Histopathological examination of two cases of anterior staphyloma associated with Peters’ anomaly and persistent hyperplastic primary vitreous
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Abstract

Aims—To clarify the developmental mechanism and critical period for the uncommon complex of Peters’ anomaly and persistent hyperplastic primary vitreous (PHPV).

Methods—Two eyes with Peters’ anomaly and PHPV were histologically examined by serial section. One eye was enucleated at age 7 months (case 1) and the other at age 4 months (case 2) owing to severe anterior staphyloma.

Results—In both eyes, defects in the endothelium, Descemet’s membrane, and posterior stroma were observed in the central cornea, and the degenerative lens adhered to the posterior surface of the defective corneal stroma. Also, in both eyes, the anterior chamber space was not formed and the undifferentiated iris stroma adhered to the posterior surface of the peripheral cornea. Mesenchymal tissue containing melanocytes was observed behind the degenerative lens, and the pigment epithelium was absent at the lower nasal side of the ciliary body in case 1. In case 2, mesenchymal tissue containing scattered melanocytes in the vitreous cavity was seen on the posterior retina. Based on the histological findings, both cases were diagnosed as Peters’ anomaly caused by the faulty separation of the lens vesicle, PHPV, maldevelopment of the iris and ciliary body, and goniodysgenesis.

Conclusion—Migratory disorders of neural crest cells from 4 to 7 weeks of gestation may be responsible for various ocular anomalies including Peters’ anomaly and PHPV, as observed in these cases.

In 1906, Peters’ first reported on patients with central corneal opacity and ring-shaped irido-corneal adhesion caused by the absence of the corneal Descemet’s membrane. Later, similar patients with congenital central corneal opacity due to the absence of the corneal endothelium, Descemet’s membrane, and posterior stroma were diagnosed as having Peters’ anomaly.1–4 Peters’ anomaly is usually seen as an isolated ocular defect; however, the disease can accompany other ocular and systemic anomalies.5–9 Persistent hyperplastic primary vitreous (PHPV), first identified by Reese,10 is a congenital malformation of the primary vitreous that is characterised by a retrolental white plaque of fibrovascular tissue. Subsequently, Pruitt and Schepens11 classified malformations involving a retrolental mass, as described by Reese,10 as anterior PHPV, and malformations involving a congenital retinal fold12 or ablato falciformis congenita13 as posterior PHPV. In cases with anterior PHPV, the ciliary processes are drawn inward by their attachment to the white plaque, often lying against the posterior lens, so they are visible in the pupil, while, in cases with posterior PHPV, strands of glial tissue extending from the retina into the vitreous represent another characteristic histological finding.14 A variety of clinical findings can be associated with PHPV, including microphthalmos, glaucoma due to closure of the chamber angle, shallowing of the anterior chamber, corneal opacity, cataract, uveal coloboma, and retinal degeneration; however, PHPV is also often seen as an isolated defect.

As described, both Peters’ anomaly and PHPV were usually seen as isolated ocular diseases. Although the association of Peters’ anomaly and PHPV was reported clinically8 and histopathologically7, the developmental mechanism and critical period for the clinically uncommon complex of these two malformations has not been adequately explained embryologically to date. We recently examined two cases with the complex of these two malformations. In the present study, we examined histopathologically the enucleated eyeballs with various ocular anomalies, including Peters’ anomaly and PHPV, by serial section, evaluated their histological findings embryologically and, finally, inferred their developmental mechanism and critical period.

