Light induced apoptosis is accelerated in transgenic retina overexpressing human EAT/mcl-1, an anti-apoptotic bcl-2 related gene
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Abstract

Background/aim—EAT/mcl-1 (EAT), an immediate early gene, functions in a similar way to bcl-2 in neutralising Bax mediated cytotoxicity, suggesting that EAT is a blocker of cell death. The aim of this study was to determine the effect of overexpression of the human EAT gene on light induced retinal cell apoptosis.

Methods—EAT transgenic mice incorporating the EF-1α promoter were utilised, and expression of human EAT was detected by RT-PCR. Light damage was induced by raising mice under constant illumination. Two groups of animals, EAT transgenic mice (n=14) and littermates (n=13), were examined by ERG testing and histopathology at regular time points up to 20 weeks of constant light stimulation. Electrophysiological and histopathological findings were evaluated by established systems of arbitrary scoring as scores 0–2 and scores 0–3, respectively.

Results—The mean score (SD) of ERG response was significantly lower in EAT transgenic mice (0.79 (0.89)) than in littermates (1.69 (0.48)) (p<0.01). Although the differences between the two survival curves did not reach statistical significance (p=0.1156), the estimated incidence of electrophysiological retinal damage was higher in EAT mice (0.0495/mouse/week; 95% confidence interval CI 0.0347–0.0500) than in littermates (0.0199/mouse/week; 95% CI 0.0035–0.0364). The mean scores (SD) for histopathological retinal degeneration were 3.21 (0.63) in littermates and 1.43 (1.22) in EAT transgenic mice (p=0.065). However, Kaplan-Meier curves for histopathological failure in two groups of mice showed that retinal photoreceptor cells were preserved significantly against constant light in the littermate compared with transgenic mice (p=0.0241). The estimated incidence of histopathological retinal damage was 0.0042/mouse/week in the littermates (95% CI 0–0.0120) and 0.0419/mouse/week in the EAT mice (95% CI 0.0286–0.0500).

Conclusion—Retinal photoreceptor cell apoptosis under constant light stimulation is likely to be accelerated in transgenic retina overexpressing EAT.

(Br J Ophthalmol 2001; 85: 1237–1243)
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transgene. The same 6.7 kb PvuI fragment was used as a probe for Southern blot
marker in lane M.
served as negative and positive controls, respectively. A 100 bp ladder is shown as a size
non-transgenic mice; lane 2: E12; lane 3: E13; lane 4: no RNA; lane 5: plasmid containing
only the human EAT gene without detecting the endogenous murine EAT gene (lane 1:
retinas from the transgenic lines. RT-PCR was performed with a primer set which detected
the fertilised eggs. (C) Expression of the human EAT transgene as assayed in isolated
human EAT (EF1-α) transgene construct. The PvuI fragment was used as the
probe of the 6.7 kb PvuI-PvuI fragment. The founder mice obtained were assigned a number
precedes with a letter E—for example, E3 or E12. Offspring were screened by detecting the
1097 bp human EAT fragment in the tail DNA by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using a
set of appropriate primers. The sequences of the primers used were as follows; sense, 5’
CTGATCGAACCATTAGCAG 3’; anti-sense, 5’ TACAAACGTCTGCAACAG 3’.
C3H/He and C57BL/6 mice, which are pig-
mated, were purchased from Clea Japan
(Tokyo, Japan). All mice were maintained in
our animal facility under specific pathogen-free
conditions. The mice were housed under a
controlled photoperiod (12 hours of light, 12
hours of darkness) and temperature (22–25°C)
and given water and chow ad libitum in cages.
The study was approved by the Keio Universi-
ity, School of Medicine, Laboratory Animal
Care and Use Committee (No 001106).

