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Abstract

Aims—To determine whether there were any changes in the optic disc at 2 years after trabeculectomy. To determine the factors that most influenced change and where change was localised to any region of the optic disc.

Methods—95 patients undergoing routine trabeculectomy as part of the ongoing Moorfields/MRC 5-fluorouracil trial were recruited into the study. Eyes were imaged preoperatively (4 (SD 3) weeks) with the Heidelberg retina tomograph (HRT, Heidelberg Engineering), and at 3 months (SD 2 weeks), 1 year (SD 1 month), and 2 years (SD 1 month) after surgery. Parameters investigated for change were rim area, rim volume, and maximum cup depth. The predefined segment analysis available on the HRT analysis software was used to determine segmental change.

Results—The images of 70 patients were analysed. Intraocular pressure reduced from 22.25 (SD 3.76) mm Hg, at the time of preoperative imaging to 15.27 (SD 4.96) mm Hg at 3 months, 14.38 (SD 3.89) mm Hg at 1 year, and 13.80 (SD 3.54) mm Hg at 2 years after trabeculectomy. An increase in rim area and rim volume was present at all time points after surgery, but was only found to be statistically significant at 2 years after surgery. Maximum depth of cup reduced by month 3 and month 12, but showed a slight increase at 2 years after surgery, although this was still lower than the preoperative measure. Segmental analysis found a significant change in rim volume in the nasal, inferonasal, superonasal, and superotemporal regions at 2 years after surgery. No significant regional localisation for change was found at any other time point or in any other parameter investigated.

Conclusions—Reversal of optic disc cupping following intraocular pressure reduction is a well known phenomenon and changes seen in juvenile glaucomas are more pronounced than those found in adult patients. Examination of stereodisc photographs have shown that changes do occur in adults, but of a smaller magnitude than in children. The advent of more sophisticated fundal imaging techniques means that these changes are now more easily quantifiable. Many studies have been done to quantify the degree of optic disc change following pressure reduction in experimental models, and in human subjects following pressure reduction by medical and surgical treatments and by trabeculectomy alone. The longest follow up period investigated was 12 months, and all studies looked at the global change in disc parameters. The clinical significance of these disc changes appears to be unclear, although reports have suggested that there may be an associated improvement of visual function that corresponds to this improvement in disc appearance.

The purpose of this study was threefold:

• to investigate the evolution of change within the optic disc 2 years after trabeculectomy
• to determine the factors influencing change
• to determine the localisation of change.

Methods

Patients due to undergo trabeculectomy were recruited from the ongoing Moorfields/Medical Research Council UK 5-fluorouracil trial currently running at Moorfields Eye Hospital and the Institute of Ophthalmology in London. The project was reviewed and approved by the ethics committee of Moorfields Eye Hospital, and performed in accordance with the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki. Ninety five eyes of 95 patients (mean age 65.1 years; range 45–80) had confocal scanning laser disc imaging with the Heidelberg retina tomograph 4 weeks before surgery (SD 3 weeks), and at 3 months (SD 2 weeks), 1 year (SD 1 month), and 2 years (SD 1 month) after surgery.

The Heidelberg retina tomograph is a confocal scanning diode laser (670 nm) ophthalmoscope that acquires topographic images of the optic disc and peripapillary retina. The details of the instrument have been described previously and its reproducibility has been established. Disc imaging was performed...
through a pupil dilated with tropicamide 1% eyedrops, and three images were taken at each imaging session using a 15 degree field of view. Images were inspected for eye movements and the optimum images were saved. The mean topography of three images was used in all analyses using software version 2.01. A contour line was drawn around the optic disc of the preoperative mean topography by the same observer (AK) and this line was exported to the postoperative images using the “export contour line” function that is available with the program. The standard reference plane was used. Keratometry was also performed on these patients preoperatively and postoperatively, and the appropriate reading was entered into the HRT program at each imaging visit.

HRT software analysis version 2.01 has a feature that automatically scales and “magnification corrects” the contour line when it is exported to another mean topography image. However, in order to maintain a quality control over the images used in the analysis, and counter any possible effects of magnification change, mean topography images had to fulfill two criteria. These were:

1. Mean topography standard deviation of less than 40 µm
2. A refractive error change (or change in focus setting) of less than 2 dioptres within the four imaging time points.

If these criteria were not met for any of the images within the four imaging time points, or if the exported contour line did not fit exactly over the optic disc in any image, then the patient data were excluded from the analysis.

