged para-saorl lymph nodes. An excisional biopsy of the conjunctiva was performed. The initial histological diagnosis made was malignant lymphoma. This diagnosis could be subsequently refuted on the basis of the immunohistochemistry and in situ hybridisation which demonstrated a polyclonal lymphocytic infiltrate and the detection of EBV associated antigens on the surface and within the nucleus of the infiltrating cells. The subsequent clinical progression with a self limiting course and the positive serology for EBV support the diagnosis of a lymphoproliferative process associated with an acute EBV infection and infectious mononucleosis. In conclusion, infectious mononucleosis should be considered in the differential diagnosis in patients with conjunctival lymphoid tumours.
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An iris foreign body can occasionally pose a diagnostic challenge, especially in the absence of a history of ocular trauma. We present a case of an iris foreign body that resembled a melanoma, in which ultrasound biomicroscopy (UBM) was instrumental in the diagnosis.

CASE REPORT
In June 1999, a 70 year old man was found on routine examination to have a brown lesion in the inferior part of his left iris. Two months later the lesion showed a possible increase in size, prompting a referral to the oncology service of the Wills Eye Hospital to rule out iris melanoma.

His visual acuity was 20/20 in both eyes and the intraocular pressures were normal. There was no melanocytosis, heterochromia, corneal pigmentation, corneal scar, endothelial defect, cells in the anterior chamber, or pupillary abnormalities. There was an irregular brown lesion in the left iris inferiorly, measuring 2 × 1 mm in diameter (Fig 1A). There were a few light brown refractile deposits on the surface of the lesion. Gonioscopy showed the lesion extending onto the trabecular meshwork without trabecular seeding but with adjacent peripheral anterior synechiae (Fig 1B). There was no intrinsic vascularity, feeder vessel, iris or angle neovascularisation, or pigment dusting. The lens was clear and the fundus in each eye was normal.

Although the lesion superficially resembled a melanoma, we felt it could be a foreign body based on the presence of focal brown deposits over the lesion, which resembled rust particles. On repeated specific questioning, the patient recalled trauma to the left eye over 50 years ago. He was unaware of the foreign body and could not recall ocular trauma. However, a defect in Descemet’s membrane was noted in the upper part of cornea just off the pupillary axis (Fig 2A). Subsequent slit lamp biomicroscopy with high magnification confirmed the minute defect in Descemet’s membrane. Electoretinography was not performed because there was no clinical evidence of siderosis.

COMMENT
Several conditions can simulate iris melanoma. In a study from the Armed Forces Institute of Pathology, Ferry reported 24 pseudomelanomas among 69 eyes that were enucleated for suspected iris melanoma, a diagnostic error of 35%. The lesions that most commonly simulated iris melanoma in his series included anterior staphyloma, inflammatory mass, iris stromal atrophy, and corneal perforation. Additionally, he noted one enucleated eye with a metallic foreign body misdiagnosed as an iris melanoma. In a clinical study of 200 patients referred for an iris lesion suspected to be melanoma, Shields and associates found that 76% were pseudomelanomas. Iris foreign body accounted for 4.5% of pseudomelanomas in that series, and in each instance, the patient was unaware of the foreign body and could not recall ocular trauma. However, a defect in Descemet’s membrane was detected on slit lamp biomicroscopy in each case.

Diagnosis of a foreign body may sometimes be difficult in the absence of a history of injury, especially when slit lamp biomicroscopy of the anterior segment does not reveal any sign of ocular trauma. Imaging modalities such as computed tomography and ultrasonography may help in such situations. However, magnetic resonance imaging is contraindicated in cases where a ferromagnetic foreign body is suspected. Standard B-scan ultrasonography has been replaced at many centres by UBM for the evaluation of anterior segment foreign bodies. We used UBM to confirm our clinical impression of an iris foreign body in this case. In addition to characterising the foreign body, UBM detected a subtle irregularity in Descemet’s membrane.

