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Abstract

Aims—To evaluate and compare prospectively the anterior chamber inflammatory response after phacoemulsification cataract surgery and after trabeculectomy with peripheral iridectomy. Methods—Anterior chamber inflammation was measured using the Kowa FM-500 laser flare meter in 131 patients undergoing trabeculectomy and 148 patients undergoing phacoemulsification cataract extraction with intraocular lens implantation. Flare was measured before surgery and on each postoperative visit up to 12 months.

Results—Before surgery there was no significant difference in flare readings between the two groups. Following trabeculectomy flare returned to baseline levels 4 weeks after surgery, while following phacoemulsification cataract extraction it remained significantly higher at week 6 (p<0.006) and month 3 (p<0.05).

Conclusions—Anterior chamber inflammation is more prolonged after cataract surgery than after trabeculectomy. This may have implications for the timing of trabeculectomy in relation to cataract surgery.

One hundred and forty eight patients undergoing routine phacoemulsification surgery were also recruited. Their sole cause of visual loss was age related cataract and they had no other eye disease and no pseudoexfoliation. All phacoemulsification procedures were performed via a 3.2 mm clear corneal incision and completed with a 6 mm foldable silicon intraocular lens (Allergan S130NB). Viscoselastic (Provisc) was used during surgery and removed at the end of the procedure. A number of experienced surgeons performed all these operations at Moorfields Eye Hospital.

In patients undergoing trabeculectomy topical steroids (dexamethasone 0.1% or prednisolone acetate 1%) were instilled 4–8 times daily for 4 weeks and then tapered off. Topical dexamethasone 0.1% was instilled 4–6 times daily in the cataract patients for at least 4 weeks. Both groups of patients received topical chloramphenicol 0.5% four times daily for 1 month. Anterior chamber inflammation was measured before surgery and on each postoperative visit up to 12 months in all patients using the same Kowa FM-500 laser flare meter. This machine was calibrated regularly every 4 weeks. All flare readings were taken after pupil dilatation with tropicamide eye drops 1%. On each occasion seven readings with a variation of less than 15% between background readings were taken; the two extreme values were discarded and the resulting mean and standard deviation calculated.

Patients with significant surgical complications such as vitreous loss were excluded to prevent skewing of the data. A general linear model with logistic regression was used for statistical analysis (General Factorial Analysis from SPSS). The preoperative flare measurement was used as a covariate in this model. Data are expressed as mean (SE).

Results

The 131 patients who underwent trabeculectomy had a mean age of 69 (0.7) years and 61.5% were male. The 148 patients who underwent cataract extraction had a mean age of 72 (0.8) years and 42.9% were male. All patients were white and were not diabetic. Before surgery there was no significant difference in flare measurements between the two groups. Baseline flare in the trabeculectomy group was 9.4 (0.7) photons/millisecond (ph/ms) and in the phacoemulsification group was 10.3 (0.4) ph/ms. Following trabeculectomy flare was highest 1 week after surgery at 25.0 (3.4) ph/ms and returned to baseline levels by 4 weeks (Fig 1). Flare readings following phacoemulsification reached similar levels ini-
tionally (15.2 (0.6) ph/ms at 3 weeks) but, in contrast to trabeculectomy, remained significantly higher at both week 6 (post-trabeculectomy flare 9.9 (0.9) ph/ms; post-phacoemulsification flare 14.7 (0.8) ph/ms; p<0.006) and at week 12 (post-trabeculectomy flare 9.2 (0.9) ph/ms; post-phacoemulsification flare 13.1 (0.8) ph/ms; p<0.05). Flare in the phacoemulsification group returned to baseline levels by month 6 (post-trabeculectomy flare 9.1 (0.7) ph/ms; post-phacoemulsification flare 10.6 (0.7) ph/ms) and remained there, with no significant difference in flare readings between the two groups at 1 year (post-trabeculectomy flare 8.6 (0.6) ph/ms; post-phacoemulsification flare 10.0 (0.4) ph/ms).

Discussion

Anterior chamber inflammation and breakdown of the blood-aqueous barrier is much more prolonged after uncomplicated small incision cataract surgery than after glaucoma filtration surgery with peripheral iridectomy. This prolonged low grade inflammation has been observed in other studies of phacoemulsification surgery but its significance may often have been underestimated. It is likely to be a product of the release of lens crystallins and lens epithelial cells into the aqueous humour, the effect of ultrasound, and/or the high volume of fluid passing through the eye at the time of surgery. These factors may upregulate the production of fibrogenic cytokines in the aqueous humour of patients who undergo phacoemulsification. These hypotheses remain to be tested, but our results indicate that such factors may be important in explaining why the success rate of phaco-trabeculectomy is significantly lower than trabeculectomy alone. It may also help to explain why recent cataract surgery is a risk factor for failure of filtration surgery.
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