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Gliaoma in China: how big is the problem?
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Abstract

Aims—To derive preliminary estimates for the number of adults in China suffering from glaucoma, and project the burden of visual morbidity attributable to primary and secondary glaucoma.

Methods—Age and sex specific data from two population surveys were applied to US Census Bureau population estimates for urban and rural China. It was assumed that data from Singapore were representative of urban China, and those from Mongolia were representative of rural China.

Results—It was estimated that 9.4 million people aged 40 years and older in China have glaucomatous optic neuropathy. Of this number, 5.2 million (55%) are blind in at least one eye and 1.7 million (18.1%) are blind in both eyes. Primary angle closure glaucoma (PACG) is responsible for the vast majority (91%) of bilateral glaucoma blindness in China. The number of people with the anatomical trait predisposing to PACG (an “occludable” drainage angle) is in the region of 28.2 million, and of these 9.1 million have significant angle closure, indicated by peripheral anterior synechiae or raised intraocular pressure.

Conclusions—This extrapolation of data from two east Asian countries gives an approximate number of people in China suffering from glaucoma. It is unlikely that this crude statistical model is entirely accurate. However, the authors believe the visual morbidity from glaucoma in China is considerable. PACG is probably the leading cause of glaucoma blindness in both eyes, and warrants detailed investigation of strategies for prevention.

(Br J Ophthalmol 2001;85:1277–1282)

Glaucoma has long been recognised as a leading cause of blindness, but only recently has it been appreciated how numerically important it is worldwide, and that the scale of the problem will only increase with future population growth and increasing life expectancy.

The epidemiological information available in 1993 in the World Health Organization's (WHO) global data bank on blindness was reviewed by Thylefors and Négrel.1 They developed a simple model estimating the number of glaucoma blind people in each World Bank region. Primary open angle glaucoma (POAG) was judged to be responsible for three million blind, primary angle closure glaucoma (PACG) for two million, and for congenital glaucoma the figure was 200 000, giving a total of 5.2 million blind. This represents 15% of global blindness. The number of people affected by glaucoma was estimated to be about 20 million.

In 1996, Quigley used 111 published reports of glaucoma prevalence to construct a statistical model of the number of people affected by glaucoma worldwide. Data were included only if the study design, methods, and reported results met certain specific criteria. The countries of the world were arranged in seven groups according to similarities in ethnicity and presumed characteristics of glaucoma. For each region, the available age specific prevalence of OAG and ACG were applied to population projections for the year 2000. It was estimated that 66.8 million people were affected by OAG and ACG, with nearly equal numbers of people affected by each disease. Few prevalence studies described secondary glaucoma separately, but an estimate of six million for secondary glaucoma was reached, making a total, without childhood glaucoma, of around 73 million affected. Of these, 6.7 million were thought to be blind.2

At that time there were only three studies from east Asia meeting the stipulated criteria. These limited data indicated a linear relation of OAG to age in Asians. It was also assumed that ACG increased with age in a linear fashion, but with a rate three times greater than OAG in Chinese people. The WHO has subsequently amended its projections of glaucoma prevalence in line with Quigley’s analysis, suggesting that 6.7 million are blind from glaucoma. This places glaucoma second only to cataract as a cause of world blindness.3 Current WHO population estimates suggest that the Asia region is home to 3585 million people (61% of the total population of the world). This number is projected to rise to 5268 million by 2050 (becoming 51% of the world’s population). Clearly, causes of blindness and visual impairment in Asia will exert considerable leverage on the projected totals, and have increasing impact on the socioeconomic of the world’s most populous region.

It has been estimated that glaucoma causes blindness in approximately 10% of those affected.2 The importance of glaucoma blindness is compounded by the fact that damage is irreversible, and may progress from
Glaucoma in the context of Vision 2020

The “Vision 2020 initiative,” described by Thylefors in 1998, and referred to in recent articles in the BJO by both Taylor and Keeffe and Foster, is a blueprint for the global elimination of avoidable blindness. It is a joint strategy of the WHO programme for the prevention of blindness and deafness and of the International Agency for the Prevention of Blindness and its constituent non-governmental development organisations. Launched in 1999, it has three essential elements: the control of major causes of blindness; human resource development; and development of infrastructure and appropriate technology.