Patients and methods

CLINICAL COURSES

Case 1

A 6 day old boy was referred to our clinic with a white pupil in the left eye that was present at birth. At the first examination, a central corneal opacity, elongated ciliary processes, and whitish mass behind the lens were observed (Fig 1A). The anterior chamber was not formed, and the fundus could not be observed in the left eye. No pathological findings were seen in the right eye. Intraocular pressure (IOP) was 8 mm Hg in the right eye,
and 20 mm Hg in the left. The corneal
diameter was 10.0 mm \( \times \) 9.5 mm in the right
eye, and 11.0 mm \( \times \) 10.5 mm in the left. The
axial length was 16.2 mm in the right eye, and
17.8 mm in the left. Clinically, the patient was
diagnosed as having Peters’ anomaly and ante-
rior PHPV. He was referred to a paediatrician
for an examination of associated systemic
anomalies; however, none was detected. Chro-
mosomal analysis showed normal karyotype,
and all serological test results for TORCH
embryopathy were negative. In addition, we
examined the parents of the patient, and they
showed no ophthalmological anomalies. The
IOP in the patient’s left eye elevated to 30 mm
Hg or more from 3 weeks after birth. Medical
treatment could not control the IOP in the left
eye, and cyclocryocautery was performed on
the left eye at 8 weeks after birth. However, the
IOP in the left eye still could not be controlled,
and the left eye manifested anterior staphy-
loma. Since the patient could not close his left
eye because of severely progressing anterior
staphyloma, and the protruding thin cornea seemed to perforate, the left eye
was enucleated at age 4 months and underwent histopathological examination.

Case 2

A 6 week old boy was referred to our clinic with
corneal opacity in the left eye that had been
present since birth. At the first examination,
central corneal opacity and a poorly formed
anterior chamber were seen in the left eye. The
cornea protruded anteriorly, corresponding to
anterior staphyloma (Fig 1B). The fundus
could not be observed in the left eye. No
pathological findings were seen in the right eye.
The IOP was 21 mm Hg in the right and
impossible to measure in the left due to the
severely protruded cornea. The corneal diam-
eter was 10.0 mm \( \times \) 10.5 mm in the right eye,
and 14.0 mm \( \times \) 12.0 mm in the left. The
patient was diagnosed as having Peters’
anomaly. He was referred to a paediatrician for
an examination of associated systemic anom-
alies; however, none was detected. Chromo-
somal analysis showed normal karyotype, and
all serological test results for TORCH embryo-
pathy were negative. We examined the parents
of the patient, and they showed no ophthalmological anomalies. Since the patient could not
close his left eye because of severely progress-
ing anterior staphyloma, and the protruding thin cornea seemed to perforate, the left eye
was enucleated at age 7 months and underwent histopathological examination.

HISTOPATHOLOGICAL PROCEDURES

The enucleated eyes were fixed immediately in
4% paraformaldehyde solution at 20°C. Follow-
ing fixation for approximately 7 days, the
fixed tissue blocks were dehydrated in a series
of ascending concentrations of ethanol, cleared
in xylene, and embedded in paraffin wax. Serial
sections of the eyes were cut at a thickness of
4.0 µm and mounted on glass slides. After
being dewaxed in xylene, sections were hy-
drated in a series of descending concentrations
of ethanol. The hydrated sections were stained.
with Meyer's haematoxylin solution at 20°C for 10 minutes, rinsed in tap water for 15 minutes, immersed in 0.5% eosin solution at 20°C for 10 minutes, dehydrated in a series of ascending concentrations of ethanol, cleared in xylene, and mounted in Harleco synthetic resin solution (Kokusai Shiyaku, Japan). All the sections were examined by light microscopy using Provis AX 70 with U-photo (Olympus Co, Japan) and were recorded by photomicrographs.

Results
In both eyes, the defects in the endothelium, Descemet’s membrane, and posterior stroma were observed in the central cornea (Figs 2A, 2B, 3A, 3B, 4A). The degenerative lens adhered to the posterior surface of the defective corneal stroma in both eyes (Figs 2A, 2B, 3A, 3B), and lens material was detected in the corneal stroma of case 2 (Fig 3B). In both eyes, the anterior chamber space was not formed, and the undifferentiated iris stroma adhered to the posterior surface of the peripheral cornea (Fig 3B). Mesenchymal tissue (small asterisk) is observed behind the degenerative lens. Elongated ciliary processes (arrowheads) are observed. Haematoxylin and eosin. Bar = 700 µm.

