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Retinopathy of prematurity (ROP) has been recognised as an important cause of childhood visual impairment and blindness since the 1940s when improved facilities and treatment increased the survival rate of premature infants. Although its incidence and severity have been decreasing in developed countries over the past two decades, both are increasing in developing nations. ROP is consequently targeted as an important but avoidable disease. This review provides an updated summary and discussion of much of the work that has been produced through population, animal, cell culture, and genetic research. The authors examine the prevalence, risk factors, and possible causes of the disease with a particular focus on genetic studies. They conclude that while significant reductions in the disease have occurred in developed countries, further research is required to fully understand and prevent the disease. In the meantime, development and implementation of appropriate screening and treatment strategies will be critical in reducing blindness in developing countries.

The International Classification of ROP describes the location relative to the optic nerve, the extent of the developing vasculature, and the progressive staging of the disease. Stage I is the least severe, with stages 4 and 5 referring to partial and total retinal detachment respectively. The term “plus” disease indicates signs of ongoing ROP activity that can accompany any stage of ROP. “Threshold” ROP describes 5 contiguous or 8 cumulative clock hours of stage 3 ROP in zones I or II in the presence of “plus” disease, indicating an increased likelihood of progression to retinal detachment.

The Global Initiative for the Elimination of Avoidable Blindness’ targets ROP for prevention and treatment in an effort to reduce the prevalence of childhood blindness. In addition the World Health Organization’s “Vision 2020 programme” has identified ROP as an important cause of blindness in both high and middle income countries. In the United States, ROP remains the second most common cause of childhood blindness.

Although the prevalence of childhood blindness is very high in countries with an infant mortality rate (IMR) greater than 60 per 1000 live births such as sub-Saharan Africa, very little or no ROP is recorded in these countries owing to the lack of intensive care facilities for premature infants and their low survival rates. In industrialised countries with IMRs of less than 10 per 1000 live births, ROP accounts for between 6–20% of childhood blindness. However, in countries with IMRs between 10–60 per 1000 live births, ROP is now emerging as a major cause of childhood blindness. The proportion of blindness caused by ROP may be underestimated in countries with a high incidence of intellectual disability (up to 75%) among blind children. Variations in incidence may also occur between areas within the same country depending on the level of available postnatal care.

In developed countries, ROP has been characterised by two notable epidemics over the past 60 years. Severe ROP (initially termed “retrolental fibroplasia” or RLF) was first described during an epidemic of the disease in the 1940s. In 1951, Campbell suggested that the toxic effects of uncontrolled supplemental oxygen to newborns were responsible for the epidemic. She emphasised the importance of maintaining pregnancies beyond the 33rd week and avoiding the use of prophylactic oxygen therapy, advocating its use only in the treatment of cyanosis.

Subsequent studies in the same decade confirmed that high levels of oxygen obliterated blood vessels in the neonatal retina. This epidemic ceased following controlled oxygen administration. In the United States alone, the proportion of blindness due to RLF fell from 50% in 1950 to 4% by 1965. Unfortunately, this decline was accompanied by an increase in neonatal deaths from atelectasis and respiratory distress secondary to hyaline membrane disease.

The late 1970s and 1980s, reports emerged of a second epidemic of ROP similar in size to the first despite the careful monitoring of oxygen delivery to neonates since the 1950s. It was concluded that this epidemic was due to increased survival rates of very low birthweight premature infants weighing 750–999 g and not to new iatrogenic factors. Survival rates for premature infants less than 27 weeks’ gestation continued to improve in the 1990s and, while some studies showed greater occurrence of more severe ROP, more recent reports indicate a
decline in incidence, severity, and progression to threshold disease in developed countries.\textsuperscript{29–32} However, ROP remains prevalent in very low birthweight infants, with as many as 12.5\% of infants born between 23 and 26 weeks’ gestation requiring treatment for threshold disease.\textsuperscript{33}

**PREDISPOSING FACTORS**

**Gestational age and birth weight**

Although many causative factors have been proposed for ROP, only low birth weight, low gestational age, and supplemental oxygen therapy following delivery have been consistently associated with disease.\textsuperscript{34–36} A multicentre US study of infants born in 1986–7 reported that of those infants weighing less than 1000 g, 81.6\% developed ROP, while 46.9\% of those 1000–1250 g developed the disorder.\textsuperscript{37} Severe disease is seen especially in babies under 26 weeks’ gestation\textsuperscript{38} with severity increasing with decreasing gestational age.\textsuperscript{39}

**Oxygen saturation levels**

Since the link between supplemental oxygen and ROP was established,\textsuperscript{40} there has been intensive research into the role of oxygen in the pathogenesis of this condition. ROP begins to develop between 32 and 34 weeks after conception, regardless of gestational age at delivery,\textsuperscript{41} and has two distinct phases. During the acute first phase, the normal vasculogenesis of the retina is disturbed by the relative hyperoxia of the extrauterine environment. This causes vaso-obliteration and non-vascularisation of some areas of the anterior retina.\textsuperscript{42} The subsequent hypoxia causes a second chronic phase, characterised by the proliferation of vascular and glial cells, arteriovenous shunt formation, occasionally leading to involution or permanent cicatricial changes and visual impairment.\textsuperscript{43–45}

