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Abstract

Aim—To report the failure of amniotic membrane transplantation (AMT) for ocular surface reconstruction in patients with severe acute chemical and thermal burns.

Methods—Four eyes of three patients who suffered severe chemical (n=3) and thermal (n=1) burns were studied. The aim of AMT was to prevent symblepharon formation, promote conjunctival regeneration, inhibit corneal melting by promoting epithelialisation, and to protect the ocular surface while associated lid burns were treated. AMT was used to cover the entire ocular surface of all the severely burnt and ischaemic eyes, 2–3 weeks after the injury. Where indicated, AMT was repeated by itself or in combination with other procedures in all patients.

Results—Three of the four eyes developed symblepharon and progressive corneal melt requiring urgent tectonic keratoplasty. All four eyes had persistent epithelial defects. Less than 25% of conjunctival regeneration occurred in three eyes. Two eyes autoeviscerated, one patient underwent lid sparing exenteration for a painful blind eye and one eye became phthisical.

Conclusions—AMT did not help to restore the ocular surface or preserve the integrity of the eye in all our patients with severe acute burns, when used by itself or in combination with other procedures. This reflects the extreme severity of the ocular burns in these patients and, in turn, draws attention to the fact that the current classification system does not adequately reflect such severity. In the current system such burns would be grouped under grade IV injuries to the eye (more than 50% limbal ischaemia). The prognosis of patients with 100% limbal ischaemia is much worse than patients with just over 50% limbal ischaemia. This inadequacy of the classification system probably also explains the difference between outcomes of management of grade IV burns (with AMT) in this series, compared with others.

The management of chemical and thermal ocular burns has challenged ophthalmologists for more than 50 years. Treatment is aimed at promoting ocular surface epithelial recovery, augmenting corneal repair and controlling inflammation. Medical treatment for acute ocular burns include topical and systemic use of ascorbate, citrate, tetracycline, and steroids. Mild to moderate burns often show good

Figure 1 Schematic diagram (above) showing double armed 4-0 silk fornix retaining sutures tied over bolsters, and 10-0 monofilament nylon sutures anchoring the amniotic membrane to the lid margins; (below) sagittal view showing amniotic membrane lining the entire ocular surface.
response with medical therapy alone. The management of severe ocular burns is more complex as they are often associated with extensive lid burns and anterior segment ischaemia. Surgical intervention is invariably required, in spite of which the final visual prognosis is poor. Application of glued-on hard contact lens, use of tissue adhesive, and large diameter keratoplasty are some of the surgical procedures used to salvage the acutely burnt eye.

Recently there have been several reports of successful treatment of ocular burns using amniotic membrane transplantation (AMT) with or without limbal cell transplantation. AMT alone was found to be sufficient to restore corneal and conjunctival surfaces in mild to moderate burns. In severe burns AMT restored the conjunctival surface without scarring and reduced limbal stromal inflammation, but did not prevent limbal stem cell deficiency, which required further limbal stem cell transplantation. While these results are encouraging, we have not been able to demonstrate similar success with AMT and limbal stem cell transplantation in our patients with very severe ocular burns. This may be because the widely used Roper-Hall classification of ocular burns allows for a broad range of injuries to be graded as severe or grade IV burns. With the advent of limbal cell transplantation and renewed interest in AMT a favourable outcome can be expected in patients with more than 50% limbal ischaemia if the conjunctiva is relatively spared. We report our experiences with four eyes of three patients who suffered extensive ocular burns, and discuss the need for a revision of the classification of ocular burns.

**Patients and methods**

Four eyes of three patients who underwent AMT as part of their treatment for very severe ocular burns were studied. One patient was assaulted with sulphuric acid and one was involved in a molten aluminium explosion, while the third sustained severe bilateral alkali burns when cleaning a drain. Two of the patients (cases 2 and 3) were secondary referrals to our centre, after initial treatment for their ocular, facial, and upper body burns.

Medical treatment of all patients included topical 10% ascorbate, 6% citrate, antibiotics, and steroids. The amniotic membrane was obtained and processed as described previously. Informed consent was obtained from all the patients. The amniotic membrane covered the entire ocular surface in all patients and was sutured to the limbus and lid margins with 10-0 monofilament nylon. Double armed 4-0 silk sutures were tied over the skin with bolsters to form the fornices (Fig 1). In all patients the amniotic membrane was sutured with the epithelial surface up and mesenchymal surface in contact with the eye. Following AMT, all patients were treated with topical antibiotics, steroids, and intensive lubrication.