SOUTHERN BLOT ANALYSIS
For Southern blot analysis, 5 µg of total genomic DNA was digested to completion with
EcoRI, separated by 0.8% agarose gel electrophoresis, and transferred to Hybond N+
filters (Amersham, UK) in 1.5 M NaCl/0.25 N NaOH (Fig 1A). The membranes were prehy-
bridised at 65°C for 3 hours in 5 × SSPE (1 × SSPE = 0.15 M NaCl, 1 mM EDTA and 0.01
M sodium phosphate buffer), 5 × Denhardt’s solution, 1% SDS, and 10 µg of poly A/ml, and
then hybridised with the BamHI fragment of human EAT cDNA (2.4 kb) labelled with
[α-32P]-dCTP by the random primer method at 4–3 × 105 cpm/µg of specific activity. After
overnight hybridisation, blots were washed twice with 1 × SSPE, 1% SDS at room
temperature, twice with 1 × SSPE, 1% SDS at 65°C, and twice with 0.1 × SSPE, 0.1% SDS at
65°C, and then exposed to x ray film.

EXPRESSION OF HUMAN EAT IN TRANSGENIC MICE
Expression of human EAT transgene in the mice was examined by reverse transcription
and polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). Briefly, retina from the mice were snap frozen
in liquid nitrogen and homogenised in a microcentrifuge tube. RNA was extracted from
these samples by Isogen (Nippon Gene, Japan). To eliminate the possibility of genomic
DNA contamination, the RNA samples were further treated with RNase-free DNase and
RNase inhibitor at 37°C for 1 hour followed by ethanol precipitation with the use of tRNA as
a carrier. Complementary DNA was generated using a first strand cDNA synthesis kit
(Pharmacia Biotech, Uppsala, Sweden) and used as a template for PCR. Approximately
1–5 µg of total RNA was transcribed. PCR was carried out in 100 µl reactions containing 1–7
µl cDNA template, 200 mM deoxynucleotide triphosphates, 0.5 mM of each oligonucleotide
primer, and 2.5 units of Taq polymerase in 10
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mM TRIS HCl buffer, pH 8.8, containing 50 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl₂. Oligonucleotide primers used for PCR were: 5'-CTGCATGAACCATTAGCAG (sense) and 5'-TACAACCAGTCTGCATACAG (antisense). They were used to amplify a 1097 bp fragment of human EAT cDNA without detecting the endogenous murine EAT gene. The PCR reaction was performed for 35 cycles with the following parameters: denaturing at 95°C for 1 minute, annealing at 55°C for 1 minute, and elongation at 72°C for 90 seconds. The amplified PCR products were electrophoresed on 0.7% agarose gels and either detected by ultraviolet illumination of ethidium bromide stained gels or transferred to filter and hybridised with ³²P labelled EAT cDNA as a probe.

Two lines (E13 and E12) of EAT transgenic mice incorporating the EF-1α promoter were utilised. Expression of human EAT was detected by RT-PCR in transgenic mice. Northern blot analysis of various tissues was initially carried out on both lines of transgenic mice to detect human EAT mRNA; however, no signals could be detected. Western blots using an EAT specific monoclonal antibody and a polyclonal antibody were likewise carried out on both lines to detect expression of human EAT protein; however, detectable levels of human EAT could not be observed. In vitro, cells transfected with the same transgene constructs have previously been shown to express the EAT protein by western blot analysis, confirming the integrity of these constructs. Expression of the EAT gene in various organs, as examined by RT-PCR (Fig 1C) revealed ubiquitous expression of EAT in transgenic mice. Strong expression of the transgene was noted in the retina. We concluded that the use of the EF-1α promoter

