Histopathological findings in filtering blebs with recurrent blebitis
We report clinical courses and histopathological findings of excised blebs from two patients with recurrent blebitis.

Case reports
Case 1
A 79 year old man with a past history of lung cancer and diabetes mellitus underwent trabeculectomy with 5-fluorouracil in his right eye for a diagnosis of primary open angle glaucoma (POAG) in 1989. In January 1994, bleb leakage from an avascular bleb was confirmed for the first time and prophylactic topical erythromycin had been applied. In September 1994, he experienced ocular pain and hyperaemia in his right eye and blebitis was diagnosed. Culture of aqueous humour was positive for enterococcus. He was treated with topical ofloxacin, micromycin, and a subconjunctival injection of amikacin and the infection resolved. After then, bleb leak was not observed at any regular visit. In March 1998, blebitis occurred in the same position. Topically applied SBPC, ofloxacin, and micromycin abolished the infection. Because the bleb leak persisted, the bleb was resected to prevent re-infection and ante- rior advancement of the conjunctiva was performed in February 2001. Histological examination of the bleb specimen revealed an attenuated epithelium with goblet cell depletion and hyaline change with a loss of inflammatory responses in the lamina propria.

Comment
According to previous reports, the epithelia of leaking blebs following adjunctive use of antimetabolites shows focal or general thinning. In cases of leaking bleb after filtering surgery without the use of antimetabolites, Sinnreich et al. reported similar epithelial thinning, while Addicks et al. reported normal epithelium. Both of our cases were similar to the former. Moreover, there was goblet cell depletion in both of our cases. Mucin is a highly adhesive substance secreted from goblet cells and mostly forms as surface mucin over the cornea or conjunctiva, which has an important function as a physical, biological barrier on the ocular surface, such as elimination of foreign bodies or control of micro-organisms. Thus, histological findings of these two cases of recurrent bleb infection are compatible with dysfunction against bacterial infection.

Inflammatory reaction in the stroma of the bleb is decreased with the use of mitomycin C, while cases of leaking blebs without antimetabolites reportedly show moderate subconjunctival inflammation. Case 2 in the present study, without the use of antimetabolites, had a low inflammatory reaction. Poor immune response may be related to the poor blood supply in these ischaemic blebs and compatible with the poor immune response to bacterial infection.

Waheed and his colleagues reported clinical characteristics of 12 eyes with recurrent bleb related infections and they concluded that eyes that have been successfully treated for bleb related infection remain at risk for recurrent infection. Histopathological findings showing thinning and breakdown of epithelial structures in the present study may explain this findings.
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Retinal detachment surgery in district general hospitals: an audit of changing practice

Despite advances in the techniques of vitreoretinal surgery, rhegmatogenous retinal detachment (RBD) continues to pose a serious
threat to vision. In the past, general ophthalmologists in the United Kingdom undertook most RRD surgery, with only the more complex cases being referred to retinal specialists. The recent trend towards subspecialisation has led to increasing numbers of primary RRD being managed by vitreoretinal surgeons in tertiary referral centres.1

We conducted an audit to determine the current success rate of primary RRD surgery in our subregion (south west subregion, SWSR). SWSR consists of the catchments of four district general hospitals (DGH) in Devon and Somerset (population 1.2 million). A previous subregional audit in 1991 showed that all consultant ophthalmologists undertook primary RRD surgery, achieving a success rate of 71% with one operation.2 Since then, retinal subspecialisation has meant that all RRDs are managed by three retinal specialists (CJ, PS, RG) in their individual DGHs, providing a subregional surgical retinal service. An informal cross cover arrangement exists between the three DGHs, with the result that patients are very rarely referred out of the subregion.

Case notes of all patients who underwent surgery for primary RRD from January to December 1999 were retrospectively reviewed. Case ascertainment was achieved by reviewing theatre logbooks and identifying all vitreoretinal operation notes. Case notes of all patients who underwent surgery for primary RRD in 1999 were chosen so that there was a minimum of 1 year follow up. Retinal reattachment was assumed to be achieved if any of the following occurred: (1) reattachment of the retina to the underlying vitreous face, (2) the patient was rehabilitated with one further operation, and (3) visual acuity outcome.

The main outcome measures were (1) primary success: retinal reattachment with one operation, with no re-intervention during follow up; (2) final success: retinal reattachment with or without further intervention during follow up; and (3) visual acuity outcome.

The retrieval rate for case notes was 99%. A total of 127 cases (DGH A 36%, DGH B 41%, DGH C 23%) were treated in 1999, with a mean age of 59.6 years (range 14–95 years). A total of 127 (9) cases had primary vitrectomy. The three DGHs differed significantly in their surgical approach (primary vitrectomy rate: DGH A 65%, DGH B 40%, DGH C 79%). Consultants performed 85% of all operations, while trainees performed the remainder under supervision.

