Primary polypseudophakia for cataract surgery in hypermetropic eyes: refractive results and long term stability of the implants within the capsular bag

Haralabos Eleftheriadis, Andrea Sciscio, Andre Ismail, Christopher C Hull, Christopher Liu

Abstract

Aim—To determine the long term visual and refractive results, and stability and complications of primary polypseudophakia using polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) intraocular lenses (IOLs) for cataract surgery in hypermetropic eyes.

Methods—Prospective study of 15 short or hypermetropic eyes undergoing phacoemulsification with primary polypseudophakia with two PMMA IOLs implanted within the capsular bag.

Results—The spherical equivalent was reduced from a mean +4.87 (SD 3.00) dioptres (D) to −0.12 (1.40 D), and the deviation from the intended refraction was +0.005 (1.30) D, 23.6 (12.36) months post-implantation. The deviation from intended refraction was not statistically significant (p = 0.989; paired t test). Post-operative best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) was 6/12 or better in all eyes without macular or optic nerve co-morbidity. Interlenticular opacification (ILO) in the form of peripheral Elschnig pearls was seen in four (26.67%) eyes. A new type of ILO in the form of usually pigmented deposits in the central interface developed in five (33.33%) eyes and resulted in the appearance of Newton’s rings in three. None of the eyes with ILO had any loss of operative best corrected visual acuity or hyperopic shift. Six (40%) eyes were within 1 D from the intended refraction and 14 (93.33%) within 2 D. There was no statistically significant difference in the accuracy of the two intraocular lens calculation formulas used (SRK II and SRK/T).

Conclusion—Peripheral Elschnig pearl type ILO can occur as a late complication of primary in the bag implantation of two PMMA IOLs. A new type of ILO is described. Both types of ILO have not to date resulted in deterioration of visual acuity in our cohort. Use of appropriate biomechanical formulae and IOL calculation formulas may yield more accurate refractive results.

(Br J Ophthalmol 2001;85:1198–1202)

Primary polypeudophakia, also known as piggyback intraocular lens (IOL) implantation, was first described by Gayton in 1993, in a case of extreme hypermetropia in a nanophthalmic eye undergoing cataract surgery. As a +46 dioptres (D) IOL was not available, two IOLs were used with the first plano-convex lens implanted within the capsular bag (plano side facing anteriorly) and the second implanted in the ciliary sulcus (plano side facing posteriorly).

Many surgeons have since used this technique for less extreme cases of hypermetropia, where a single high power IOL was out of the range or near the upper limit of power inventories. Implanting two IOLs in cases of high hypermetropia is preferable, because such systems provide better optical quality with less spherical aberration than a single high power IOL.

Foldable piggyback IOLs have the added advantage of increased depth of focus due to mutual compression of the central optical zone of the implants. Primary polypseudophakia (primary implantation of two or more intraocular lenses at the time of cataract removal) has been used not only in short hypermetropic eyes after cataract surgery but also after refractive lensectomy, in myopic keratoconic eyes with cataract, and for the correction of paediatric aphakia. Secondary polypseudophakia (implantation of a second intraocular lens at a later stage) has been used for the correction of refractive errors after cataract surgery, and for the correction of ametropia. For less extreme cases of hypermetropia, where near the upper limit of power inventories, two poly(methylmethacrylate) (PMMA) IOLs from January 1997 to October 1999. The purpose of our study was to determine the refractive results, the long term stability of the implants, and the complications of primary polypseudophakia. The inclusion criteria for the study were axial length (AL) of less than 21 mm, or the requirement of an IOL of greater or equal to +30 D to achieve emmetropia. The AL was measured by applanation ultrasonography (Storz, CompuScan LT) with an ultrasound velocity of 1550 m/s. The IOL power was calculated using the SRK II formula in five eyes and the SRK/T formula in the other 10 eyes. The hospital was in transition to adopting the SRK-T formula as the standard formula, and two of the cases were treated in a private hospital. The intended postoperative refraction was emmetropic in all but two eyes where a slightly myopic refraction was required to avoid anisometropia.