The causes of blindness, which were prioritised for the first 5 years of the Vision 2020 initiative, were cataract, trachoma, onchocerciasis, childhood blindness, and refractive errors together with the assessment and provision of aid for low vision. The criteria by which this selection was made were these—the disease should cause a large burden of blindness in the world; secondly, that the prevention of blindness on a large scale should be feasible in the present state of knowledge; and, thirdly, that the intervention should be cost effective when compared with the allocation of the same resources to another disease entity.

Although, as we have seen, it is responsible for a large burden of blindness, glaucoma was not included in the priority conditions for the first 5 years. The reason for this was the lack of a proved, practicable approach to the prevention of glaucoma blindness that could be applied to large populations at reasonable cost. While it remains inappropriate to consider screening for POAG on a population basis, new information on the characteristics and epidemiology of PACG in Asia has made us aware of the potential for detection of those at risk, and possibility of effective prophylaxis.

Advances in the understanding of the epidemiology of glaucoma in Asian people

A decade ago, data on the epidemiology of glaucoma affecting Asian people appeared somewhat contradictory. Today, several population surveys have shown clear patterns are emerging. Research among Inuit people in Arctic regions gave the first insight to Western ophthalmologists that PACG was a significant cause of ocular morbidity among people of east Arctic regions.9–12

In 1989 Hu et al examined 10 414 (96% of the target population) residents of Shunyi county, Beijing, 3147 of whom were aged 40 years and over. Sixty two cases of glaucoma were identified in all age groups. PACG accounted for 43 of these, of whom 12 were men and 31 women. There were 11 cases of POAG, nine men and two women. Remarkably, only one of the people suffering POAG was aged over 40 years. The prevalence of primary glaucoma in the over 40 age group was 1.4%, of which 98% was PACG. Diagnostic criteria employed may not have been entirely consistent with modern ideas; POAG could have been diagnosed solely on the basis of a raised intraocular pressure (IOP) and a positive water drinking test. Furthermore, PACG could have been diagnosed in the presence of a partially occluded drainage angle and raised IOP or symptoms consistent with angle closure.13 These factors may draw the validity of the results into question.

In 1991, a Japanese multicentre collaborative group published results of a nationwide study of glaucoma prevalence. A target population of 16 078 people aged 40 years and older was identified. Over a 2 year period, 8126 of this number were examined, giving a response rate of 50.5%. All subjects underwent non-contact tonometry, optic disc photography, and a screening examination of the anterior segment at a slit lamp. In contrast with the study in China, the diagnosis of glaucoma status in this study was made on uniform criteria using a computer algorithm. Diagnosis of PACG required raised IOP and a narrow drainage angle (optic disc and visual field abnormalities were not necessary for the diagnosis). Primary glaucoma with an open angle was diagnosed on the 2nd of glaucomatous visual field loss in conjunction with suspected or definite glaucomatous changes of the optic disc. This category was further subdivided into POAG and normal tension glaucoma (NTG) depending on whether the presenting IOP was >21 mm Hg. Prevalence figures of 0.48% POAG, 2.1% NTG, and 0.21% PACG were cited for men, and for women rates were 0.6% POAG, 1.9% NTG, and 0.38% PACG (both sets of figures apply to the population aged 40 years and older).14

A study of Cape Malay people living in South Africa population basis, new information on the characteristics and epidemiology of PACG in Asia has made us aware of the potential for detection of those at risk, and possibility of effective prophylaxis.
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**Table 1** The rate of uniocular and binocular blindness affecting people with glaucomatous optic neuropathy in two east Asian populations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Rural Mongolia</th>
<th>Urban Singapore</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Uniocular</td>
<td>Binocular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POAG</td>
<td>25% (1/4)*</td>
<td>0% (0/4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACG</td>
<td>75% (6/8)</td>
<td>38% (3/8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>100% (2/2)</td>
<td>0% (0/2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Blindness defined as VA <3/60 or severely constricted visual field.
*This subject was blind in one eye from glaucomatous optic neuropathy and from a macular branch retinal vein occlusion in the other.