(A) A histological photograph of the anterior segment of case 1. The degenerative lens (large asterisks) adheres to the posterior surface of the defective corneal stroma. The anterior chamber space is not formed, and the undifferentiated iris stroma (arrows) adheres to the posterior surface of the peripheral cornea and lens. Mesenchymal tissue (small asterisk) is observed behind the degenerative lens. Elongated ciliary processes (arrowheads) are observed. Haematoxylin and eosin. Bar = 700 µm.
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In both eyes, the defects in the endothelium, Descemet’s membrane, and posterior stroma were observed in the central cornea (Figs 2A, 2B, 3A, 3B, 4A). The degenerative lens adhered to the posterior surface of the defective corneal stroma in both eyes (Figs 2A, 2B, 3A, 3B), and lens material was detected in the corneal stroma of case 2 (Fig 3B). In both eyes, the anterior chamber space was not formed, and the undifferentiated iris stroma adhered to the posterior surface of the peripheral cornea (Fig 3B). Mesenchymal tissue (small asterisk) is observed behind the degenerative lens. Elongated ciliary processes (arrowheads) are observed. Haematoxylin and eosin. Bar = 700 µm.

(B) A histological photograph of the anterior segment of case 2. In the central cornea, the defects in the endothelium, Descemet’s membrane, and posterior stroma are observed, and the lens material (arrows) is detected in the defective corneal stroma. The anterior chamber space is not formed, and the undifferentiated iris stroma (asterisks) adheres to the posterior surface of the peripheral cornea. Haematoxylin and eosin. Bar = 280 µm.

Figure 3 (A). A histological photograph of the anterior segment of case 1. The degenerative lens (large asterisks) adheres to the posterior surface of the defective corneal stroma. The anterior chamber space is not formed, and the undifferentiated iris stroma (arrows) adheres to the posterior surface of the peripheral cornea and lens. Mesenchymal tissue (small asterisk) is observed behind the degenerative lens. Elongated ciliary processes (arrowheads) are observed. Haematoxylin and eosin. Bar = 700 µm. (B) A histological photograph of the anterior segment of case 2. In the central cornea, the defects in the endothelium, Descemet’s membrane, and posterior stroma are observed, and the lens material (arrows) is detected in the defective corneal stroma. The anterior chamber space is not formed, and the undifferentiated iris stroma (asterisks) adheres to the posterior surface of the peripheral cornea. Haematoxylin and eosin. Bar = 280 µm.

Figure 4 (A) A histological photograph of the central cornea of case 1. In the central cornea, defects in the endothelium, Descemet’s membrane, and posterior stroma are observed. Haematoxylin and eosin. Bar = 70 µm. (B) A histological photograph of the iris, ciliary body, and trabecular meshwork of case 2. The iris (asterisks), ciliary body (arrows), and trabecular meshwork are poorly differentiated, and Schlemm’s canal is not observed. Haematoxylin and eosin. Bar = 175 µm.

Figure 4 (A) A histological photograph of the central cornea of case 1. In the central cornea, defects in the endothelium, Descemet’s membrane, and posterior stroma are observed. Haematoxylin and eosin. Bar = 70 µm. (B) A histological photograph of the iris, ciliary body, and trabecular meshwork of case 2. The iris (asterisks), ciliary body (arrows), and trabecular meshwork are poorly differentiated, and Schlemm’s canal is not observed. Haematoxylin and eosin. Bar = 175 µm.

Figure 5 (A) A histological photograph of the mesenchymal tissue behind the lens of case 1. A few melanocytes (arrows) are observed in the mesenchymal tissue. Haematoxylin and eosin. Bar = 28 µm. (B) A histological photograph of the mesenchymal tissue on the retina of case 2. Mesenchymal tissue (asterisks) containing scattered melanocytes in the vitreous cavity are seen on the retina, inducing folds in the neural retina. Haematoxylin and eosin. Bar = 280 µm.

Figure 5 (A) A histological photograph of the mesenchymal tissue behind the lens of case 1. A few melanocytes (arrows) are observed in the mesenchymal tissue. Haematoxylin and eosin. Bar = 28 µm. (B) A histological photograph of the mesenchymal tissue on the retina of case 2. Mesenchymal tissue (asterisks) containing scattered melanocytes in the vitreous cavity are seen on the retina, inducing folds in the neural retina. Haematoxylin and eosin. Bar = 280 µm.
containing melanocytes was observed behind the degenerative lens (Figs 2A, 3A, 5A), and the pigment epithelium was absent at the lower nasal side of the ciliary body (Fig 2A) in case 1. In case 2, mesenchymal tissue containing scattered melanocytes in the vitreous cavity was seen on the posterior retina, inducing folds in the neural retina (Fig 5B). According to these histological findings, both cases were diagnosed as Peters’ anomaly, PHPV, maldevelopment of the iris and ciliary body, and goniodysgenesis. In addition, case 1 had typical ciliary coloboma.