All of the patients had nucleofractis phacoemulsification, with continuous curvilinear capsulorrhexis slightly smaller than the size of...
the IOL optic, through a 6 mm scleral tunnel incision. After aspiration of the cortical material, the capsular bag and the anterior chamber were inflated with a viscoelastic (hydroxypropyl methylcellulose) and the first biconvex one-piece PMMA lens (Storz P359UV) was implanted in the bag. The second IOL of the same model was also implanted in the bag, after more viscoelastic was injected in the capsular bag. Occasionally, sodium hyaluronate including the high molecular weight version was used for surgical induced astigmatism was assessed with the vector approach, especially when the anterior chamber was very shallow. The haptics of the two implants were dialled into parallel positions. The IOLs were of equal power where possible or near equal in the rest of the cases. The cohort of the patients was last examined using a vector analysis, 18 was 0.57D at 32 degrees. This was astigmatically neutral since it flattened by −0.52D compared with the 45 degrees meridian although again this was not statistically significant (p = 0.536; one sample t test). The 135 degrees meridian was flattened by −0.52D compared with the 45 degrees meridian although again this was not statistically significant (p = 0.077; one sample t test).

The deviation from the intended refraction was +0.005 (1.30) D (range −1.73 to +2.06 D), which again is not statistically significant difference (p = 0.989; paired t test). Six eyes (40%) were within 1.00 D from the intended refraction and 14 eyes (93.33%) were within 2.00 D. In the five eyes where the SRK II formula was used for the calculation of the IOL power, the postoperative deviation from the IOL power required for emmetropia was +31.47 (1.66) D (range +28.5 to +35).

COMPLICATIONS
There were no operative complications.

VISUAL RESULTS
At the last study follow up visit, the Snellen BCVA in the eight eyes without severe macular or optic nerve pathology was 6/5 in one eye, 6/9 in two eyes, and 6/12 in five eyes, while from the other seven, one eye achieved 6/18, one 2/60, one counting fingers, and four eyes hand movements. On the whole 53.33% of the eyes achieved vision 6/12 or better but by excluding the seven eyes with severe macular or optic nerve co-morbidity, 100% of the eyes achieved 6/12 or better vision. The visual acuity improved in eight eyes (53.33%), remained unchanged in six (40%) due to concurrent ocular pathology, and deteriorated in one (6.67%) due to progression of pre-existing exudative ARMD. No eye has lost vision because of the surgery.

REFRACTIVE RESULTS
The postoperative spherical equivalent was −0.12 (1.40) D (range −2.25 to +2.00).

The average induced astigmatism, calculated using a vector analysis, was 0.57D at 32 degrees. This was astigmatically neutral since it is not statistically significant (p = 0.182; Hotelling’s T² test).

The vector analysis further revealed that surgery induced an effective against the rule astigmatism component of −0.24D and that this was not statistically significant (p = 0.077; one sample t test). The 135 degrees meridian was flattened by −0.52D compared with the 45 degrees meridian although again this was not statistically significant (p = 0.077; one sample t test).

The deviation from the intended refraction was +0.005 (1.30) D (range −1.73 to +2.06 D), which again is not statistically significant difference (p = 0.989; paired t test). Six eyes (40%) were within 1.00 D from the intended refraction and 14 eyes (93.33%) were within 2.00 D. In the five eyes where the SRK II formula was used for the calculation of the IOL power, the postoperative deviation from the
intended refraction was +0.76 (1.28) D (range −1.375–+2.06), whereas in the 10 eyes where the SRK/T formula was used the deviation was −0.37 (1.19) D (range −1.73–+1.70). However this difference was not statistically significant (p = 0.113; Mann-Whitney test). In the SRK II group two eyes (40%) were within 1.00 D from the intended refraction and four (80%) within 2.00 D, whereas in the SRK/T group four eyes (40%) were within 1.00 D from the intended refraction and 10 (100%) were within 2.00 D.