**Table 2** Population prevalence of people with occludable drainage angles, primary angle closure, those with glaucomatous optic neuropathy from angle closure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All occludable angles</th>
<th>Primary angle closure (PAC)</th>
<th>PAC with glaucomatous optic neuropathy (PACG)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mongolia (rural)</strong></td>
<td>6.4% (4.3, 8.5)</td>
<td>2.0% (1.3, 3.1)</td>
<td>0.8% (0.4, 1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Singapore (urban)</strong></td>
<td>6.3% (4.9, 7.6)</td>
<td>2.2% (1.4, 3.1)</td>
<td>0.8% (0.4, 1.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rates given for the population aged 40 years and older.
Age and sex structure of both populations is very similar.
All occludable angles category includes those with PAC and PACG.
PAC category includes those with PACG.
95% confidence intervals given in parentheses.

≥8 mm Hg on dark prone provocation test, or a previous “acute” episode of angle closure with an iridectomy. The diagnosis did not depend on the presence of a visual field defect or structural optic neuropathy. There were 17 people diagnosed as suffering PACG (3.0%). Only 35% of cases gave a history of symptoms characteristic of “acute” angle closure. Two of these 17 people (12%) were blind in both eyes.18

**Classification and description of cases**
Data from South Africa, Mongolia, Taiwan, and Singapore show that PACG among Asian people most often develops without the classic symptomatic episodes familiar to Western ophthalmologists.15–18 The current textbook classification of PACG places emphasis on symptomatology. As many symptomatic episodes of angle closure recover without visual loss (at least in the short term—the long-term prognosis is unclear),16–21 this emphasis may be inappropriate. There is a growing recognition that, in attempting to classify the cases of angle closure to signify severity and project prognosis, more consideration should be given to signs of “end organ damage.” Specifically, damage or obstruction of the trabecular meshwork and structural and functional indices of glaucomatous optic neuropathy (GON) should be the focus of classification.18, 22–25 “Primary angle closure” (PAC) is now considered the most appropriate term for people with sysncial angle closure and/or raised intraocular pressure due to closure of the angle. When considering PACG in the international context, the term “glaucoma” is probably best reserved for cases of primary angle closure and GON.24 The term “occludable angle” has been widely used in population surveys of glaucoma. The definition in most widespread use (≥270° of the posterior, usually pigmented trabecular meshwork not seen) has been adopted by convention, rather than being evidence based.11, 15 It now appears that this definition may be too stringent, and excludes many people with significant angle closure.26

The number of people in China affected by glaucoma and associated loss of vision During the course of collaborative research in Mongolia and Singapore we were able to carry out population surveys of glaucoma prevalence and risk factors. These projects have generated data on a relatively small number of glaucoma cases, and any attempt to extrapolate estimated glaucoma prevalence and blindness rates to a wider population must be carried out with caution. However, given the lack of data on for the world’s most populous country, it is probably appropriate to make a tentative move in this direction. The prevalence figures and rates of visual loss revealed in these studies were consistent with our expectations, and strengthened our belief that the trends shown are accurate. In both populations, when GON had developed, PACG and secondary glaucoma cause a higher rate of uniocular visual impairment than POAG. In absolute terms, PACG was the leading cause of blindness in both eyes. In the small number of cases in these studies, secondary glaucoma did not cause any bilateral blindness. Table 1 outlines the rate of uniocular and binocular blindness (defined as visual acuity <3/60 or visual field constricted to ≤10°) in Mongolia and Singapore.17 In Mongolia, there were 14 people with GON: four with POAG, eight with PACG, and two with secondary glaucoma. In Singapore, 22 cases were classified as POAG, 14 as PACG, and seven as secondary glaucoma. The Mongolia data have been reclassified in line with changing diagnostic criteria, reserving the term “glaucoma” for people with GON.22 Two people in each survey were diagnosed as cases of glaucoma, although it was not possible to determine the mechanism. These people were excluded from the statistical model, meaning that the figures presented in this paper are likely to be a conservative estimate.