The parameters investigated for change following surgery were rim area and rim volume and maximum cup depth. Rim area was defined as the total area within the contour line minus the cup area. Rim volume was defined as the total volume of those parts within the contour line but above the reference plane. The HRT generates a surface other than the reference plane known as the “curved surface.” The height of the centre of the curved surface is equal to the mean height of the optic disc margin (as defined by the drawn contour line). Mapping a straight line from this centre to a point on the contour line thus generates the surface itself. The maximum cup depth is therefore defined as the average depth of the 5% of pixels with the largest depth values within the disc measured from the curved surface. To investigate regional changes, the HRT predefined segments option was used. This divides the disc into six sectors—nasal, superonasal, superotemporal, temporal, inferotemporal, and inferonasal. Optic disc cupping can be of a focal or diffuse variety, and it is possible that segmental changes may not be detectable if the degree of pre-existing disc damage is greater in one segment than another. Therefore, we wished to quantify the depth of pre-existing segmental disc damage. The new HRT software incorporates a Moorfields analysis feature, that has been described in detail elsewhere.14 35 Briefly, it gives a predicted value of the global and segmental disc rim area based on the size of the optic disc and a range of normal values. For this study, the predicted rim area in each of the six segments was recorded, and the ratio of the actual rim area to the predicted rim area (for it to be classified as normal) in each segment was calculated. We have termed this ratio “rim index.”36 If the rim index is a value of 1 or above, the rim area of the disc segment is within the predicted limits for the subjects disc size. If the rim index value is less than 1, it indicates that the rim area is less than that predicted. The lower the rim index value, the greater the likelihood that the segment has an acquired rim loss. The rim index, therefore, gives a method for determining the degree of pre-existing segmental damage.

Global and segmental change in the optic disc were investigated at three time points—3, 12, and 24 months after surgery—using the Wilcoxon signed ranks test. A non-parametric test was used as examination of the preoperative disc data showed a skewed distribution.

To determine the possible relation between reversal and other factors besides degree of intraocular pressure reduction, the patients’ age and degree of pre-existing glaucomatous damage were recorded. All patients had a 24-2 threshold Humphrey visual field test (Humphrey Instruments) 4 (SD 3) weeks before surgery. We used the average mean deviation of the last two most reliable preoperative fields (reliability indices, < 30% false positives and negatives, < 25% fixation losses, and < 2 dB difference in total mean deviation between the two fields) as a measure of pre-existing disease. Linear regression analysis was used to examine the effect of these three factors on change in rim area, volume, and maximum cup depth.

All analyses were performed using SPSS version 10 software, and significance was defined as a p value of < 0.001. A strict p value was chosen to compensate for the multiple significance tests that were being performed to determine localised change.

Results
The results for 70 eyes were examined. Data were not analysed for 25 patients, because of incomplete imaging data (n=13), refractive error changes of more than 2 dioptres (n=6), and mean topography standard deviations of more than 40 µm (n=7), as defined by the methods section. The average age of the analysed patient group was 65.7 (SD 9.5) years, and preoperative mean deviation was −9.49 (SD 8.41) dB (Table 1).

Intraocular pressure reduced from 22.25 (SD 3.76) mm Hg, at the time of preoperative imaging, to 15.27 (SD 4.96) mm Hg at 3 months.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Demographics of study group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>65.74 (9.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POAG</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNAG</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PXE glaucoma</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative mean deviation</td>
<td>9.49 (8.41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative IOP</td>
<td>22.33 (3.73)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

POAG = primary open angle glaucoma, CNEG = chronic narrow angle glaucoma, PXE glaucoma = pseudoxfoliative glaucoma.
months, 14.38 (SD 3.89) mm Hg at 1 year, and 13.80 (SD 3.54) mm Hg at 2 years after trabeculectomy. In percentage terms, this represents a reduction of 29.07% (SD 28.37%) at 3 months, 33.71% (SD 21.03%) at 1 year, and 36.62% (SD 18.64%) at 2 years.

GLOBAL ANALYSIS

There was an increase in global rim area (mean (mm²) preoperatively 0.901 (SD 0.349); 3 months 0.927 (SD 0.350); 1 year 0.928 (SD 0.361); 2 years 0.954 (SD 0.382)) and global rim volume (mean (mm³) preoperatively 0.179 (SD 0.109); 3 months 0.189 (SD 0.115); 1 year 0.194 (SD 0.125); 2 years 0.221 (SD 0.137)) at 2 years postoperatively. The maximum depth of the cup decreased at 3 months and 1 year, but showed a slight increase at 2 years, although this was still less than that found at the preoperative imaging session (mean (mm) preoperatively 0.689 (SD 0.215); 3 months 0.673 (SD 0.196); 1 year 0.661 (SD 0.202); 2 years 0.668 (SD 0.207)).

These changes, however, were not significant (Table 2).