POSTOPERATIVE CAPSULAR CHANGES

The anterior capsule remained clear in five eyes and opacified in 10 eyes necessitating neodymium:YAG (Nd:YAG) laser posterior capsulotomy in seven eyes (Fig 1B and C). In the other three eyes the opacification was not sufficiently significant to justify capsulotomy.

INTRAOCULAR LENS STABILITY

On slit lamp examination through a maximally dilated pupil, all sets of haptics remained parallel within the capsular bag (Fig 1B and D). However in five eyes part of the anterior and posterior lens optics were not covered by the anterior capsule, due to eccentricity of the capsulorrhexis (Fig 1B and D). There was no biomicroscopic evidence of tilt or decentration of the implants in any of the eyes (Fig 2).

INTERLENTICULAR OPACIFICATION

Interlenticular opacification (ILO) in the form of Elschnig pearls (Fig 1E) was noticed in the very peripheral interlenticular space in four (26.67%) eyes. In two of them the ILO were first noticed almost 4 years postoperatively (47 and 44 months), whereas in the other two between the first and the second year. In three eyes the Elschnig pearl-type material extended less than 2 clock hours and in one eye about 12 clock hours in this space. In all cases the opacification was peripheral and extended less than 1 mm from the optic edge into the interlenticular space. None of the affected cases had the anterior capsulorrhexis edge resting outside the anterior optical surface of the anterior IOL. We have also observed another type of ILO in five eyes: precipitate-like deposits in the central interface (Fig 1F), which were brown pigmented in four eyes and non-pigmented in one. These were surrounded by Newton's rings in three eyes, which had the appearance of concentric light and dark zones. We first noticed their appearance 2 weeks after the operation in one eye of a patient with retinitis pigmentosa. The patient developed similar deposits in her other eye first noted at her 15 month postoperative visit. There was no evidence of intraocular inflammation in either eye. These deposits have remained unchanged since the time of their first appearance. In the other three eyes interface precipitates were observed 3, 29, and 41 months postoperatively. Four out of the five patients with the interface changes.
precipitates had eccentric capsulorrhexes outside the optic of the anterior lens for more than 5 clock hours. Although these interface opacities were situated near the visual axis they were not sufficiently extensive to reduce vision.

**Discussion**

The introduction of polypseudophakia by Gayton provided a solution to limitation of IOL power inventories, as the upper limit of most IOL models is +30 D. An IOL with power of greater than +40 D would have very steep radii and would behave more like a sphere, resulting in increased spherical aberration, reduced modulation transfer function, and compromised resolution. Piggyback optical systems induce less spherical aberration and provide superior image quality when the optical centres of the two IOLs are aligned, than a single high power IOL of the same power.7

IOL power calculation in short hyperopic eyes is a challenging problem. Accurate measurements of AL in these eyes are of great importance, since even small errors are magnified in inverse proportion to AL. A study performed by Holladay revealed that about 20% of the short hyperopic eyes have a short anterior segment, while the other 80% have normal anterior segment length.19 This diversity leads to refractive surprises in a number of short eyes, as empirical and most theoretical formulas assume a proportionately short anterior segment. Immersion biometry20 21 or the more recent partial coherence interferometry27 have been recommended as they avoid corneal indentation, which results in shorter AL measurements.

The empirical, and most regression calculation, formulas are not good at predicting the IOL power in short hyperopic eyes having primary piggyback IOLs for various reasons.5 25 Current third generation formulas (Holladay and SRK/T) are superior to older formulas (Hoffer, SRK, and SRK II) for extremely short eyes,6 with the Holladay formula being more accurate than SRK/T.7 The Holladay II formula, which incorporates measurements of white to white corneal diameter, anterior chamber depth, lens thickness, takes into account the change in the position of the posterior IOL induced by the piggyback IOLs and predicts more accurately the required IOL power. It has very good predictability with almost 90% of the eyes within 1 D from intended refraction.20 The Lloyd Gills regression formula with modifiers gives as good results as Holladay II but it creates more undercorrections and overcorrections.20