It is of interest that a seemingly metallic foreign body could remain inert for 50 years without causing ocular inflammation, siderosis or secondary glaucoma, which prompted us to choose to observe this patient rather than to intervene surgically. When the ophthalmologist is confronted with a dark iris lesion, an intraocular foreign body is a possibility, irrespective of a negative history of trauma. Slit lamp biomicroscopy coupled with gonioscopy provides excellent diagnostic clues. We believe that UBM is a sensitive imaging technique for confirmation of the diagnosis.
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Figure 1 (A) Anterior segment photograph showing a dark brown lesion in the iris inferiorly (white arrow). (B) Gonioscopy photograph showing the lesion in the iris with superficial rust coloured deposits. Note the adjacent peripheral anterior synechiae.

Figure 2 (A) Ultrasound biomicroscopy image showing a highly reflective intraocular foreign body over the peripheral iris and extending into the angle recess (white arrow). The foreign body shadows the underlying iris. (B) Ultrasound biomicroscopy image showing a discontinuity of Descemet’s membrane (white arrow).

Optical coherence tomography findings in early solar retinopathy

EDITOR,—Visual deterioration caused by watching a solar eclipse has been recognised from the time of Plato. The etiology of solar retinopathy has been attributed to photochemical effects, which may be enhanced by elevated tissue temperature. Typically, a small yellow spot may be noted in the foveolar area immediately after exposure. Histopathological studies of solar retinal lesions revealed retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) and photoreceptor damage. We present two patients with acute, severe solar retinopathy after observation of the total eclipse on 11 August 1999. Funduscopy findings were accompanied by optical coherence tomography (OCT) investigation of the macula.

CASE REPORTS
Case 1
An 18 year old man presented 24 hours after watching the total eclipse without appropriate protection. Total exposure time was approximately 20 minutes. During direct viewing the patient kept his right eye closed. One hour after observation he noted blurred vision and a central scotoma on the left eye. Best corrected visual acuity was 0.8 in the right and 0.1 in the left eye. Fundus examination of the right eye did not show any pathology. Funduscopy of the left eye revealed a yellow lesion in the fovea, surrounded by a circular red area
area in the centre of the fovea was demonstrated in both eyes. Similar to case 1, all layers of the fovea were affected. Retinal thickness (110 µm/118 µm) was within the normal range.

COMMENT

Solar retinopathy is characterised by a yellow foveolar dot and a central scotoma. For the first time we describe OCT findings of patients suffering from solar retinopathy. The main finding was a hyperreflective area involving all foveal retinal layers without showing any sign of retinal oedema. It correlated in size and location with the characteristic funduscopically visible yellow dot. At present, the origin of this well delineated area of hyperreflectivity is unclear. Moreover, histopathological analysis of solar retinopathy has demonstrated that mainly the pigment epithelium and outer segments of the photoreceptor layer are damaged. The OCT scan however showed pathological appearance of all retinal layers. In one patient we have been able to repeat OCT investigation 9 days after solar exposure, revealing that the retinal changes were reversing of a hyperreflective area in the fovea a

Figure 2 (A) OCT examination of the same eye 48 hours after exposure. OCT examination of the right eye showed no pathology. In the left eye, a hyperreflective area in the fovea was found (Fig 2A). All retinal layers in the fovea were affected. The hyperreflective area closely resembled the yellow spot seen funduscopically. There was no significant difference in retinal thickness between the right and the left eye (106 µm/110 µm). Nine days after exposure visual acuity was 0.8 in the right eye and 0.16 in the left eye. Funduscopy showed the yellow lesion of the left fovea resolving and its margins began to fade. An OCT scan revealed that the previously hyperreflective foveal area converted to a normal reflectivity (Fig 2B).

Case 2

A 26 year old woman complained of blurred vision and central scotoma after watching the eclipse without eye protection. Total exposure time was 5 minutes. Forty eight hours after exposure visual acuity was 1.0 in the right and 0.8 in the left eye. Fundus examination showed a small yellow round lesion in the fovea of both eyes. On OCT, a hyperreflective area was found in both eyes. Visual acuity increased from 0.1 to 0.16. Still no change in retinal thickness occurred.

