Macrophage migration inhibitory factor in ocular fluids of patients with uveitis
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Abstract

Aims—To investigate the levels of macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF) in intraocular fluids of uveitis patients, the capacity of intraocular infiltrating lymphocytes to produce MIF, and the correlation between MIF levels in the eye and intraocular inflammatory activity.

Methods—MIF levels were measured by enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) using (1) aqueous humour (AH) of 12 uveitis patients and eight control patients with cataract, (2) vitreous fluid of 15 uveitis patients and eight control patients with idiopathic macular hole, and (3) culture supernatants of T cell clones (TCCs) established from intraocular fluids of uveitis patients. MIF expression on infiltrating cells was determined by a double staining immunofluorescence technique using a flow cytometry.

Results—Significant levels of MIF were detected from intraocular fluids of uveitis patients (4.0 (SD 3.0) ng/ml in AH and 16.5 (24.7) ng/ml in vitreous), whereas MIF levels in control groups were below the detectable levels. There was a significant correlation between MIF levels and vitreous inflammation (29.7 (30.0) ng/ml in active uveitis vs 3.3 (2.6) ng/ml in inactive uveitis, p<0.05). Significant levels of MIF were detected in culture supernatants of TCCs from ocular fluids of uveitis patients. MIF was expressed on infiltrating CD4+ lymphocytes from vitreous of uveitis patients.

Conclusion—Significant levels of MIF are present in intraocular fluids of patients with uveitis. Lymphocytes infiltrating in the eye are capable of producing MIF. MIF levels in vitreous fluid are correlated with vitreous inflammation activity. These data thus indicate that MIF in the eye has a significant role in the pathophysiology of ocular inflammation.

Materials and methods

SUBJECTS

Twelve patients with various types of uveitis were collected to study AH (Table 1). The intensity of anterior uveitis was graded according to the scoring system of Hogan et al.8 Eight patients (three males and five females, mean age 56 years old) with cataract who had no history of intraocular inflammation were used as a control group. The AH samples (100 µl) from the uveitis patients were collected using a 29 gauge needle through the peripheral cornea after disinfection and local anaesthesia. The AH samples (100 µl) from the cataract patients were obtained during cataract surgery.

Macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF) was discovered as a T lymphocyte derived factor to inhibit macrophage migration.1 Recent investigations have demonstrated that MIF is a pro-inflammatory pituitary and macrophage cytokine and a critical mediator of septic shock.2 Moreover, MIF is capable of overcoming glucocorticoid mediated inhibition of cytokines, such as tumour necrosis factor α (TNFα), interleukin (IL)-1β, IL-6, IL-8, and interferon γ (IFNγ).3,4 Expression of MIF was also found in human vascular endothelial cells.5 Nishihira cloned rat MIF cDNA and identified nucleotide sequence of the cDNA. They demonstrated that MIF mRNA was expressed in a wide variety of organs, such as the brain, kidney, and liver.6 In the eye, MIF is constitutively expressed in human corneal epithelial and endothelial cells,7 iris, ciliary epithelium, and retina of the rat.8 Although the function of MIF in the eye has not been well studied, Apte et al demonstrated that MIF shared more than 90% homology with a factor in the aqueous humour (AH) which inhibited natural killer (NK) cell mediated lysis of corneal endothelial cells.9 The NK cell inhibitory effects of AH were neutralised by anti-MIF antibody. Moreover, recombinant MIF (rMIF) induced a similar inhibition on NK cell activity. These findings suggest that MIF is capable of inhibiting at least one immune effector element, NK cells, and thereby contributing in immune privilege in the eye.

In patients with uveitis, serum levels of MIF were significantly higher than those in healthy control.10 Moreover, in patients with Behcet’s disease the serum MIF levels in the exacerbation stage were significantly higher than those in the convalescent stage. These observations suggest that the MIF level in the sera is associated with uveal inflammation. However, no studies have investigated MIF in the local site of ocular inflammation. The present study was, therefore, aimed at measuring MIF concentrations in ocular fluids of patients with uveitis and the capacity of infiltrating lymphocytes to produce MIF.
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or persistent vitreous opacities. Among the 15 patients (16 samples), eight samples were taken at the time of active vitreous inflammation more than grade 1 according to the grading scale of Nussenblatt et al.13 The other eight samples were taken at the time of no active vitreous inflammation during vitrectomy in order to remove epiretinal membrane, fibrovascular membrane, and persistent vitreous haemorrhage. The vitreous fluids of eight patients with idiopathic macular hole (three males and five females, mean age 58.9 (SD 5.2) years old) was collected during vitreous surgery and used as a control group. Immediately after sampling, vitreous was centrifuged 10 000 rpm for 10 minutes and the supernatant was collected and frozen at −80°C until use.