Table 2 outlines the prevalence of people with “occludable” drainage angles, primary angle closure, and GON associated with PAC in Mongolia and Singapore. The similarities in prevalence rates in a developing country (Mongolia) and a country with a recently affluent population (Singapore) are striking. The age structure of these two populations is very similar.

In an attempt to estimate the number of people in China with occludable drainage angles, PAC, and PACG, we obtained mid-year population estimates for China in 2001, subdivided by decade age group and sex, from the US Census Bureau website (Table 3).26

---

**Table 3** Estimated urban and rural population of China in 2001 aged 40 years and older

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Urban (×10⁶)</th>
<th>Rural (×10⁶)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–49</td>
<td>22 185.9</td>
<td>15 266.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–59</td>
<td>15 249.0</td>
<td>12 140.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–69</td>
<td>10 373.6</td>
<td>7 498.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70–79</td>
<td>5 297.4</td>
<td>5 978.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80+</td>
<td>1 194.9</td>
<td>1 995.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>54 301.0</td>
<td>53 003.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data: United States Census Bureau.25
Projected from age and sex specific data, assuming 0.2644 of population is urban.
Some columns may not add up exactly because of rounding of figures.
Table 4 Estimated number of individuals with occludable drainage angles, primary angle closure, and glaucomatous optic neuropathy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40-49</td>
<td>355.0</td>
<td>3312.6</td>
<td>123.9</td>
<td>1050.4</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>414.9</td>
<td>2349</td>
<td>4098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-59</td>
<td>2184.6</td>
<td>6098.2</td>
<td>716.1</td>
<td>1955.4</td>
<td>274.9</td>
<td>765.0</td>
<td>7613</td>
<td>18490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-69</td>
<td>2748.3</td>
<td>5346.0</td>
<td>896.1</td>
<td>1714.0</td>
<td>345.6</td>
<td>670.6</td>
<td>10444</td>
<td>25818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70-79</td>
<td>3035.2</td>
<td>3331.5</td>
<td>968.0</td>
<td>1077.9</td>
<td>380.5</td>
<td>418.4</td>
<td>7904</td>
<td>18835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80+</td>
<td>684.6</td>
<td>1112.2</td>
<td>218.3</td>
<td>359.8</td>
<td>85.8</td>
<td>139.7</td>
<td>1803</td>
<td>2888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>9007.8</td>
<td>19200.4</td>
<td>2922.5</td>
<td>6176.5</td>
<td>1131.8</td>
<td>2408.6</td>
<td>29804</td>
<td>73530</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of people with occludable angles calculated for urban and rural areas by age and sex standardised rates from Singapore and Mongolia respectively, and includes people with PAC and PACG.

Table 5 Estimated number of people in China a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glaucoma</th>
<th>Rural China (×10⁶)</th>
<th>Urban China (×10⁶)</th>
<th>Total (urban and rural, ×10⁶)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POAG</td>
<td>1680.5</td>
<td>420.1</td>
<td>1794.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACG</td>
<td>3360.9</td>
<td>2520.7</td>
<td>5881.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>840.2</td>
<td>840.2</td>
<td>1680.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>5881.6</td>
<td>3781.1</td>
<td>9662.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rates for Mongolia and Singapore. The rate of PAC relative to the rate of occludable angles (for example, in Mongolia, 0.8/6.4 = 0.125), to estimate the number of people suffering PACG. These figures are given in Table 4. This is illustrated graphically in Figure 1. This table also gives the number of people per year in China suffering symptomatic primary angle closure, extrapolated from data on Chinese Singaporean.