Controversy surrounds whether or not the length of time over which oxygen is administered affects either the incidence or the severity of the disease. Evidence presented in a recent study demonstrates that continued provision of supplemental oxygen to infants who have developed moderate ROP does not reduce the incidence of progression to threshold disease\textsuperscript{46} although it appears that wide fluctuations in oxygen saturation levels may affect ROP development and progression.\textsuperscript{47} In the rat model both hypoxia and unstable oxygen levels are important causes of ischaemic retinopathy.\textsuperscript{48}

The significance of oxygen levels lies in the nature of the choroidal circulation which is unique in that it fails to autoregulate in response to altered oxygen tension. Under hyperoxic conditions, the choroidal vessels cannot constrict although the retinal vessels have this ability. As a result, excess oxygen moves from the choroidal to the retinal circulation, bathing the retina and constricting the retinal vessels to the point of obliteration.\textsuperscript{49}

An alternative theory is that damage by reactive oxygen species may outweigh the available defence mechanisms in the form of antioxidant enzymes, particularly superoxide dismutase and protective antioxidants such as α-tocopherol.\textsuperscript{50} Hypoxic conditions may also interfere with the growth and maturation of spindle cells, causing gap junction formation between cells and disruption of normal migration and vasculogenesis.\textsuperscript{51}

Experiments with animal models,\textsuperscript{52–54} transgenic mouse models,\textsuperscript{55} non-human primates,\textsuperscript{56} and cell cultures have confirmed that the cytokine vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGF-A) is instrumental in the development of abnormal retinal vasculature. Elevated levels of VEGF-A have been found in the vitreous of humans with ROP and in the subretinal fluid of eyes affected by active stage 4 but not stage 5 ROP.\textsuperscript{57} However, VEGF-A mRNA is not seen in the fetal retina until 20 weeks’ gestation, implying that factors other than hypoxia may also drive VEGF-A expression.\textsuperscript{58} In support of this, insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1) has recently been found to optimise the activity of VEGF-A, and lack of IGF-1 in knockout mice prevents normal retinal vascularisation.\textsuperscript{59} Studies in premature infants also suggest that persistently low serum levels of IGF-1 are a significant factor contributing to the development of ROP and Hellstrom et al\textsuperscript{60} propose that normalisation of IGF-1 levels may prevent ROP.

**Genetic factors**

ROP may develop in premature infants who have received little or no supplemental oxygen, and it is not known what determines which infants progress to retinal detachment. The hypothesis put forward in the early 1990s that genetic factors may contribute to the development of ROP\textsuperscript{61} is supported by the variation observed between ethnic groups. Although no racial correlation was identified in a study involving Chinese, Indian, and Malay children in Singapore,\textsuperscript{62} other evidence has suggested that African-American infants are less prone to severe outcome ROP than white infants\textsuperscript{63} and Alaskan natives develop threshold ROP earlier than non-natives.\textsuperscript{64} This racial variation suggests that genetic, socioeconomic,\textsuperscript{65} or dietary factors may be involved.

The ocular features of acute ROP such as retinal folds, retinal traction, and retinal detachment show considerable morphological similarity with the rare familial disorders Norrie disease and familial exudative vitreoretinopathy (FEVR). Norrie disease is a bilateral X linked disorder characterised by ocular findings resembling acute ROP, and sometimes accompanied by intellectual disability and sensorineural deafness.\textsuperscript{66} Studies have shown a number of mutations in the Norrie disease gene associated with this disease,\textsuperscript{67} with the majority of mutations occurring in the translated region of the third exon.

While many of the retinal findings associated with FEVR are morphologically similar to those observed in advanced ROP, FEVR patients typically have a normal gestational period and lack a history of low birth weight or exposure to supplemental oxygen. In contrast with Norrie disease and ROP, FEVR tends to be a slowly progressive disorder with retinal detachment often not occurring until the first or second decade of life.\textsuperscript{68} FEVR may be inherited as an autosomal dominant,\textsuperscript{69} autosomal recessive,\textsuperscript{70} or X linked trait.\textsuperscript{71} Mutations in the Norrie disease gene have been shown to be present in patients with the X linked form of FEVR,\textsuperscript{72} suggesting that X linked FEVR and Norrie disease are allelic.

Given the similar ocular manifestations of ROP, Norrie disease and FEVR, the Norrie disease gene was an obvious candidate for investigation in ROP. However, studies of the contribution of this gene to ROP onset and progression remain inconclusive. Shastry et al\textsuperscript{73} found that of 12 children with severe ROP, four patients—including twins assumed to be monozygotic—had missense mutations R121W or L108P in the third exon of the Norrie disease gene. Another recent publication by Shastry’s group\textsuperscript{74} reported an insertion and a deletion in the CT repeat region of exon 1 of the Norrie disease gene in two of 100 advanced ROP cases. Buñemann et al\textsuperscript{75} screened 12 premature African-American infants, and found a mutation in the 3’ untranslated region in one of the four cases with severe ROP. Hiraoka et al\textsuperscript{76} concluded that overall, Norrie disease mutations are associated with 3\% of cases of advanced ROP.