Figure 2  Electroretinograms of mice in which light damage was induced by constant light stimulation. (A) The ERG responses were scored by three observers unaware of the EAT genotype for the degree of potential rescue. The scores were based on scale 0 (severe damage with little or no rescue; almost non-recordable), 1 (little damage; a-wave and b-wave seen without oscillatory potentials), and 2 (no damage; a- and b-wave amplitude and oscillatory potentials well preserved). Arrows indicate the point of light stimulation. (B) The ERG responses of each mouse. Mice were raised under cyclic room lighting until 35–91 days of age and then were placed in a temperature controlled environmental chamber illuminated continuously by 26 white fluorescent bulbs (40 W). The illumination in the chamber ranged from 400 to 500 lux. (C) Kaplan-Meier survival analysis of electrophysiological responses after constant light stimulation in EAT transgenic mice (solid circle) and littermates (open circle). Criteria for success and failure are described in the Materials and methods section. The estimated incidence of electrophysiological retinal damage was 0.0199/mouse/week in littermates, and 0.0495/mouse/week in EAT transgenic mice.
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resulted in expression of EAT transgene at levels detectable by RT-PCR.

INDUCTION OF LIGHT DAMAGE
As described by Lavail et al, mice were raised under cyclic room lighting until 5–13 weeks of age and then were placed in a temperature controlled environmental chamber illuminated continuously by 26 white fluorescent bulbs (40 W). Light intensity in the cages ranged from 400 to 500 lux. Groups of animals were examined by electroretinogram (ERG) testing after 3, 5, 7, 9, 10, 13, 14, 16, 18, or 20 weeks in constant lighting, and then killed and tissues were taken for histology. The number of mice examined in each group was as follows: non-transgenic (littermate) at 3 weeks, n = 1; at 5 weeks, n = 1; at 7 weeks, n = 1; at 9 weeks, n = 1; at 10 weeks, n = 1; at 13 weeks, n = 2; at 14 weeks, n = 1; at 16 weeks, n = 1; at 18 weeks, n = 2; at 20 weeks, n = 2; and EAT transgenic at 3 weeks, n = 1; at 5 weeks, n = 1; at 7 weeks, n = 1; at 9 weeks, n = 1; at 10 weeks, n = 1; at 13 weeks, n = 2; at 14 weeks, n = 2; at 16 weeks, n = 1; at 18 weeks, n = 1; at 19 weeks, n = 1; at 20 weeks, n = 2.

ELECTROPHYSIOLOGICAL STUDY
Mice were dark adapted for 12 hours before ERG testing as described. Anaesthesia was performed with inhalation of diethyl ether, and the anaesthetised animal was placed on a heating pad (37°C). Pupils were dilated by 0.5% tropicamide. A corneal electrode, which was made from gold, instead of an intravitreous electrode, was used for recording. The ground electrodes were attached subcutaneously in the neck. A 26 gauge needle was placed subcutaneously in the head as a reference electrode. All manipulations were performed under very dim red light. Full field ERGs were elicited with 10 μs flashes of xenon light (20 J) from a Ganzfeld dome on a steady background of 0 cd/m². Responses were differentially amplified at a gain of 10 000. Analysis time was 100 ms. Single flash response was recorded. Four or eight flash responses were averaged if it was noisy. Flashes were presented at a rate of about 0.3 Hz manually. The recording band pass was 0.5–100 Hz. The ERG measurements were all made by the investigators blinded to the genotypes of the mice.

The ERG responses were scored by three observers masked with respect to the EAT genotype for the degree of potential rescue. The scores were defined as follows (Fig 2A): score 0, severe damage with little or no rescue, almost non-recordable; score 1, little damage, a and b-waves observed without oscillatory potentials; score 2, no damage, a, b-wave amplitude and oscillatory potentials well preserved.

Criteria for success were defined as good preservation of the oscillatory potentials—that is, scores of 0 or 1 were considered failure. Data were analysed statistically using Kaplan-Meier survival analysis with Mantel-Cox log rank test for estimation of success.

HISTOPATHOLOGICAL STUDY
After ERG recordings following a rigid protocol, the anaesthetised animals were killed by cervical dislocation, and the eyes were processed for histology. After fixation for 1–2 days, the posterior segment containing the retina could be sectioned along the vertical meridian. Sections (6 µm thick) were processed and stained with haematoxylin and eosin.