Figure 1 Acute stage of solar retinopathy showing a yellow lesion surrounded by a circular red area (patient 1, left eye).

(A) OCT was performed 48 hours after exposure. OCT examination of the right eye showed no pathology. In the left eye, a hyperreflective area in the fovea was found (Fig 2A). All retinal layers in the fovea were affected. The hyperreflective area closely resembled the yellow spot seen funduscopically. There was no significant difference in retinal thickness between the right and the left eye (106 µm/110 µm). Nine days after exposure visual acuity was 0.8 in the right eye and 0.16 in the left eye. Funduscopy showed the yellow lesion of the left fovea resolving and its margins began to fade. An OCT scan revealed that the previously hyperreflective foveal area converted to a normal reflectivity (Fig 2B).
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Granulomatous uveitis, CREST syndrome, and primary biliary cirrhosis

Erlston,—There is a well known link between different autoimmune diseases. Up to 84% of patients with primary biliary cirrhosis (PBC) may have at least one other autoimmune disease, such as thyroiditis, scleroderma, rheumatoid arthritis, or Sjögren’s syndrome. The association between uveitis and scleroderma although rare is well recognised, and we failed to find a single report of the association of uveitis and CREST syndrome (calcinosis cutis, Raynaud’s phenomenon, oesophageal dismotility, sclerodactyly, and telangiectasia), a more benign variant of scleroderma in the form of limited cutaneous sclerosis. The simultaneous occurrence of PBC and uveitis is probably also very unusual as we could only find one such case report in our literature search. We report the case of a patient with uveitis whose investigation disclosed PBC and CREST.

CASE REPORT

A 48 year old woman with a longstanding history of Raynaud’s phenomenon complained of blurred vision in the right eye over the past 3 months, for which she had not sought medical attention. Ophthalmological examination revealed diminished visual acuity (VA) in the right eye to counting fingers. VA in the left eye was normal. Pupillary light reflexes were normal. Visual field examination showed a temporal defect in the right eye.

Laboratory investigations showed raised serum aspartate aminotransferase (131 U/l, normal 0–40 U/l), alkaline aminotransferase (154 U/l, normal 7–56 U/l) and γ-glutamyl transferase (274 U/l, normal 8–78 U/l), and alkaline phosphatase (471 U/l, normal 38–126 U/l). Serum bilirubin, calcium, and angiotensin converting enzyme levels were normal. The serum albumin was also normal (38.6 g/l) as was the prothrombin time (12.4 seconds). The serum IgM was 3.66 g/l (normal 0.60–2.63 g/l) whereas IgG and IgA levels were normal. RA test was 6.2 U/ml (normal 0–20 U/ml), Waaler-Rose was negative, and circulating immune complexes were detected at a level of 2.80 mg/l (normal <1.5 mg/l). Complement levels were normal. Antinuclear antibodies were positive (>1:1280) with a speckled pattern. Anticentromere, antimitochondrial (>1:100), and anti-M2 antibodies were also positive. There was no evidence of past or present hepatitis C, or hepatitis B infection. The VDRL was non-reactive and Lyme, toxoplasma, CMV, and HIV serologies were negative. The HLA phenotype was A1, A9, B17, Bw4, Cw4, Cw6, DR5, DR52, DR8, DQ4, DQ7.

A chest x ray was normal and a radiograph of the hands revealed subcutaneous calcinosis. The Mantoux test (2 units) was anergic. There was no evidence of pathergy. The liver biopsy showed stage III PBC.

