Lyophilisates for drug delivery in ophthalmology: pharmacokinetics of fluorescein in the human anterior segment
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Aims: To assess the ocular bioavailability of fluorescein from a novel water free, freeze dried ophthalmic drug delivery system compared to conventional preservative-free fluorescein eye drops.

Methods: Sodium fluorescein 0.17% was dissolved in an aqueous solution of hydroxypropylmethyl cellulose 1.0% (HPMC), deposited on sterilised flexible hydrophobic poly(tetrafluoroethylene) (PTFE) carrier strips and freeze dried under aseptic conditions. The fluorescein dose of the lyophilisate was 68 µg, corresponding to a single conventional drop of 40 µl fluorescein 0.17% solution. In a randomised, open label study 12 healthy volunteers applied the lyophilised fluorescein to one eye and one drop of conventional fluorescein ophthalmic solution to the fellow eye. Fluorophotometry measurements of fluorescein concentrations in the anterior segment were performed with the Fluorotron Master II (Ocumetrics, USA) before and +15, 30, 45, 60, 120, and 180 minutes after application.

Results: At all times anterior chamber fluorescein concentration was greater in the lyophylisate treated eye than the solution treated eye. The magnitude of this difference ranged from 2–5.3 times and was statistically significant.

Conclusion: The greater intraocular bioavailability of fluorescein from the lyophilisate relative to the solution suggests that it may be a useful method for delivering substances to the eye.

Conventional eye drops, used in most medications for ocular treatment, have several disadvantages. Even for experienced users it is difficult to administer a single drop to the right place. Elderly patients or children even without impaired manual skills even injure their eyes and cause bacterial contamination upon contact with the bottle tip. Preservatives are known to cause morphological changes of the cornea, conjunctiva, and Tenon leading to changing in stalling behaviour after glaucoma surgery.1–4 Preservatives cause irritation such as burning, stinging, tearing, hyperaemia, and punctate keratitis and allergies, a common ocular side effect of conventional eye drops. Preservatives and pH adjustments are required to dissolve the drugs (for example, homatropine, pilocarpine, etc) and to maintain their chemical stability. Both are responsible for increased tear flow, thus diluting the applied medication which results in poor pharmacokinetics of conventional eye drops.

In the recent past drug delivery devices like the Ocusert and NODS (new ophthalmic drug delivery system) have been demonstrated to be safe and tolerated in the human eye, as well as being efficient delivery systems.5–8 However, none of them has been used therapeutically on a broad scale. Thus, we continue to search for the ideal delivery system which should be constant in its dose delivery, easy to handle, of minimal discomfort and effect on precorneal clarity, pH neutral, sterile, and preservative free.

A new ophthalmic drug delivery device (lyophilisate) was developed. The medication is dissolved with a hydrophilic polymer and freeze dried at the tip of a soft hydrophobic carrier strip. The drug is deposited at the conjunctiva by stripping the lyophilisate off the carrier in a wiping motion over the rim of the lower eyelid (Fig 1). In the present study we used sodium fluorescein to compare the pharmacokinetics of conventional preservative-free eye drops and lyophilisate measured by fluorophotometry. The distribution of sodium fluorescein from the tear film to the cornea and aqueous humour was measured to assess the pharmacokinetics of the new application form.

METHODS

Fluorescein was dissolved in an aqueous solution of hydroxypropylmethyl cellulose 1.0% (HPMC, Methocel E50) filtered through a 0.22 µm mixed cellulose ester filter and deposited onto a steam sterilised flexible hydrophobic poly(tetrafluoroethylene) (PTFE) carrier strip at a laminar flow workbench. The strips were deep frozen at −30°C for 45 minutes and freeze dried (Christ alpha 2–4, Osterode, Germany) for 2 hours at 1.2 mbar under aseptic conditions and packed aseptically in preserlised test tubes.

We applied the fluorescein lyophilisate at a dose of 68 µg and used a single conventional fluorescein solution of 40 µl (Fluoreszein 0.17 % SE Thilo) as a control agent. Twelve bilateral, healthy volunteers were enrolled. None of the volunteers had a previous history of eye disease, local or systemic medication, contact lens wear, and myopia or hyperopia of >6 dioptres. None of the volunteers had applied the new drug device before. After written informed consent each subject applied a single drop (40 µl) to one eye and the lyophilisate to...
the contralateral eye in a randomised manner. The fluorescein was deposited at the cul de sac by stripping the lyophilisate off the carrier in a wiping motion (Fig 1). Upon contact with the conjunctiva the lyophilisate rehydrates rapidly in the tear film (Fig 2).

Fluorophotometry of the anterior segment was performed with the Fluorotron Master II (Ocumetrics, USA). Measurements were taken before and +15, 30, 45, 60, 120, and 180 minutes after administration. Fluorescein concentrations of the precorneal tear film (C), mid anterior chamber (AC), and area under the curve from cornea peak to the anterior lens surface (AUC) were evaluated (Fig 3). The statistical differences of the fluorescein concentrations of C, AC, and AUC were compared by one way ANOVA (p<0.05).