### Table 1: Demographics and clinical data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient No</th>
<th>Sex/age</th>
<th>Agent/eye</th>
<th>Key findings</th>
<th>Non-ocular injuries</th>
<th>Days between injury and AMT</th>
<th>Additional procedures</th>
<th>Indication for additional procedures</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Male/45</td>
<td>Thermal/L</td>
<td>Lid burns, 95% conjunctival loss, 360° limbal ischaemia, 100% ED with stromal clouding, no iris details, 2° glaucoma</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Lateral tarsorrhaphy, Vicrous biopsy, Upper lid reconstruction, Tectonic PK, Rpt AMT, Lat tarsorrhaphy, Buccal mucous membra graft to lids</td>
<td>Corneal exposure, To exclude endophthalmitis</td>
<td>Lid sparing, Autovescerlation 8 months after injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>Male/65</td>
<td>Alkali/R</td>
<td>Lid burns, fixed globe, 100% conjunctival loss, 360° limbal ischaemia, 100% ED with melting cornea, flat AC, cataract</td>
<td>Burns of face, left shoulder and back</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Rpt AMT, Lamellar limbal corneal graft with AMT, UL reconstruction, Aspiration of lens matter</td>
<td>Persistent epithelial defect, Corneal melt, UL symblepharon, Swollen cataract</td>
<td>Autovescerlation 1 year after injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>Male/65</td>
<td>Alkali/L</td>
<td>Lid burns, fixed globe, 100% conjunctival loss, 360° limbal ischaemia, 100% ED with melting cornea, flat AC, cataract</td>
<td>Burns of face, left shoulder and back</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Rpt AMT</td>
<td>Persistent epithelial defect</td>
<td>Autovescerlation 50 days after injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Male/40</td>
<td>Acid/R</td>
<td>Lid burns, 100% conjunctival loss, 360° limbal ischaemia, 100% ED with stromal clouding, cataract</td>
<td>Burns of scalp and shoulders</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Tenoplasty, Keratolimbal allograft and AMT, Living related limbal allograft, LL symblepharon correction, Keratolimbal allograft and AMT, Tarsorrhaphy and entropion surgery, Lamellar patch corneal graft, Large tectonic PK, Keratoprosthesi with vitrectomy, RD surgery silicone oil and replacement of graft</td>
<td>Limbal ischaemia, Persistent epithelial defect, Persistent epithelial defect, Symblepharon and exposure, Persistent epithelial defect, Entropion and corneal exposure, Corneal perforation, Corneal perforation, Retinal detachment</td>
<td>Phthisis bulbi 2 years after injury</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ED = epithelial defect; AC = anterior chamber; PK = penetrating keratoplasty; AMT = amniotic membrane transplantation; UL = upper lid; LL = lower lid; RD = retinal detachment.
lid burns, almost total loss of bulbar and palpebral conjunctiva, 360 degree limbal ischaemia, total corneal epithelial cell loss with stromal oedema, and raised intraocular pressure (Fig 2A). There was no view of the anterior chamber. Visual acuity in the left eye was counting fingers. In addition to medical therapy, he underwent a left amniotic membrane graft and lateral tarsorrhaphy 13 days after the injury (Fig 2B). However, he continued to develop total symblepharon and descemetocele in the left eye. Eight months after the injury he underwent left lid sparing exenteration for a painful blind eye.

CASE 2
A 65 year old man presented with extensive alkali burns to both eyes, face, and upper body. Visual acuities were perception of light in both eyes. He had bilateral lid burns, total limbal ischaemia, thin melting corneas, and cataracts. There was total loss of conjunctiva in both eyes and severe restriction of ocular movements in all directions. Initial treatment included topical ascorbate, citrate, steroids, and lubricants. As there was no clinical improvement he underwent bilateral amniotic membrane grafts 8 days after the injury.

On the left eye the graft remained in situ for about 3 weeks before disintegrating. There was minimal vascularisation of the conjunctiva at the medial canthus, but the rest of the eye remained ischaemic and the corneal thinning progressed. Two months after the injury the left eye autoeviscerated.

The right amniotic membrane transplant succeeded in preserving the integrity of the eye temporarily; but the conjunctiva remained ischaemic with severe corneal melt, requiring an urgent large limbal and corneal allograft with overlying amniotic membrane graft. He then developed upper lid symblepharon for which he underwent right upper lid reconstructive surgery. Four months after the large limbal corneal allograft, the lens was found to be swollen with a very shallow anterior chamber. Aspiration of lens matter with anterior vitrectomy was carried out. The corneal graft was cloudy and he continued to have 180 degree inferior limbal ischaemia with poor healing of the graft-host interface (Fig 3A). One year after the original injury, the graft-host interface broke down resulting in autoevisceration.