Discussion
Both eyes exhibited Peters’ anomaly, PHPV, maldevelopment of the iris and ciliary body, and goniodysgenesis. In addition, case 1 had typical ciliary coloboma.

According to the classification for PHPV reported by Pruett and Schepens,11 case 1 with the retrolental mesenchymal tissue corresponds to the anterior type, while case 2 with mesenchymal tissue on the retinal surface correlates with the posterior type.

We evaluated histological findings embryologically. Waring and Rodrigues16 indicated various developmental mechanisms for Peters’ anomaly, including faulty separation of the lens vesicle from the surface ectoderm, primary abnormal migration of neural crest cells into the cornea, and intrauterine corneal inflammation. In both eyes in this study, the undifferentiated iris adhered to the posterior surface of the peripheral cornea and the lens. In addition, lens material was detected in the corneal stroma of case 2. These histopathological findings indicated that Peters’ anomaly in both eyes was caused by faulty separation of the lens vesicle from the surface ectoderm.

Then, we inferred a developmental mechanism for the clinically uncommon complex of Peters’ anomaly, PHPV, maldevelopment of the iris and ciliary body, goniodysgenesis, and typical coloboma. The corneal endothelium and stroma have been demonstrated to be of cranial neural crest origin,27–29 and Peters’ anomaly has been attributed to mesenchymal dysgenesis of the anterior ocular segments resulting from the abnormal development of neural crest cells, as well as posterior embryotoxon and Axenfeld-Rieger syndrome.2–4

The mesenchymal PHPV tissues in both eyes contained melanocytes. As demonstrated by Shirai20 in a experimental study using ochratoxin A as a teratogen, this pathological observation indicates that the mesenchymal PHPV tissue in both cases is derived from neural crest cells, because melanocytes have been shown to be of neural crest origin.1 2 Moreover, we previously demonstrated in mice, using experimental teratological methods, that teratogen induced faulty separation of the lens vesicle corresponding to Peters’ anomaly, often accompanies iridocorneal malformations as well.23 Based on these experimental data, it seems that Peters’ anomaly accompanied maldevelopment of the iris stroma, ciliary stroma, and goniodysgenesis in both cases.

Typical ciliary coloboma was observed in case 1. We previously demonstrated in mice, using experimental teratological methods, that faulty closure of the embryonic fissure, corresponding to typical uveal coloboma, is caused by the abnormal migration of excessive mesenchymal cells derived from the neural crest.20–24 Moreover, we recently reviewed 72 cases with typical uveal coloboma, and demonstrated that most of the associated ocular and systemic anomalies were detected in the tissues derived from neural crest cells, and concluded clinically that developmental disorders of neural crest cells may be related to typical uveal coloboma.26

As described above, we inferred that migratory disorders of neural crest cells are responsible for Peters’ anomaly, PHPV, maldevelopment of the iris and ciliary body, goniodysgenesis, and typical coloboma.

Finally, we inferred a critical period for the development of Peters’ anomaly, PHPV, maldevelopment of the iris and ciliary body, and typical coloboma. Embryologically, in normal human eye development, it has been demonstrated that the lens vesicle separates from surface ectoderm, cranial neural crest cells migrate into the anterior segments and vitreous cavity, and the embryonic fissure closes at from 4 to 7 weeks of gestation.20–24 As we described here, abnormal development of neural crest cells during this period is responsible for Peters’ anomaly, PHPV, maldevelopment of the iris and ciliary body, goniodysgenesis, and typical coloboma.

Although IOP in the left eye of case 2 was impossible to measure, anterior staphyloma progressed rapidly in both cases. We inferred that in addition to increased IOP due to the undifferentiated chamber angle, maldevelopment of the cornea resulted in severely progressing anterior staphyloma. Patients with Peters’ anomaly should be checked the development of severe anterior staphyloma even when they have a normal IOP.

Based on the findings of this study, we present a hypothesis on the mechanism and critical period for the complex of Peters’ anomaly, PHPV, maldevelopment of the iris and ciliary body, goniodysgenesis, and typical coloboma, which states that migratory disorders of neural crest cells from 4 to 7 weeks of...
gestation are responsible for the malformation complex in both cases.