RESULTS

Twelve healthy volunteers (seven men, five women; aged 23–33 years) successfully completed the protocol. The autofluorescence of all eye—fluorescein profiles before application showed no statistical differences (fluorescein precorneal: 8.25 ng/ml (drop); 7.45 ng/ml (lyophilisate); fluorescein anterior chamber: 3.58 ng/ml (drop); 2.60 ng/ml (lyophilisate)). All volunteers were able to readily deposit the lyophilisate to the lower cul de sac without signs or symptoms of irritation.

Precorneal tear film concentration (C)

All mean fluorescein concentrations of the precorneal tear film were higher in the lyophilisate group compared to the precorneal tear film after eye drop application (Fig 4). Concentration differences were statistically significant at +15, 45, 60, and 180 minutes after administration (p<0.05, Table 1). The higher concentration factor post-lyophilisate application ranged from 33.7× (15 minutes) to 1.3× (120 minutes).

Anterior chamber concentration (AC)

The mid-anterior chamber mean fluorescein concentrations were higher in the lyophilisate group (Fig 5). Values at +15, 30, 45, 60, 120, 180 minutes after administration were statistically significant (p<0.05). The higher concentration factor post-lyophilisate application ranged from 5.3× (15 minutes) to 2.0× (60 minutes). In the lyophilisate group the mean fluorescein concentration increased up to 3 hours after administration (Table 1).

Table 1  Mean fluorescein concentrations (ng/ml) of cornea (C), anterior chamber (AC), and integral from corneal endothelium to anterior lens surface (AUC) over time after drop and lyophilisate application (n=12)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time [minutes]</th>
<th>Cornea (C)</th>
<th>Anterior chamber (AC)</th>
<th>Area cornea-lens (AUC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Drop</td>
<td>Lyophilisate</td>
<td>Drop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.25</td>
<td>7.45</td>
<td>3.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>23.23</td>
<td>772.88*</td>
<td>7.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>14.89</td>
<td>78.80</td>
<td>4.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>12.50</td>
<td>23.22*</td>
<td>4.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>10.41</td>
<td>20.88*</td>
<td>5.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>12.94</td>
<td>15.51*</td>
<td>5.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>10.23</td>
<td>20.91*</td>
<td>5.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant difference, p<0.05.
As the material drains out of the cul de sac within the first 5 minutes, the concentration decline in the tears is proportional to the solution.

**DISCUSSION**

Our objective was to compare the pharmacokinetics of equivalent fluorescein doses from lyophilisate and conventional eye drops. The lyophilisate group's precorneal concentrations were up to 3 times higher after 15 minutes. The effi ciency of drug absorption depends on the adequate mixing of drug with the precorneal tear film and the residence time of the drug in the precorneal area.

Since we used fluorescein, we could study the movement of the dye over time. By means of the lyophilisate application higher values of fluorescein were found in the precorneal tear film and in the anterior chamber over 3 hours in human eyes. We were able to measure fluorescein concentrations from lyophilisate at least twice as high as in eyes after drop administration. Concentrations in the anterior chamber were up to 5.3 times higher after 15 minutes in the lyophilisate group. The lyophilisate group's precorneal and conjunctival surface fluorescein concentrations were up to 34 times higher after 15 minutes.

In 1999 Diestelhorst et al reported on the tolerability and safety of the new drug delivery system. Our data confirm the safety and tolerability of the preservative-free lyophilisate when applying fluorescein to the human eye. The flexible handle strip is easy to use for patients, relatives, doctors, and hospital staff. The patients do not need to recline their heads, and they do not need to sit or lie down. Compared with the tip of an eye bottle there is no risk of trauma or injury.

Higher drug concentrations remain in the tear film and better penetration to the ocular surface tissues is achieved. The higher drug concentration of the precorneal tear film and the conjunctiva represent a better pharmacokinetic equation. Accurate dosing is achieved by means of the lyophilisate. A depot effect and prolonged corneal concentration can be achieved by selecting different polymers. A larger amount of drug remains at the cul de sac. This may lead to reduced concentrations in eye preparations.

The possiblility of an increase in dosage of a given medication upon reaching the inner eye after administration seems promising. Lyophilisates are manufactured at physiological pH and do not need preservatives for stability reasons. The lyophilisate permits administration of hydrophilic and lipophilic drugs to connective tissues, wounds, or operating areas—for example, the application of steroids, antibiotics, or antimetabolites during surgery. The preservative-free lyophilisate may reduce the topical and systemic side effects of conventional eye drops used in ophthalmology, lead to effective therapy, and help to increase patient compliance.
The greater intraocular bioavailability of fluorescein from the lyophilisate relative to the solution suggests that it may be a useful method for delivering substances to the eye.
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