SEGMENTAL ANALYSIS

Rim area increased over the 2 year period, but was not localised to one area. Analysis of rim volume change found that at 2 years, “significant” increases occurred in the nasal (p<0.001) and superonasal (p<0.001) segments, as well as in the inferonasal (p=0.002) and the superotemporal segment (p=0.002), although changes in these last two segments did not quite meet our “significance” level criteria. No significant change in rim volume in any segment was found at the earlier two time points. Change in maximum depth was significantly greatest in the nasal region of the disc at year 1, but this became non-significant by year 2. For the group, the average rim index was smallest in the inferotemporal region, and greatest in the nasal region. This would imply that the region with

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Preop rim index</th>
<th>Preop rim area (mm²)</th>
<th>3 month rim area (mm²)</th>
<th>1 year rim area (mm²)</th>
<th>2 year rim area (mm²)</th>
<th>p Value at 2 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temporal</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.127 (0.073)</td>
<td>0.131 (0.073)</td>
<td>0.128 (0.072)</td>
<td>0.133 (0.079)</td>
<td>0.258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superotemporal</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.097 (0.057)</td>
<td>0.103 (0.062)</td>
<td>0.104 (0.062)</td>
<td>0.105 (0.064)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferotemporal</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.099 (0.068)</td>
<td>0.103 (0.071)</td>
<td>0.106 (0.071)</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasal</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.297 (0.119)</td>
<td>0.302 (0.116)</td>
<td>0.302 (0.118)</td>
<td>0.311 (0.122)</td>
<td>0.185</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Change in global disc parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Preop rim index</th>
<th>Preop rim volume (mm³)</th>
<th>3 month rim volume (mm³)</th>
<th>1 year rim volume (mm³)</th>
<th>2 year rim volume (mm³)</th>
<th>p Value at 2 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temporal</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.009 (0.007)</td>
<td>0.010 (0.009)</td>
<td>0.010 (0.009)</td>
<td>0.013 (0.021)</td>
<td>0.218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superotemporal</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.018 (0.014)</td>
<td>0.020 (0.016)</td>
<td>0.021 (0.017)</td>
<td>0.024 (0.023)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferotemporal</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.018 (0.016)</td>
<td>0.019 (0.018)</td>
<td>0.020 (0.021)</td>
<td>0.020 (0.021)</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasal</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.064 (0.044)</td>
<td>0.069 (0.047)</td>
<td>0.069 (0.049)</td>
<td>0.070 (0.053)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superonasal</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.032 (0.019)</td>
<td>0.034 (0.020)</td>
<td>0.036 (0.023)</td>
<td>0.039 (0.025)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferonasal</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.037 (0.030)</td>
<td>0.039 (0.030)</td>
<td>0.040 (0.032)</td>
<td>0.045 (0.032)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Change in segmental disc parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Preop rim index</th>
<th>Preop max depth cup (mm)</th>
<th>3 month max depth cup (mm)</th>
<th>1 year max depth cup (mm)</th>
<th>2 year max depth cup (mm)</th>
<th>p Value at 2 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temporal</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.622 (0.212)</td>
<td>0.603 (0.198)</td>
<td>0.595 (0.195)</td>
<td>0.589 (0.203)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superotemporal</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.680 (0.219)</td>
<td>0.669 (0.207)</td>
<td>0.665 (0.209)</td>
<td>0.660 (0.218)</td>
<td>0.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferotemporal</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.602 (0.213)</td>
<td>0.596 (0.193)</td>
<td>0.594 (0.193)</td>
<td>0.602 (0.205)</td>
<td>0.225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasal</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.611 (0.242)</td>
<td>0.590 (0.230)</td>
<td>0.574 (0.234)</td>
<td>0.575 (0.238)</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superonasal</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.685 (0.230)</td>
<td>0.670 (0.220)</td>
<td>0.664 (0.229)</td>
<td>0.671 (0.237)</td>
<td>0.372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferonasal</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.607 (0.233)</td>
<td>0.582 (0.210)</td>
<td>0.570 (0.207)</td>
<td>0.575 (0.211)</td>
<td>0.087</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 Linear regression coefficients for factors age, preoperative mean deviation (MD) and change in IOP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>3 months</th>
<th>1 year</th>
<th>2 years</th>
<th>p at 2 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>−0.262</td>
<td>−0.217</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preop MD</td>
<td>−0.035</td>
<td>−0.010</td>
<td>−0.021</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOP at 2 years</td>
<td>−0.452*</td>
<td>−0.400*</td>
<td>−0.415*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Difference significance to predefined level p<0.001, †significant at p<0.05 level.
FACTORS INFLUENCING CHANGE
The three factors investigated were age, change in intraocular pressure, and degree of overall preoperative damage as defined by preoperative mean deviation. At 3 months no factor appeared to have a significant influence on the change in disc parameters investigated. There was a significant association between reduction in intraocular pressure and change in rim area and cup depth, and a smaller association with rim volume. Age and degree of pre-existing disease, as defined using the preoperative mean deviation, had no effect on disc reversal (Table 4, Fig 1A and B).