This patient was treated with ursodeoxycholic acid, calcium, vitamin A and D supplements. Topical corticosteroids and oral prednisolone 60 mg/day were also started. Systemic steroids were tapered to 10 mg/day after 2 months, and then slowly tapered over the next 4 months until they were discontinued. There was a temporary increase in liver enzymes (AST, 167 U/l; ALT, 313 U/l; GGT, 774 U/l) which returned to baseline values soon after steroids were stopped. The uveitis resolved and 5 months later, on no eye medication, she underwent cataract surgery successfully, significantly regaining vision in that eye (corrected visual acuity = 1.0).
COMMENT
In PBC, CREST, and uveitis abnormalities of the humoral and cellular immune systems are common. These include increased levels of serum immunoglobulins, the presence of circulating autoantibodies, increased turnover of complement, the presence of activated T and B cells in the peripheral blood, and impaired T cell regulation. Despite the high frequency of these immunological abnormalities, a definite immunopathogenetic mechanism has not yet been clearly established for any of these disorders. Furthermore, no single mechanism seems to provide a link between these clinical entities. A mouse model for the development of liver damage through the intrahepatic inoculation of mycoplasma-like organisms, which cause chronic uveitis, has recently been developed, but it remains a hypothetical concept. Our case report illustrates an association between ocular and hepatic diseases, which may be entirely coincidental but could, nevertheless, encourage further investigation for a common immunological pathway.
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Vitreous basket sign in dislocation of the lens

EDITOR.—We describe a new ultrasonographic sign dubbed “vitreous basket sign” (looks like a basketball caught at the bottom of a basket) found in eyes with posteriorly dislocated lenses. Identifying this pattern can contribute to the diagnosis and differential diagnosis of dislocated lenses.

We used the Acuson 128x p/o linear array 7 MHz probe instrument.

CASE REPORT
The patient was 65 years old white woman with a painful traumatic blind eye and a large leucoma adherens. Ultrasonographic examination demonstrated a hyperechogenic mass on the retina at the posterior pole, with a posteriorly dragged anterior vitreous face, creating a hypoechoic central tunnel (Fig 1A). The mass and tunnel changed location with ocular movements.

COMMENT
A similar ultrasonographic pattern has been seen in seven eyes of six patients. Dislocated lens or nucleus should always be in the differential diagnosis of an intracocular mass. Abh et al described three cases of pseudophakic patients with dropped nuclei during extracapsular cataract extraction suspected to be choroidal melanomas later.

There are several ultrasonographic signs cited as characteristic of dislocated lenses: a hyperechogenic mass with an oval shape, which can become highly reflective as cataract develops, and vitreal strands adherent to the mass which is mobile and changes location with eye movements.

We believe this particular ultrasonographic sign can be ascribed to the following process. The lens detaches, encounters the anterior vitreous face, and pushes it posteriorly by its weight towards the retina. The anterior vitreous face, vitreous gel, and collagen fibres within the vitreous are pushed back by the lens creating the wall of an aqueous filled tunnel with the lens at its bottom (Fig 1B).

In most cases this sign is easy to demonstrate, and we recommend it as a useful new clue to the ultrasonographic diagnosis of dislocation of the lens.
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Figure 1 (A) B scan of the case presented. Dragged anterior vitreous face (white arrows) creating an empty tunnel above an oval-shaped hyperechogenic mass (black arrow).

(B) Schematic representation of the process of lens dislocation. The anterior vitreous surface is dragged by the dislocated lens towards the retina creating an empty tunnel above it with the dragged anterior vitreous face acting as the wall of the tunnel.

Combined central retinal vein occlusion and cilioretinal artery occlusion in a patient on hormone replacement therapy

EDITOR.—Combined occlusion of the central retinal vein and the central retinal artery or a cilioretinal artery is unusual. There have been many previously reported systemic and ocular associations. The pathogenesis of this condition, however, is not established and remains controversial. Whether the venous obstruction or the arterial blockade acts as the initiating event is unknown. We describe a patient with combined central retinal vein occlusion (RV0) and cilioretinal artery occlusion occurring 6 weeks after starting hormone replacement therapy (HRT). We observed the evolution of the arterial occlusion. This suggests that the initiating event was the RV0.

CASE REPORT
A 46 year old patient presented with a 2 day history of decreased vision in the left eye noticed on waking. She reported no previous visual disturbances. She had been started on cyclical combined HRT 6 weeks before presentation. She was taking no other medication and had previously used the combined oral contraceptive pill with no adverse effects. She was a non-smoker. There was no past or family history of venous thromboembolism.

