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Abstract

Aim—To prospectively investigate changes in the area of the anterior capsule opening, and intraocular lens (IOL) decentration and tilt after implantation of a hydrogel IOL.

Methods—100 patients underwent implantation of a hydrogel IOL in one eye and an acrylic IOL implantation in the opposite eye. The area of the anterior capsule opening, and the degree of IOL decentration and tilt were measured using the Scheimpflug videophotography system at 3 days, and at 1, 3, and 6 months postoperatively.

Results—The mean anterior capsule opening area decreased significantly in both groups. At 6 months postoperatively, the area in the hydrogel group was significantly smaller than that in the acrylic group. The mean percentage of the area reduction in the hydrogel group was also significantly greater than that in the acrylic group, being 16.9% in the hydrogel group and 8.8% in the acrylic group. In contrast, IOL decentration and tilt did not progress in either group. No significant differences were found in the degree of IOL decentration and tilt throughout the follow-up period.

Conclusions—Contraction of the anterior capsule opening was more extensive with the hydrogel IOL than with the acrylic IOL, but the degree of IOL decentration and tilt were similar for the two types of lenses studied.

When performing small incision cataract surgery, ophthalmic surgeons are now able to choose many types of foldable intraocular lenses (IOLs). At present, the optics of most foldable IOLs are made of silicone or soft acrylic. Recently, a foldable IOL with the optic composed of a hydrogel copolymer (Hydroview, H60M, Bausch & Lomb, St Louis, MO, USA) has become commercially available. It has been shown that hydrogel lenses have excellent biocompatibility since they are hydrophilic, \(^{1,2}\) and few inflammatory cells are deposited on their surface. \(^{3,4}\) However, because of one piece design or an weak adhesive quality of hydrogel materials to the lens capsule, \(^{5,6}\) one type of plate haptic hydrogel IOL (Jogel; Alcon Laboratories, Fort Worth, TX, USA) made of poly(2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate) (HEMA), of which 38% was water, tended to become
decentred. \(^{7,8}\) The Hydroview IOL has a three piece open loop design with a hydrogel optic composed of 2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate and 6-hydroxyhexyl methacrylate, and has a water content of 18%. The Hydroview IOL, made of a different hydrogel material and with a different design, may preclude or avoid the problems encountered with the earlier hydrogel IOL.

It has been reported that postoperative changes of the lens capsule, including anterior capsule contraction and fibrosis, \(^{9,10}\) and posterior capsule opacification \(^{11,12}\) vary substantially depending upon the optic material of the implanted lenses. For example, we have shown that anterior capsule contraction is more extensive with a silicone IOL than with a poly(methylmethacrylate) or acrylic IOL. \(^{13}\) An extensive contraction of the anterior capsule opening certainly restricts the examination of the peripheral retina and can also impair the visual function. Furthermore, previous studies demonstrated the hydrogel IOL to be associated with more opacification of the posterior capsule. \(^{14,15}\) Thus, a better understanding of the interaction between the optic material and the lens capsule is essential for appropriate selection of an IOL.

The objective of the study was to prospectively examine reduction of the anterior capsule opening in the presence of a hydrogel IOL, and the degree of IOL decentration and tilt. In this study, the degree of these changes were compared with those of soft acrylic IOLs, which served as controls and which were implanted in the same manner in the opposite eye.

Patients and methods

All patients who were consecutively admitted to the Hayashi Eye Hospital for bilateral cataract surgery between December 1999 and June 2000 were screened for inclusion in this study. Preoperative exclusion criteria were: (1) cataracts due to causes other than age, (2) complicated cases scheduled for extracapsular or intracapsular cataract extraction, (3) a history of ocular surgery or inflammation, (4) ocular pathologies such as diabetic retinopathy and retinitis pigmentosa, (5) pseudoxeflation syndrome, and (6) a pupil diameter measuring less than 6.0 mm; (7) patients who could not be available for follow up were also excluded. Patient screening was continued until 100 patients who were to undergo phacoemulsification and IOL implantation were recruited.

The study protocol was approved by the institutional review board, and written informed consent was obtained from each
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All surgeries were performed by the same surgeon (KH) using standardised surgical procedures and medications. An approximately 3.5 mm scleral pocket incision was made for implantation of either IOL. After the incision, a 5.5 mm continuous capsulorhexis was created using a 25 gauge bent needle with 1% sodium hyaluronate. Following a thorough hydrodissection, the nucleus was emulsified and the cortical material aspirated completely. The lens capsule was reformed with sodium hyaluronate and the IOL placed into the capsular bag. In no case were any sutures placed. Eyes with surgical complications, including anterior capsule tears, posterior capsule rupture, and zonular dialysis, were excluded from the analysis.

Patients underwent examinations to measure the anterior capsule opening, and the degree of IOL decentration and tilt at 3 days, and at 1, 3, and 6 months after surgery using the Scheimpflug videophotography system (EAS-1000; Nidek Inc, Gamagori, Japan). The methods to measure the anterior capsule opening area, as well as the decentration length and tilt angle using the EAS-1000 system have all been described in previous studies. All measurements with the EAS-1000 system were carried out by five experienced ophthalmic technicians who were unaware of the purpose of this study.