CASE 3
A 40 year old man suffered severe acid burns to both eyes, scalp, and shoulders. Both eyes suffered grade IV ocular burns, but the right eye was worse with lid burns, total conjunctival loss, 360° limbal ischaemia, total corneal epithelial defect, thick cloudy stroma, and cataract. In addition to conventional medical treatment he was treated with topical citrate, autologous serum, ascorbic acid, and systemic steroids. He also underwent split skin grafting of the right upper and lower lid. Nineteen days after the injury, tenoplasty and AMT were performed. This resulted in minimal vascularisation of the conjunctiva but a large corneal epithelial defect persisted, necessitating a keratolimbal allograft with repeat AMT. Simultaneously, immunosuppression in the form of oral cyclosporin was started. There was still no improvement in the corneal epithelial defect; therefore a living related conjunctivolimbal

Figure 2 Clinical photograph of the left eye of case 1: (A) few hours after the thermal burn showing lid burns, total limbal ischaemia, and opaque cornea; (B) demonstrating amniotic membrane sutured to the burnt oedematous lid margins (arrows) and the bolster over which the 4-0 silk suture is tied to form the lower fornix; (C) demonstrating 360° limbal ischaemia and necrotic cornea seen through the overlying amniotic membrane after the second AMT.
Tenoplasty has been found to be effective in ensuring initial stabilisation of eyes with grade IV injuries. We were able perform tenoplasty in only one of our patients, as the extent of lid and ocular burns was too severe to attempt adequate tenoplasty in the others. We combined tenoplasty with AMT, but it failed to establish vascularisation of the ischaemic eye. Shimazaki et al recently reported successful reconstruction of the ocular surface with AMT in seven eyes with severe chemical and thermal burns. With the exception of one patient, AMT was performed more than 8 months after the injury when the survival of the globe had already been established. Mellor et al recently reported that AMT alone was effective in reducing limbal stromal inflammation, restoring the conjunctival surface and preventing symblepharon formation in six patients with grade IV burns. However, they also observed that the use of AMT for severe burns was limited due to the presence of deep stromal “ischaemia.” All the patients in Mellor’s series had some amount of intact conjunctival tissue at presentation and had AMT within 7–15 days after the injury.

In severe ocular burns, the combined loss of limbal blood supply, inflammation, and lack of vascularly derived collagenase inhibitors may result in anterior segment necrosis and sterile corneal ulceration at an early stage after the injury. An intact epithelium effectively arrests sterile corneal ulceration. The amniotic membrane promotes epithelialisation by several mechanisms. It provides a healthy basement substrate for the epithelium to grow. It has been shown in vitro to produce various growth factors such as basic fibroblast growth factor, hepatocyte growth factor, and transforming growth factor β, that can stimulate epithelialisation. Amniotic membrane has also been shown to promote healing in acute alkali burns in rabbits by inhibiting proteinase activity and polymorphonuclear leucocyte infiltration. AMT is believed to promote epithelialisation by expanding the remaining epithelial stem cells. However, in very severe ocular burns involving 360° of the limbus and almost the entire conjunctiva, there is probably a complete loss of epithelial stem cells. This may be one of the reasons why AMT was not successful in our patients. Three of our cases combined AMT with a source of epithelial stem cells as in a limbal corneal graft or a limbal autografting following acute grade IV alkali burns. The antifibroblastic effect of amniotic membrane has been used to explain the relatively low incidence of symblepharon formation when AMT was performed in the acute stage of ocular burns. Three of our cases developed symblepharon in spite of repeated AMT and mucous membrane graft to the lids. This could be because of the severe extent of initial conjunctival loss and lid burns in our patients.

In our patients AMT was not found to be useful in the restoration of the ocular surface in grade IV burns. This contrasts with the series reported by Mellor, where all the patients had...
a relatively favourable outcome.\textsuperscript{12} One of the issues to be addressed in this context is the grading of the severity of ocular burns. According to the Roper-Hall classification, grade IV includes all burns with more than 50\% limbal ischaemia associated with opaque cornea and automatically implies a poor prognosis.\textsuperscript{14} However, the understanding of the concept of limbal stem cells and the advent of limbal cell transplant and AMT have helped to restore sight in eyes with more than 50\% limbal ischaemia.\textsuperscript{15} Dua and Augusto have reported the use of a modified classification system that takes into account clock hours of limbal involvement together with extent of conjunctival involvement.\textsuperscript{16} Current experience indicates that a “grade IV” with 55\% limbal ischaemia has a better prognosis than a “grade IV” with 90–100\% limbal ischaemia. Such differences in the probable outcomes of the Roper-Hall grade IV injury possibly explain the difference in outcomes of “grade IV” injuries being reported in the literature. A classification of ocular burns that takes into account the exact degree of limbal ischaemia and the extent of conjunctival and lid injury would enable more efficient comparison of results of the present day intervention strategies. It would also enable more accurate prediction of the prognosis in these patients. In conclusion, our experiences demonstrate the failure of AMT in restoring the ocular surface in very severe ocular burns, and emphasise the need for an updated classification of ocular burns.
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