Visual acuities were right eye 6/5 and left eye 6/60. Right intracocular pressure (IOP) was elevated (22 mm Hg). There was a left relative afferent pupillary defect. Gonioscopy showed open angles. Blood pressure was 140/90 mm

Right eye 6/5 and left eye 6/60. Right intracocular pressure (IOP) was elevated (22 mm Hg). There was a left relative afferent pupillary defect. Gonioscopy showed open angles. Blood pressure was 140/90 mm

Hg. Pulse rate was 72 beats per minute and regular. There was no cardiac murmur and no cervical bruit. On the right there was pathological cupping of the optic disc and venous dilatation (Fig 1). Examination of the left fundus showed dilated, tortuous retinal veins in all four quadrants, with scattered retinal haemorrhages and a swollen, haemorrhagic optic disc. There was retinal whitening in the territory of a cilioretinal artery. No intra-arterial embolus was seen (Figs 1 and 2). She was diagnosed, on clinical grounds, with left combined central retinal vein and cilioretinal artery occlusion and right primary open angle glaucoma.

One week later, the cilioretinal artery had become narrow and irregular in calibre, with fractional interruption of the blood column (Fig 2). Two months after presentation, the pe-rivious haemorrhages and optic disc swelling had resolved, the calibre of the cilioretinal artery had returned to normal (arrow) and most retinal haemorrhages have resolved.

Hormonal profile confirmed the patient’s postmenopausal status. She was managed with timolol 0.25% drops to the right eye. She was advised to discontinue the HRT since a causal relation with the retinal vascular disease could not be excluded. Low dose aspirin was commenced.

COMMENT

The pathogenesis of combined retinal venous and arterial occlusion is not established. Whether the venous obstruction or the arterial blockage acts as the initiating event is unknown.  

Carotid artery disease has never been described as a cause of simultaneous retinal arterial and venous occlusion. Evolution of the arterial occlusion has been documented in this case. This suggests that the initiating event was the RVO, with subsequent stagnation of blood flow, elevation of intraluminal capillary pressure caused by a patent central retinal artery and ultimately cilioretinal artery occlusion secondary to the high intravascular pressure.

There have been many reported systemic and ocular associations of combined retinal arterial and venous occlusion including temporal arteritis, migrane, systemic lupus erythematosus, lupus anticoagulant, syphilis, optic neuritis, and various causes of retinal vasculitis. Relevant investigations excluded any of these as an underlying cause. Elevated IOP probably plays some part in the development of some central RVOs. Left IOP was normal at presentation but elevated IOP may have preceded the RVO. RVO has been reported in association with the oral contraceptive pill. In one study, five of 588 patients who had sustained RVOs were HRT users, but four of the five had other potential risk factors. With the ever increasing use of HRT, it is important that any possible adverse effects be reported. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of combined retinal arterial and venous obstruction associated with HRT.
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Histological examination of the pigment epithelium-Bruch membrane-choriocapillaris complex after macular translocation

EDITOR,—Macular degeneration is the leading cause of blindness in the older population, and it is becoming more and more prevalent.1 At present there is no treatment for the dry type of macular degeneration; for treatment of the wet form, several medical and surgical therapies have been tried, with varying results.2 3

Photodynamic therapy with verteporfin has a significant treatment benefit in predominately classic choroidal neovascularisation (CNV) lesions.2 3

Surgical removal of the subretinal membrane is a promising technique, but in many cases the visual acuity does not improve. A new technique has been proposed by Machemer and Steinhorst, where after surgical removal of the subretinal membrane the fovea is translocated to an area with healthier pigment epithelium, Bruch’s membrane, and choroid.4 5

Variants have been described where only the temporal part of the retina was detached, or the retinal detachment was created in the temporal side through the sclera, without retinotomy. Here the scleral resection will ultimately shift the relation of the pigment epithelium to the fovea.

CASE REPORT

In this case we performed a macular translocation using the Machemer and Steinhorst technique, with some modifications from Eckardt (personal communication, 24 October 1997), and from our experience. After surgery usually we see a retinal fold starting from the optic disc and extending to the periphery, but this fold usually disappears after 5–7 days.