Statistical analyses were performed to compare differences in the measurement data between the hydrogel and acrylic IOL groups using the Mann-Whitney U test. The repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) was also used to compare differences between the various time points. Discrete parameters were compared using the χ² test. Any differences showing a p value of less than 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

**Results**

Of the 100 enrolled patients, 93 completed the 6 month follow up; seven patients did not undergo examination at 6 months after surgery. The average patient age was 71.4 (SD 6.5) years old, with a range of 52–85 years. There were 34 men and 66 women. No statistically significant difference was found regarding age between the hydrogel and acrylic IOL groups. At the first postoperative examination, after full mydriasis at 3 days after surgery, all IOLs were confirmed to be implanted in the capsular bag.

Table 1 summarises the mean area of the anterior capsule opening in the hydrogel and acrylic groups. The mean opening area decreased significantly after implant surgery in both groups (p < 0.0001). The Mann-Whitney U test showed no significant difference between groups in the mean area at 3 days, or at 1 and 3 months after surgery. However, at 6 months the opening area in the hydrogel group was significantly smaller than that in the acrylic group (p = 0.0019). Furthermore, the mean percentage of area reduction in the hydrogel group at both 3 and 6 months after surgery was significantly greater than that in the acrylic group (Fig 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Postoperative interval</th>
<th>Hydrogel group</th>
<th>Acrylic group</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 week</td>
<td>26.9 (3.7)</td>
<td>26.3 (3.5)</td>
<td>0.2533*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>24.5 (5.0)</td>
<td>24.3 (5.0)</td>
<td>0.7067*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>22.4 (5.3)</td>
<td>23.4 (4.9)</td>
<td>0.1973*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>22.6 (5.3)</td>
<td>24.1 (4.7)</td>
<td>0.0444†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < 0.0001, †Statistically significant difference between the groups.

Figure 1 Comparison of the mean percentage of the area reduction between the hydrogel and acrylic IOL groups. The mean percentage of the area reduction in the hydrogel group was significantly greater than that in the acrylic group at 3 and 6 months after surgery. *Not statistically significant, †statistically significant.
Figure 2 displays the mean length of IOL decentration in the two groups. The mean length of decentration did not progress in either group (p = 0.8076 in the hydrogel group and p = 0.9475 in the acrylic group, repeated measures ANOVA). Furthermore, no statistically significant difference was found in decentration between the hydrogel and acrylic groups throughout the 6 month follow up period.

Figure 3 shows the mean degree of IOL tilt. The mean angle of tilt did not progress in either group (p = 0.6754 in the hydrogel group and p = 0.2819 in the acrylic group), and there was no significant difference in tilt angle between the two groups.

Four eyes (4.0%) in the hydrogel group underwent neodymium (Nd):YAG laser anterior capsulotomy within the 6 month follow up, while only one eye (1.0%) required laser treatment in the acrylic group. The mean opening area of the eyes that underwent anterior capsulotomy was 11.3 (SD 5.9) mm², and the average interval between implant surgery and anterior capsulotomy was 4.4 (2.3) months. There was no significant difference in the survival curve of eyes not requiring anterior capsulotomy between the two groups (p = 0.1733, Mantel-Cox log rank test).

Figure 4 illustrates representative retroillumination photographs at 6 months of eyes in the hydrogel and acrylic groups, respectively. In the eye with a hydrogel IOL, fibrosis of the anterior capsule opening margin is marked (Fig 4A), whereas in the opposite eye, with the acrylic IOL, fibrosis of the anterior capsule is much less (Fig 4B).

**Discussion**

Hydrogel materials are reported to be highly biocompatible in terms of their hydrophilic properties. However, a former hydrogel IOL with one piece plate haptic design had a high incidence of marked decentration. Furthermore, the serious complication of posterior capsule fibrosis is less than that with the hydrogel IOL. More importantly, 4.0% of eyes with a hydrogel IOL required Nd:YAG laser anterior capsulotomy, while only 1.0% of eyes with the acrylic IOL required this additional procedure. These results indicate that the hydrogel IOL has certain disadvantages in terms of anterior capsule contraction.

In contrast, neither the hydrogel nor acrylic IOLs showed progression of decentration and tilt. Furthermore, the degree of IOL decentration and tilt of the two lenses was almost the same. Menapace et al. reported that a certain model of the former hydrogel IOL was...
not so markedly decentred in the capsular bag. Our results confirm that the Hydroview IOL with open loop design showed excellent centration so long as the IOL was placed in the bag.

The biological characteristics of the hydrogel IOL include high biocompatibility but weak adhesion to the lens capsule. As to the biocompatibility, many studies reported an extensive and persistent outgrowth of lens epithelial cells onto the IOL surface.19-21 In this series, we found only a slight membranous growth of lens epithelial cells due to myofibroblast25-27 and, therefore, may lead to dense fibrosis under the anterior capsule. In addition, migration of spindle-shaped lens epithelial (fibre) cells into the retrolental space was noted in the early postoperative period (data not shown), which is consistent with previous studies. It thus may be that the weak adhesion of the hydrogel material to the capsule leads to these disadvantages.

In conclusion, contraction of the anterior capsule opening was greater with the hydrogel IOL than with the acrylic IOL. The area of the anterior capsule opening after polymethylmethacrylate, silicone, and soft acrylic intraocular lens implantation. J Cataract Refract Surg 1998;24:352–60.