Twelve other patients with uveitis (two patients each of Behçet’s disease, Vogt-Koyanagi-Harada disease, and human T cell lymphotropic virus type I (HTLV-I) uveitis; one patient each of acute anterior uveitis, acute retinal necrosis syndrome, cytomegalovirus retinitis, and toxocariasis) were subjected to established T cell clones (TCCs) from infiltrating cells in AH or vitreous fluid. Two patients (Behçet’s disease and VKH disease) were also subjected to established TCCs from peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC). Each patient had active inflammation in the anterior chamber or vitreous at the time of sampling. PBMC of two healthy donors were used to establish PBMC TCCs (PBMC-TCCs) as controls.

This study was performed according to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. Informed consent was obtained from each patient before collecting AH and vitreous fluid.

ESTABLISHMENT OF TCCS
TCCs were established cells by limiting dilution methods described previously.14 Briefly, cells from AH, vitreous fluid, and PBMC were placed at a concentration of one cell/ml in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% heat inactivated fetal calf serum (FCS) (Gibco Laboratories, Grand Island, NY, USA), 50 µg/ml streptomycin, 10% heat inactivated fetal calf serum (FCS) (Bioserum, Parkville, Victoria, Australia), and 100 U/ml penicillin G. The medium used for the culture was RPMI-1640 medium (Gibco Laboratories, Grand Island, NY, USA) supplemented with 100 U/ml penicillin G, 50 µg/ml streptomycin, 10% heat inactivated fetal calf serum (FCS) (Bioserum, Parkville, Victoria, Australia), and 100 U/ml human recombinant IL-2 (rIL-2) (Shionogi Pharmaceutical Co., Osaka, Japan). The plated cells were incubated in humidified 5% carbon dioxide in air at 37°C. The feeder cells were added to each well along with 100 U/ml rIL-2 every 7 days until an outgrowth of cells was observed. The proliferating cells were maintained with the feeder cells and rIL-2 in 24 well tissue culture plates (Falcon) and used for further studies. The TCCs were washed three times with RPMI 1640 medium, then cultured at 5 × 10⁵ cells/ml in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% FCS in 48 well tissue culture plates (Falcon) for 22 hours. Cell free culture supernatant of TCC were collected and stored at −80°C until use for MIF assay.

MEASUREMENT OF MIF
The concentrations of MIF in AH, vitreous fluid, and supernatant of TCCs were measured by enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) as described elsewhere.15 Briefly, the anti-human MIF antibody was added to each well of a 96 well microtitre plate and left for 1 hour at room temperature. All wells were filled with phosphate buffered saline containing 1% bovine serum albumin for blocking and left for 1 hour at room temperature. Samples were added into individual wells in a duplicate manner and incubated for 1 hour at room temperature. After the plate was washed three times, 50 µl biotin conjugated anti-human MIF antibody (IgG fraction) was added to each well. The polyclonal anti-human MIF antibody was generated by immunising New Zealand white rabbits with purified recombinant human MIF. The IgG fraction (4 mg/ml) was prepared using Protein A Sepharose (Pharmacia, Uppsala, Sweden) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. After incubation for 1 hour, streptavidin-horseradish peroxidase conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG antibody (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) was added to each well and incubated for 1 hour. Fifty µl of substrate containing o-phenylenediamine (Wako, Osaka, Japan) and hydrogen peroxide (Wako) in citrate phosphate buffer (pH 5.0) was added to each well. After incubate for 20 minutes, the reaction was stopped with sulphuric acid. The absorbance at 492 nm was measured using an ELISA plate reader. The lower limit of MIF detection by this method was 1 ng/ml.

DETECTION OF MIF EXPRESSION BY FLOW CYTOMETRY
The expression of MIF was examined on the infiltrating cells in the vitreous of patients with sarcoidosis by double colour immunofluorescence technique. Immediately after sampling, the vitreous was centrifuged at 10 000 rpm for 10 minutes. Infiltrating cells were fixed in 1 ml phosphate buffered saline (PBS) with 4% formaldehyde and permeabilised by saponin based permeabilised medium (saponin buffer). The cells were incubated at 4°C for 30 minutes with anti-human MIF monoclonal antibody (3H2F; 1 µg/ml), and stained surface marker of CD4 with PE conjugated mouse monoclonal antibody (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) was added into individual wells in a duplicate manner and incubated for 1 hour at room temperature. After washing with saponin buffer, FITC conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (Cappel; ICN Pharmaceuticals, Inc, Aurora, OH, USA) was added at 4°C for 30 minutes. The samples were performed by double colour fluorescence flow cytometry using FACS Caliber (Becton Dickinson Immune-cytometry Systems, San Jose, CA, USA). As well as TCCs established from aqueous humour with active uveitis in sarcoidosis were also examined by the same flow cytometry technique. In this assay, we used FITC labelled antibodies as follow; CD4 (ND-THi; Nichirei), CD25 (IL-2Ra), CD95 (Fas/UB2; MBL, Nagoya, Japan).
**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Statistical analysis was performed using Mann-Whitney U test. The difference between the two groups compared was determined to be statistically significant when the p value was smaller than 0.05.