Our patient died (from intracranial haemorrhage) on the fifth day after the operation, and it was possible to examine the operated eye pathologically.

At gross examination we found that rotation had been achieved through an angle of 25–30 degrees. The next step was to perform

Figure 1 (Left) The right fundus at presentation showing dilated retinal veins and a pathologically cupped optic disc. (Right) The left fundus at presentation showing a swollen, haemorrhagic optic disc, dilated veins in all four quadrants and scattered perivenuous retinal haemorrhages. There is retinal whitening corresponding with the distribution of a cilioretinal artery.

Figure 2 (Left) The left fundus 1 week after presentation. The cilioretinal artery supplying the superior macula is narrow and irregular in calibre with fractionalation of the blood column (arrow). (Right) The left fundus 2 months after presentation. The calibre of the cilioretinal artery has returned to normal (arrow) and most retinal haemorrhages have resolved.
As we expected we found a thickened Bruch’s membrane and our findings are discussed below.

COMMENT

As we expected we found a thickened Bruch’s membrane, few points of calcification, some remnants from the surgically removed subretinal membrane, and above the pigment epithelium (Fig 1), \( \text{m} \) and choriocapillaris atrophy. The outer retina seems not to be affected at the time of surgery.

From our point of view it was of interest to examine the attachment of the pigment epithelium to the retina or Bruch’s membrane along the temporary postoperative retinal fold. We found that the pigment epithelium was attached mainly to the retina (Fig 2) and not to the Bruch’s membrane; we were able to follow this from the optic disc through the macular region, up to the temporal periphery. Even at the site of one of our retinotomies, which happened to be on the line of the retinal fold, we found basically the same picture.

This can be caused by the presence of subretinal proliferation before surgery, or more probably occurred during surgery; this is supported by the wide extent of this finding.

We know that in some cases after surgery there is no improvement in visual acuity, and we hypothesise that this is because a significant part of the pigment epithelium has been translocated along with the retina.

From our histological sections, we see that some part of membrane remains in place even after surgical “removal” and from the membrane remaining we were able to see that this is a mixed membrane with extension underneath and above the pigment epithelium.

We tentatively believe that this may be connected with the fact that the pigment epithelium remained attached to the retina in such a drastic manipulation; in a type 1 subretinal membrane situated only above the pigment epithelium this will not occur. We think that more research is needed to discover if there is any connection between the type of membrane, related to the condition of the pigment epithelium, and the apparent stronger adhesion between the pigment epithelium and retina (or relatively weaker adhesion to the Bruch’s membrane).
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Optic neuropathy as the presenting feature of HIV infection: recovery of vision with highly active antiretroviral therapy

EDITOR,—We describe a rare case of bilateral optic neuropathy caused by HIV which responded to highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART).

CASE REPORT

In March 1996, a 52 year old man presented with highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART).
Evoked responses were seen on the ERG. The small amplitude on the left. No pattern distinguished on the right and was delayed and of visual evoked response was virtually extinguished. Higher mental function was normal. Visual acuities were read 2/17 Ishihara plates with the right eye and 3/17 with the left. Both visual fields remained normal. Eye movements were normal. His pallor (Fig 2). Intraocular pressures were 10.22 mmHg (right eye) and 11.37 mmHg (left eye). Funduscopy revealed bilateral optic disc pallor (Fig 2A). No organisms were detected. CSF cryptococcal antigen test (latex agglutination) was negative.

The lymphocyte subsets showed a T helper cell count (CD4) of 100 cells/l (normal 700–1100) and T suppressor cell count of 2232 cells/l (normal 500–900). In view of his history he was counselled and consented to HIV testing. HIV-1 antibody test was positive.

He was commenced on triple therapy zidovudine 200 mg three times daily, lamivudine 150 mg twice daily, indinavir 800 mg three times daily (HAART or highly active antiretroviral therapy) with co-trimoxazole prophylaxis for Pneumocystis carinii. He responded well clinically and on review at 6 months his vision had improved to 6/9 and 6/6 in his right and left eyes respectively with a concomitant improvement in his visual fields at 24 months (Fig 1B). His CD4 count rose to 170 cells/l and HIV viral load (Roche Amplicor) was below 400 copies/ml 6 months after initiating HAART. Unfortunately, the HIV viral load at presentation was not available.