Discussion
The results of this study indicate that reversal of disc cupping following trabeculectomy can be present up to 2 years after pressure reduction. Work by Irak et al found that reversal of cupping persisted up to 3 months after surgery and that the factor most influencing change was reduction in intraocular pressure. In contrast, work by Topouzis and co-workers found that for their group of 25 patients, reversal of cupping was present at 2 weeks after surgery, but disappeared by 4 and 8 months postoperatively. Their group of patients had a large reduction in intraocular pressure (49.3% (SD 14.9%)), and had preoperative rim volume (mean 0.163 mm³) that was comparable to our group (mean 0.179 mm³). However, the average preoperative mean deviation of their group was 13.2 (SD 6.8) dB, compared with our 9.49 (SD 8.41) dB, suggesting that our group had fewer patients with advanced disease. Experimental studies have shown that the earlier the stage of glaucoma, the more likely reversal of cupping will occur. Although linear regression analysis did not find any significant association between degree of pre-existing disease and disc reversal it is possible that our group did not have a wide enough range of pre-existing disease for a relation to be detected.

The overall pressure reduction in our group (~36.62% (SD 18.64%) at 2 years) was not as large as that reported by the previous two groups, but linear regression analysis did show that this was an important factor in determining disc change. This agrees with other studies that have shown that pressure reduction is an important factor in cupping reversal and it has been suggested that a 30% pressure reduction is necessary before any disc reversal occurs. Both groups mentioned above did not find any significant association between disc reversal and age, as was the same for our group of subjects. However, reports have suggested that the degree of optic nerve head compliance decreases with age, so one could expect age to have a significant effect on the ability of the lamina to move adequately with IOP reduction, which would be indicated by the mean cup depth. A possible reason for our and earlier studies’ finding of no such movement is the mean age group of all three studies (Irak et al 70.9 years; Topouzis et al 65 years; our group 65.7 years); if there had been more younger patients in the studies, then such an effect may have been found.

It is believed that the main site of glaucomatous damage is at the lamina cribrosa area, and that raised intraocular pressure causes a bowing back of the lamina, resulting in the damage to the nerve fibre bundles passing through the cribrosal plates. It has generally been accepted that one of the main reasons for an apparent improvement in optic nerve appearance with IOP reduction is a reduction in the posterior bowing of the lamina cribrosa, giving relief to these compressed nerve fibre bundles. It has also been shown experimentally that reversal is more likely to occur in early stages of the disease. The pattern of optic disc cupping is such that the last area of the disc to be affected is the nasal disc region, and in particular the upper nasal region. In our group, segmental improvement was localised to the nasal regions, and the rim index value for this group of patients was largest in the nasal segment (0.72), indicating that this segment had the least degree of pre-existing damage. The inferotemporal disc region has been shown to be the site of early glaucomatous damage, and in our group this region had the
lowest rim index value (0.48), indicating that this area had a greater degree of pre-existing damage. A possible explanation for the improvement being confined to the nasal disc regions may be because this area was least affected by the disease and so, possibly, nerve fibre bundle compression was not as long-standing or as permanent as that within the inferotemporal disc regions. Therefore, with IOP reduction, and forward shifting of the lamina cribrosa, the nerve fibres within the nasal region may regain their original shape, while those within the inferotemporal disc region may be too damaged to be able to do so.

Significant segmental change in rim volume was detected, and not in rim area. However, inspection of the results (Table 3) shows that a steady increase in rim area was found at all three postoperative time points, having greatest magnitude in the nasal disc regions, but was not statistically significant. The standard deviations of the area measurements were much larger than those found with the volumetric measurements. Segmental rim volume measurements are very small in this group of patients, and so small standard deviations would be expected. This would explain why only change in volume reached statistical significance. However, the clinical significance of such small increases has yet to be determined.

Our study shows that in a large group of moderate to early glaucomatous patients, reduction of intraocular pressure by surgery results in an improvement of the appearance of the optic nerve head, and that this is more obvious in the long term. This may be related to the fact that in our subject group the mean intraocular pressure was at its lowest at the 2 year time point.

It has yet to be determined whether these changes in the appearance of the nerve have any clinical significance. The patients in this study are still being followed up, and investigations are currently under way into whether any true improvement of visual function is associated with these disc changes.
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