The total numbers of people in urban and rural China with GON were estimated using the urban and sex specific rates of GON in Mongolia and Singapore, and US Census Bureau population data. The numbers of people with POAG, PACG, and secondary glaucoma were calculated as a fraction of the total with GON, using the ratio of people with these conditions in the two population surveys (for example, in Mongolia: PACG = 8/14 = 0.5714).

Calculating the number of people with occludable angles was calculated using the estimated number of people with POAG, PACG, and secondary glaucoma, and the rates of visual loss given in Table 1. Calculated figures are given in Table 5. The fact that these figures represent a rough estimate is highlighted by the difference between calculated number of PACG sufferers in Table 4 (3.5 million) and Table 5 (4.5 million).

**Can the problem be dealt with?**

Our data suggest that among China’s 405 million adults aged 40 years and older there are somewhere in the region of 9.4 million adults with GON. Approximately 5.2 million people are blind in at least one eye, and 1.7 million of these are blind in both eyes as a consequence of this disease. If findings in Mongolia and Singapore are applicable to China, glaucoma probably accounts for almost as much blindness as does cataract. PACG is probably responsible for the vast majority of bilateral glaucoma blindness, and therefore efforts to tackle blindness in China may not be fully successful unless the problem of glaucoma is addressed.

It has been shown that PAC can be detected before the onset of symptomatic episodes of glaucoma. These data show that 26.44% of the population was urban. Therefore a 0.2644 weighting was applied to calculate the number of people in an urban environment. The remaining population was rural, and it was assumed that data from Mongolia were representative of rural China and those from Singapore were representative of urban China. The numbers of people with occludable angles were calculated using the age and specific population data. The numbers of people with PAC included those with PACG.
The future of glaucoma blindness prevention in east Asia

The available data show that glaucoma is a major cause of blindness in east Asia. The figures calculated here should not be seen as an accurate projection, but rather as an estimate of the approximate size of the problem. There is good evidence that PACG may be detected at an early stage, and it may be amenable to laser iridotomy. However, the number of robust studies examining the performance of screening tests is small. Further work in this area is necessary, ideally in the community setting. The exact treatment that should be offered as prophylaxis needs to be investigated further, to evaluate both safety and efficacy. If Western policies on the role of laser iridotomy are extrapolated to China, there are probably 28 million people who should have a laser iridotomy. A more conservative approach (testing people with PAC) would still require treatment of around 9.1 million. Clearly, a small risk of treatment causing harm (for treatment of around 9.1 million. Clearly, a further, to evaluate both safety and efficacy. If Western policies on the role of laser iridotomy are extrapolated to China, there are probably 28 million people who should have a laser iridotomy. A more conservative approach (testing people with PAC) would still require treatment of around 9.1 million. Clearly, a small risk of treatment causing harm (for example, corneal endothelial damage from YAG laser iridotomy being performed by medical personnel without an appropriate level of training) when applied to the massive numbers that may need to be treated, could translate into a significant number of people suffering iatrogenic loss of vision.

We envisage a series of randomised trials of screening and prophylactic laser PI taking place in Chinese communities throughout Asia to assess the optimal performance of preventive treatment. It is likely that such trials will need sample sizes of between 5000 and 10 000 people followed for approximately 15 years. One such study of 4725 people aged 50 years and older is already under way in Mongolia. If intervention is proved to be safe and effective the emphasis would then shift to operational research, examining the appropriate staffing development, equipment requirements, and development of quality control systems. In order for this strategy to be evidence based, we have laid out a research timetable of approximately 15 years, requiring several large randomised, controlled trials to be performed in east Asia. The scale of the problem is daunting.

However, we may now look forward with considerable optimism to the prospect of developing strategies to prevent blindness from PACG in Asia.