<p>| Table 1 MIF concentrations in the aqueous humour |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age, sex (years)</th>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Uveitis</th>
<th>Anatomical type</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>MIF concentrations (ng/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>26, M</td>
<td>Behcet’s disease</td>
<td>panuveitis</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>27, M</td>
<td>VKH disease</td>
<td>panuveitis</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>47, F</td>
<td>VKH disease</td>
<td>panuveitis</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>52, F</td>
<td>VKH disease</td>
<td>panuveitis</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>41, M</td>
<td>Sarcoidosis</td>
<td>panuveitis</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>60, F</td>
<td>Sarcoidosis</td>
<td>panuveitis</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>67, M</td>
<td>Acute anterior uveitis</td>
<td>anterior uveitis</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>53, F</td>
<td>HTLV-I uveitis</td>
<td>panuveitis</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>55, F</td>
<td>ARNS</td>
<td>panuveitis</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>47, M</td>
<td>ARNS</td>
<td>panuveitis</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>45, M</td>
<td>Toxocariasis</td>
<td>panuveitis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>58, M</td>
<td>Toxocariasis</td>
<td>panuveitis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.005, †p<0.0005, compared with healthy donor’s PBMC (Mann-Whitney U test).

<p>| Table 2 MIF concentrations in the vitreous fluid |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age, sex (years)</th>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Vitreous inflammation</th>
<th>MIF concentrations (ng/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>39, M</td>
<td>Behcet’s disease</td>
<td>Active grade 4</td>
<td>30.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>47, M</td>
<td>HTLV-I uveitis</td>
<td>Active grade 2</td>
<td>13.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>55, M</td>
<td>ARNS</td>
<td>Active grade 3</td>
<td>93.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>55, F</td>
<td>ARNS</td>
<td>Active grade 3</td>
<td>25.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>46, M</td>
<td>CMV retinitis</td>
<td>Active grade 2</td>
<td>20.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>78, F</td>
<td>CMV retinitis</td>
<td>Active grade 2</td>
<td>2.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>45, M</td>
<td>Toxocariasis</td>
<td>Active grade 3</td>
<td>50.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>58, M</td>
<td>Toxocariasis</td>
<td>Active grade 1</td>
<td>1.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.005, †p<0.0005, compared with controls (Mann-Whitney U test).

**Results**

**MIF CONCENTRATIONS IN AH OF PATIENTS WITH UVEITIS**

The MIF concentrations in AH of control patients with cataract were below the detectable levels (<1 ng/ml) (n=8). In contrast, the MIF concentrations in AH of active uveitis were mean 4.0 (SD 3.0) ng/ml (n=12) (Table 1). The difference between the two groups was statistically significant (p<0.05).

**MIF CONCENTRATIONS IN THE VITREOUS FLUID OF UVEITIS PATIENTS**

MIF concentrations were the vitreous fluid of all control patients, the mean vitreous inflammation was 29.7 (30.0) ng/ml, n=8 was significantly higher than that of patients with inactive vitreous inflammation 3.3 (2.6) ng/ml, n=8 (p<0.05) (Table 2).

**CORRELATION BETWEEN THE VITREOUS MIF CONCENTRATIONS AND THE ACTIVITY OF VITREOUS INFLAMMATION**

Among of uveitis patients, MIF in the vitreous of patients with active vitreous inflammation (29.7 (30.0) ng/ml, n = 8) was significantly higher than that of patients with inactive vitreous inflammation (3.3 (2.6) ng/ml, n = 8) (p<0.05) (Table 2).