In our cohort SRK/T did not yield significantly more accurate results than the older SRK II formula. Neither of them was satisfactory accurate. Unlike the first reported case6 most surgeons have been implanting both primary piggyback IOLs in the bag until recently.6 9 23 24 We implanted all sets of IOLs in the capsular bag with the haptics parallel one set to another. All IOLs in our patients remained within the capsular bag with no evidence of IOL rotation (unlike other reported cases), decentration, or tilt on biomicroscopy.25 Although part of the optics migrated in front of the anterior capsulorrhexis in five cases this was due to eccentricity of the capsulorrhexis.

Unlike the low PCO rate of primary AcrySof piggyback IOLs,23 we had high incidence of PCO in our cohort (10 out of 15 eyes, seven of which required Nd:YAG capsulotomy). This may be due to the use of PMMA IOLs as PCO is a material and shape dependent complication.

A visually significant complication occurring usually 6 months to 2 years post-implantation in primary piggyback IOLs is ILO24 (also known as intercapsular opacification (IPO)28 and red rock syndrome27), which may lead to hyperopic shift,5 16 and loss of BCVA.23 The aetiology of ILO is primarily linked to a small size of capsulorrhexis resting on the anterior IOL surface.5 21 24 Various types of ILO have been described already24 including the Elschnig pearl-type observed in our cohort. Their appearance in our patients fits with the stage I of the clinical classification suggested by Stasik where scattered Elschnig pearls are seen in the periphery of the interface without any significant loss of BCVA or hyperopic shift.

We have observed a hitherto unreported type of ILO. This has the appearance of pigmented pseudophakic precipitates seen on single IOLs. Four of the five eyes with these interface precipitates had capsulorrhexes outside the anterior optical surface. Having a capsulorrhexis larger than the size of the optic for at least 1 clock hour does not prevent the appearance of this type of ILO, unlike previously described types of ILO.23 It is possible that these are formed by inflammatory cells trapped within the interface, with little aqueous circulation in this area. Although the precipitates are close to the visual axis in most cases, they have not caused any reduction of vision or change in refraction (hyperopic change).

These opacities seem to be different from the fine brownish grey dust-like material observed by Shugar which obscure the entire visual axis; they are discrete clumps close to each other occupying a small area. The presence of Newton’s rings seen around the precipitates in three eyes signify a very small gap of 3 µm or less between the two IOLs. The time of appearance of these clumps are variable, ranging from 2 weeks to 41 months postoperatively.

Unlike the general belief that Elschnig pearl-type ILO develop between 6 months to 2 years, we noted the appearance of peripheral interface pearls after 3 years in two of our cases (44 and 47 months). We would therefore recommend indefinite follow up of patients with piggyback IOLs.

ILO is reportedly nearly twice as common in eyes with primary in the bag AcrySof than with PMMA28 29 and has not yet been reported with primary or secondary piggyback IOLs in the ciliary sulcus.24 In our cohort we observed Elschnig pearl-type ILO in 26.67% of the eyes, which is comparable to the incidence of 22% found by Gayton and Apple in PMMA IOLs.28
In conclusion, primary polypseudophakia with two PMMA IOLs implanted in the capsular bag is linked to interlenticular opacification in the form of Elschnig pearls or interface precipitates. This may occur as a late complication even 3 years post-implantation. Therefore caution is required for the use of PMMA IOLs in the capsular bag as the long term outcome is not yet determined. In view of the early stages of ILO in our cohort we will continue monitoring the patients at regular intervals. The refractive results can be optimised by adoption of immersion or partial coherence interferometry and careful selection of newer generation IOL power calculation formulas.

29 Roy F. Membrane formation in primary piggyback intraocular lenses. Presentation at the American Society of Cataract and Refractive Surgeons Symposium on Cataract, IOL, and Refractive Surgery, Boston, MA, USA, May 2000.