**COMMENT**

Visual deterioration in HIV is a common problem. Ocular manifestations of HIV include retinal microangiopathy, opportunistic retinal infections, Kaposi’s sarcoma of the conjunctiva and eyelids, and herpes zoster ophthalamicus. Retinal disease occurs frequently, sometimes in association with optic nerve disease. Occasionally the optic nerve is selectively involved. The commonest aetiology is opportunistic infection. Most case reports have focused on the role of opportunistic infections such as syphilitic optic perineuritis, CMV papillitis, varicella zoster optic neuritis, or cryptococcal retrobulbar neuritis. Other causes include toxoplasmosis and tuberculosis. Indeed, a search for these aetiological agents is the priority when faced with an HIV positive patient with an optic neuropathy. However, sometimes no opportunistic infection is found and the HIV virus itself is assumed to be causing the optic neuropathy.
In our case funduscopy excluded CMV and toxoplasma retinopathy. The other infective aetiologies were excluded by serological testing. Magnetic resonance imaging excluded a compressive lesion. It is possible that our patient had idiopathic bilateral optic neuropathy with spontaneous improvement. However, it is exceedingly rare for simultaneous, rather than stepwise, bilateral visual deterioration to occur with progressive deterioration for months and then a slow delayed recovery. One of the best series of bilateral deterioration for months and then a slow delayed recovery. One of the best series of bilateral optic neuropathy remains that by Hierons and Lyle in 1959. They reported 47 cases of bilateral optic neuritis, seven of which developed simultaneous bilateral visual disturbance. Two of these seven patients regained their vision over 6–12 months. Therefore, given the rarity of such an idiopathic presentation and the temporal relation of the improvement to HAART it is very likely that our patient had a primary HIV related optic neuropathy.

Primary HIV infection is well known to cause neurological disease. However, there has only been one case report of bilateral retrobulbar optic neuropathy in whom the presumed aetiology was the HIV virus itself. Newman and Lessell reported two patients who were already known to be HIV positive. The first patient was a 39 year old man who awoke with bilateral blurred vision and slight retrobulbar pain. His vision continued to deteriorate to 5/200 right eye and hand movement perception in the left eye. Funduscropy was normal. Four weeks into his illness he was commenced on AZT. Within 10 days his vision was beginning to improve. Eight months later his acuity was 20/70 right eye and 20/40 left eye. He was left with bilateral optic atrophy. The second patient did not improve with AZT but did show improvement with prednisolone. The only other case of optic neuropathy due to primary HIV infection was of a man with sudden monocular blindness. This was clinically due to an anterior ischaemic optic neuropathy, presumed to be secondary to an optic nerve microangiopathy.

What is the mechanism of the optic neuropathy? There is a significant loss of cortical neurons and optic nerve axons in patients with AIDS. Also far fewer AIDS patients have ocular signs than have ocular lesions discovered on post mortem. Therefore, it is not surprising that changes were noted in the optic nerves of eight AIDS patients who did not have visual signs or symptoms before death as well as those who did. Patchy axonal degeneration, oligodendrocyte, and myelin degeneration were noted in association with mononuclear cell infiltration, suggesting that optic nerve degeneration may be mediated by HIV infected macrophages. The HIV virus infects mononuclear phagocytic cells rather than neurons or oligodendrocytes so the neuronal losses must occur through a secondary mechanism. A more recent study has shown that optic nerve astrocytes in four patients with primary HIV related optic neuropathy stain strongly for tumour necrosis factor α (TNFα). No comparable staining was seen in control optic nerves. TNFα, an immunomodulatory agent, thus appears to be a major player in HIV induced neuronal apoptosis.

In conclusion, HIV may directly cause an optic neuropathy. The improvement of our patient’s vision with HAART is suggestive that much of the optic nerve failure is due to a reversible dysfunction of the optic neurons rather than their death.