Histological sections cut along the vertical meridian were scored by three observers for the degree of potential rescue. The scores were defined as follows (Fig 3A): score 0, severe damage with little or no rescue, all photoreceptor inner segment (IS) and outer segment (OS) missing, and the outer nuclear layer (ONL) reduced to less than a single or two rows of photoreceptor nuclei; score 1, moderate damage, the ONL has been reduced to about 3–5 rows of nuclei; disorganised IS and OS are still present; score 2, little damage, photoreceptor IS and some disorganised OS are still present, the ONL still consists of approximately 6–8 rows of nuclei; and score 3, no damage, almost normal structure remained with 9–10 rows of photoreceptor nuclei; disorganised IS and OS are still present, and the ONL still consists of approximately 6–8 rows of nuclei. The ONL score is defined as follows (Fig 3B): score 0, severe damage with little or no rescue; score 1, moderate damage; score 2, little damage, photoreceptor IS and some disorganised OS are still present, the ONL still consists of approximately 6–8 rows of nuclei; and score 3, no damage, almost normal structure remained with 9–10 rows of photoreceptor nuclei; disorganised IS and OS are still present, and the ONL still consists of approximately 6–8 rows of nuclei.
photoreceptor nuclei comprising the ONL. The scores were done with the observers unaware of the EAT genotype and were based on ONL thickness in different regions of the eye as well as PR inner and outer segment length and integrity.

Criteria for success were defined as at least 3–5 rows of nuclei in ONL, where a score of 0 or 1 was considered failure. Data were analysed statistically using Kaplan-Meier survival analysis with Mantel-Cox log rank test for estimation of success. Statistical significance was set at 0.05.

Results
We used ERG to estimate retinal function in mice carrying an EAT transgene under the EF-1α promoter. Light damage was induced in mice by constant illumination. EAT transgenic mice (n = 14) and control littermates (n = 13) were examined by ERG testing at various time points to 20 weeks of constant lighting stimulation. The ERG response score is shown in Table 1A. The mean scores (SD) of photoreceptor nuclei comprising the ONL were 8.26 (1.43) in the EAT transgenic mice (1.22) than in littermates (2.31 (0.63)) (p = 0.065). The estimated incidence of histopathological retinal damage was 0.0042/mouse/week in littermates (95% CI 0–0.0120) and 0.0419/mouse/week in the EAT transgenic mice (95% CI 0.0286–0.0500). Retinal PR cells were significantly more impaired by constant light in the EAT transgenic mice than the littermate (Fig 2B, C), implying that the dysfunction detected by ERG is attributable to retinal cell death.

Discussion
Light induced apoptosis of retinal PRs has been well characterised, but the receptor mediating light damage has not yet been identified. Candidate molecules include prostaglandin synthase, cytochrome oxidase, rhodopsin, and opsins of the cones and the retinal pigment epithelium. c-fos, a transcription factor and a component of AP-1, has been reported to be essential for a specific premitochondrial “private apoptotic pathway” induced by light. In the present study, we have shown that retinal cell death by light was accelerated by EAT, a bcl-2 related gene, using EAT transgenic mice.

The proto-oncogene bcl-2 and its family, which are known to be potent regulators of apoptosis, have attracted great attention in light induced apoptosis (Fig 4). However, little remains known about their role. Chen et al reported that bcl-2 overexpression reduces PRs apoptosis against sustained illumination. However, Joseph and Li reported that the outer layer thickness in bcl-2 or bcl-xL transgenic retinas was not significantly different from non-transgenic ones after exposure to excessive light stimulation. This is explained by the presence of multiple pathways of cell death in PRs that bypass regulation by bcl-2 family proteins.