The fact that most epidemiological studies of ROP do not find a sex imbalance\textsuperscript{77} also suggests only a small proportion of severe ROP cases are likely to harbour Norrie disease gene mutations. Although interestingly, two studies have reported a skewed sex ratio in ROP, with twice the number of male infants affected.\textsuperscript{78} Reports do exist of females in Norrie disease and FEVR families displaying retinal abnormalities,\textsuperscript{79} and Mintz-Hittner et al\textsuperscript{80} suggest that transplacental effects of maternal norrin may also be important. It is possible that mutations that would normally not be expressed in a full term infant may manifest in both males and females under conditions of prematurity.\textsuperscript{81}
Haider et al screened a cohort of Kuwaiti premature new-borns for the mutations identified by Shastry et al and two FEVR mutations (A105T and V60E). While the L108P, A105T, and V60E mutations were detected in their population, Haider et al concluded that none of these mutations showed an association with advanced ROP. These results cast doubt on the Norrie disease gene’s putative role in severe ROP and suggest that population differences and choice of controls may also be critical in evaluating this gene’s contribution.

Efforts to confirm the role of the Norrie disease gene and identify other genes contributing to ROP are confounded by the difficulty of clinically distinguishing between Norrie disease, FEVR and ROP in premature infants. It is conceivable, for example, that sporadic cases of Norrie disease or FEVR may be misdiagnosed as ROP if patients experience premature delivery.

Given that ROP is clearly non-Mendelian and that Norrie disease mutations have only been detected in a small percentage of severe ROP cases, it is possible that other genetic factors modify the disease. Two loci—EVR1 and EVR3—have been reported for the autosomal dominant form of FEVR and it is possible that once the genes at these loci are identified, they may also be found to have a role in ROP.

Other putative risk factors

Infants conceived through fertility programmes have a higher incidence of multiple births and subsequently premature deliveries, raising the risk of ROP. Infants conceived by in vitro fertilisation account for the majority of the increased risk for this group. A second at-risk group includes those infants with cerebral palsy who have a higher incidence of retinal vascular anomalies associated with both cerebral ischaemia and prematurity.

A number of other risk factors have been suggested as contributors to ROP although it is unclear whether these are truly independent risk factors or simply indicators of the compromised health of the neonate. These factors include bronchopulmonary dysplasia, number of blood transfusions, parenteral nutrition, hypo/hypercarbia, early intubation, hypotension, patent ductus arteriosus, necrotising enterocolitis, administration of β blockers to mothers before delivery, intraventricular haemorrhage, poor postnatal weight gain, and Candida sepsis.

No relation exists between ROP and maternal smoking, maternal alcohol intake, or exposure of the retina to light. Prenatal care does not affect the incidence or severity of ROP although the importance of reducing the incidence of prematurity delivery is emphasised. Surprisingly, maternal pre-eclampsia may lead to a more favourable outcome for premature infants, perhaps because hypertension causes intrauterine stress and subsequent maturation of the eyes.

INCREASING INCIDENCE IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES?

A current concern is that a third epidemic of ROP may be emerging. In Sri Lanka and Lithuania, the first reports of ROP have only recently been documented, although in Lithuania the mean birth weight and gestational age of infants who develop ROP have decreased quickly with improved facilities. In Thailand and the Philippines, ROP is not reported in rural areas but causes 15% of visual loss in the cities where better medical facilities are available. It is predicted that as the survival of increasingly premature infants improves in developing countries, the overall numbers of children with ROP will increase.

CONCLUSION

Although ROP has been recognised as an important cause of blindness in developed countries for some years, it is now becoming more significant in developing countries. The World Health Organization’s Vision 2020 programme targets ROP as an “avoidable disease” requiring early detection and treatment to prevent blindness and the inherent costs to the individual and the community. As described by Vision 2020, recent research has resulted in strategies that have been successful in reducing the incidence of ROP such as routine fundus examination of premature neonates less than 32 weeks’ gestation or under 1250 g, provision of carefully monitored levels of supplemental oxygen where necessary, and treatment and care by well trained and well equipped ophthalmologists.

As a lower cost option for developing countries, screening only infants under 1200 g may be more cost effective. Current treatment options are expensive and can have potentially serious complications; thus prevention is still the best strategy available at present to avoid blindness caused by ROP. For ROP to become a truly “avoidable” disease, further research is required to elucidate the underlying causes of ROP, enable prediction of the course of the disease, and propose new prevention strategies. It will be especially important to evaluate whether genetic factors do influence the outcome of ROP and whether novel drug therapies arising from this knowledge will result in better treatments, since even the best centres are unable to prevent blindness in a proportion of cases. However, in the short term, improved surveillance and treatment programmes are likely to be most effective in reducing blindness caused by ROP in developing countries.
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