**MIF PRODUCTION BY TCCs ESTABLISHED FROM OCULAR FLUID**

MIF concentrations in the culture supernatant of TCCs established from PBMC of healthy donors, Behcet’s disease, and VKH disease were 0.3 (0.8) ng/ml, 0.2 (0.6) ng/ml, and below detectable levels, respectively (Table 3). There was no significant difference among the three groups. In contrast, significant levels of MIF were detected in the culture supernatants of TCCs established from intraocular infiltrating cells of various entities of uveitis (Table 3). The mean MIF concentrations in the culture supernatant of AH-TCCs was 5.6 (9.1) ng/ml, and that of vitreous TCCs was 2.1 (2.2) ng/ml (Table 3). A statistical significance in the MIF concentrations was recorded between AH TCCs from uveitis patients and PBMC-TCCs from healthy donors, and also between vitreous TCCs from uveitis patients and PBMC-TCCs from healthy donors.

**DETECTION OF MIF ON OCULAR INFLTRATING CELLS AND TCCs**

A double staining immunofluorescence method using flow cytometry detected MIF expression on CD4 positive TCCs established from Behcet’s disease. Ninety four per cent of the cells were double positive for CD4 and MIF (Fig 1A). The cells were also positive for MIF and CD25, MIF and IFN-γ, MIF and CD25, MIF and IFN-γ (Fig 1B, C, D). The population of cells double positive for MIF and CD25, MIF and CD29, MIF and IFN-γ were 94%, 96%, and 82%, respectively (Fig 2B–D). In addition, infiltrating cells freshly obtained from the vitreous of another patient with sarcoidosis were double positive for CD4 and MIF (Fig 2).
The present study demonstrated for the first time that AH and vitreous fluid of patients with uveitis contained significant levels of MIF and the MIF levels in the intraocular fluids correlated with activity of intraocular inflammation. The mean MIF levels in AH and vitreous fluids in active uveitis were 4.0 (3.0) ng/ml and 29.7 (30.0) ng/ml, respectively. The reason why MIF levels in AH were much lower than those in vitreous fluid is not known, but it could be attributed to a high rate of the aqueous outflow via the trabecular meshwork compared with a low turnover rate of the vitreous. In a previous study by Kitaichi et al. high MIF levels were detected in the sera of uveitis patients—that is, 60.4 (9.0) ng/ml in Behçet’s disease, 16.5 (2.9) ng/ml in VKH disease, 27.7 (5.1) ng/ml in sarcoidosis, and 5.4 (0.04) ng/ml in healthy donors. The MIF level in the vitreous measured in our study was similar level to that MIF levels in the sera reported in the previous study. Because it is well known that the blood-ocular barrier is disrupted when intraocular inflammation occurs, the data described above might indicate a possibility that MIF detected in the eye might passively enter from the peripheral circulation into the eye. Therefore, we performed two independent experiments to test whether MIF in the eye is, at least in part, produced and released by intraocular infiltrating cells. To investigate the capacity of local inflammation cells to produce MIF, we established TCCs from intraocular infiltrating cells and tested their capacity to produce MIF. MIF levels in the cultured supernatant of TCCs established from intraocular infiltrating cells in AH and vitreous fluid of uveitis patients expressed MIF (ocular infiltrating cells and TCCs) in the ocular fluids of uveitis patients and PBMC-TCCs (Table 3). These data thus indicate that intraocular infiltrating T lymphocytes have a capacity to produce and release significant levels of MIF. This notion was further supported by another experiment using a double staining immunofluorescence technique—namely, CD4+ T lymphocytes (ocular infiltrating cells and TCCs) in the ocular fluids of uveitis patients expressed MIF (Figs 1 and 2). Therefore, MIF detected in AH and vitreous fluid in the present study was, at least in part, produced by T lymphocytes infiltrating in the eye. However, MIF production by PBMC of uveitis patients appears to be very low as demonstrated by extremely low or no production of MIF by TCCs from PBMC of patients with Behçet’s disease and VKH disease. It noteworthy that TCCs established from the ocular infiltrating cells of same patients produced much higher levels of MIF than the PBMC-TCCs (Table 3). These data thus suggest that intraocular infiltrating cells are upregulated much more actively than PBMC in terms of the capacity to produce MIF.

As for the relation between MIF and pathophysiology, the present study showed a significant correlation between MIF levels in the vitreous fluid and the activity of vitreous inflammation. This suggests a significant role...
of MIF in the pathophysiology of intraocular inflammation. The subjects of the present study were heterogeneous, and included a few patients in each disease entity, because informed consent was difficult to obtain from uveitis patients for sampling AH and vitreous fluid. Thus, correlation between intraocular MIF and uveitis was not analysed and this remains a subject for the further studies.

In conclusion, a significant level of MIF is present in intraocular fluid (AH and vitreous fluid) of uveitis patients and the intraocular MIF is, at least in part, produced by infiltrating lymphocytes. The MIF levels in the eye correlate with the intensity of intraocular inflammation. These data thus suggest a significant role for MIF in the pathophysiology of intraocular inflammation.
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