Similar to bcl-2, EAT has been shown to inhibit apoptosis in vitro and in vivo. The ratio of anti-apoptotic (death antagonists) to pro-apoptotic bcl-2 related molecules (death agonists) is known to determine whether a cell will undergo apoptosis. This death-life balance is regulated partly by competitive dimerisation between pairs of antagonists and agonists (Fig 4). Similar to bcl-2, EAT may heterodimerise with Bax through BH1 and BH2 domains to repress apoptosis. The interactions of EAT with Bax are more physiologically relevant in cells that fail to express bcl-2, as the bax gene is more widely expressed than bcl-2. The current study is, to our knowledge, the first report to investigate the role of EAT in regulation of retinal PR cell death. The estimated incidence of electrophysiological and morphological retinal damage was increased by EAT.

There were five mice (Tg 41, Tg 472, Lt 34, Lt 18, and Lt 42) defined as “failure” in the electrophysiological study but as “success” in the histopathological findings. There was, however, no mouse defined as “success” in the electrophysiological study and as “failure” in
Light → EAT Tg → bcl-xL → bcl-2

Figure 4 Schematic model of the mechanism of EAT transgene incorporation in promoting light induced apoptosis in the retina. Light triggers a signal cascade mechanism which initiates the apoptotic signal through activation of the JNK (SAPK) pathways and/or c-fos (AP-1) transcriptional pathways. EAT and other Bcl-2 related proteins act at the outer membrane of the mitochondria where the heterodimeric balance of bcl-2 related genes regulates the final execution stages of the apoptotic cascade. Bcl-2 related genes regulate mitochondrial membrane function; a loss in mitochondrial transmembrane potential leads to the release of AIF and cytochrome c. These factors then trigger apoptosis in which the caspase activated cascade leads to the activation of endonucleases resulting in DNA fragmentation. We hypothesize that incorporation of the EAT transgene shifts the balance of bcl-2 related heterodimeric molecules in the direction of promoting apoptotic effector signals. EAT promotes light induced apoptosis in retina by the above mechanism.

The histopathological findings. These results indicate that the electrophysiological response was more sensitive than histopathology for the evaluation of retinal cell apoptosis in the present study. The functional consequences of this degeneration seem to have a more rapid course of deterioration than do the histopathological ones. This is consistent with a previous report showing the retardation of PR degeneration in Pde6b

EAT transgenic mice by the bcl-2 transgene,

which in although both cell types were protected from cell death on microscopic examination, only the cone cells were functional, and not the rod cells, in signal transduction in ERG analysis.

There are two possible roles of EAT in cells exposed to cytotoxic agents. One possibility is that the rapid increase in EAT might provide an initial viability enhancing or death delaying response. In such cases, EAT might serve an anti-apoptotic function and might allow cells a margin of time to respond to the cytotoxic stimulus (for example, time to decide either to undergo apoptosis or to initiate further measures to prevent cell death). The other possibility is that EAT might have an as yet unproven dual role in the control of cell viability (as is seen with bax and bcl-xL, another member of the bcl-2 family) and might in some way contribute to the death that follows increased EAT expression. In the current study, the observation that light induced apoptosis was accelerated in transgenic retina over-expressing EAT supports the latter hypothesis that anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 and Bcl-xL can convert into pro-apoptotic proteins.

Structural data indicate that the cleavage of Bid, a Bcl-2 related protein, by caspase-8 induces a conformational change that may facilitate exposure of its BH3 domain. The BH3 domain may be involved in triggering death, and is conserved in Bax, EAT, Bcl-2, and Bcl-xL. Perhaps like Bcl-2, EAT functions as an anti-apoptotic protein that can be converted into a pro-apoptotic protein by alternative splicing, phosphorylation, proteolytic cleavage, or relocalization. The potential role of these factors in the chain of events leading to PRs cell death in the retina remains to be investigated. Elucidation of the apoptotic pathway in EAT transgenic mice may lead to identification of other factors that interfere with cell death and of molecular therapeutic strategies that might prevent retinal degeneration.
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