The primary success rate of retinal reattachment was 85% overall, with a mean of actual documented follow up of 8.2 months (2–25 months). This was a significant improvement from the 71% primary success in 107 cases of primary RRDs in the previous subregional audit (p<0.05, χ² test). There was no significant difference between the three DGHs in their individual primary success rate (DGH A 87%, DGH B 85%, DGH C 83%). Included in the primary success were three vitrectomy/oil cases that had undergone oil removal at final follow up.

There were 19 (15%) primary failures. 11 were “early failures” (mean interval to reattachment 8 days) whose retinas did not reattach, or immediately redetached after absorption of gas tamponade. They were due to inadequate retinotomy/adhesion (five), new/missed breaks (four), inadequate buckle (one), and proliferative vitreoretinopathy (one). In contrast, the eight “late failures” (mean interval to reattachment 69 days) all had successful initial reattachment, but subsequently redetached due to proliferative vitreoretinopathy. Logistic regression with survival or “late failures” as the dependent variable found retinal break in a superior position (from 10 to 2 o’clock), myopia >6 dioptres, and “aphakia/pseudophakia without an intact posterior capsule, to be significant predictors of “late failures” (p<0.05).

A total of 15 primary failures underwent further retinal surgery; 14 patients were reattached with one further operation (one needed two further procedures). The final success rate was 97%. This was not significantly different from the 93% of the previous audit (p>0.05, χ² test).

Table 2 summarises the visual outcome of our patients, represented by changes in logMAR visual acuity. Visual acuity was significantly improved in the primary success, macula attached and macula detached subgroups (p<0.05, the Wilcoxon test). Seventy percent of primary success patients achieved a Snellen acuity of 6/18 or better at discharge.

Comment
In 1991, all consultant ophthalmologists in our subregion undertook primary RRD surgery, each managing on average 8.2 cases per year. In the current audit cycle, the caseload had increased to 42 cases per consultant, with three retinal specialists managing all the RRD. Assuming there was no significant change in the detachment case mix between 1991 and 1999, the improvement in the primary success rate is most likely to be associated with the advent of vitreoretinal subspecialisation.4 Results of RRD surgery from three vitreoretinal units (VRU) in the United Kingdom3,6,7 provided indicators for comparison. With the exception of pseudophakic rate (Cambridge 12%, SWSR 24%), our case mix was most comparable to the Cambridge subregion, with both series describing RRD from geographically well defined populations. Initially, there would appear to be significant differences in the primary success rate between the four studies (Cambridge VRU 90%, SWSR 85%, St Thomas’s 84%, Moorfields 80%, p<0.05, χ² test). However, it is debatable whether retinal reattachment achieved with silicon oil in situ can be considered “stable.” After excluding eyes with silicon oil still in situ, the “oil-out primary success rate” was comparable (Cambridge VRU 80%, SWSR 83%, p>0.05, χ² test).

The primary success rate, although significantly improved, was not 100%. Improvement on our “early” failures, mostly due to “technical” errors, can be achieved by appropriate choice of surgical technique. For the “late” failures, all consequence of PVR, improve ment may depend on the identification of “at risk” cases, and the selective use of an “anti-PVR cocktail.” To conclude, we have shown that the primary success rate of RRD surgery has improved following a change in practice towards retinal subspecialisation in our subregion. The anatomical success and functional outcome were comparable to results from other VRUs in the United Kingdom.3,6,7 The benefits of providing a local vitreoretinal service, in contrast to referring patients to a VRU in a tertiary centre, include prompt on-site surgical access, and the availability of support from family and friends locally to aid visual rehabilitation in the postoperative period.
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Do climatic variables influence the development of posterior vitreous detachment?

Posterior vitreous detachment (PVD) is a common condition after the fifth decade of life. Synchrony of the vitreous progresses in proportion to age, creating holes in the posterior hyaloid membrane and allowing PVD to occur. The incidence of rhegmatogenous retinal detachment is generally accepted to be season dependent, with a higher incidence in the summer months. Seasonal variations about the mechanism for an increase in PVD occurrences with increasing temperatures may have a role to play. Further work is necessary in order to investigate the effect of increasing temperatures and/or dehydration on the biochemical structure of the vitreous.
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Ocular and systemic posaconazole (SCH-56592) treatment of invasive Fusarium solani keratitis and endophthalmitis

An emmetropic 42 year old immunocompetent woman with 6/6 vision developed left eye pain while wearing cosmetic soft contact lenses. She presented on 28 July 2000 to her ophthalmologist, who noted deep stromal infiltration accompanying a 2 × 3 mm pericentral corneal ulcer. Cultures yielded Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus viridans, and
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Figure 1 The relation between air temperature and occurrences of PVD.
Fusarium solani. Initial therapy with tobramycin was followed by high dose topical hydroquinones, whereafter the infection, continuing unabated, was construed to be fungal keratitis. High doses of amphotericin B both topical and intravenously, natamycin, and ketoconazole were administered, along with topical fortified cephalosporins, azithromycin, and atropine. Despite these, the lesion spread to involve much of the corneal periphery (Fig 1), and repeat corneal cultures confirmed the presence of amphotericin B resistant Fusarium spp (MIC 24:48 hours in pg/ml: amphotericin B 2:2; natamycin 32:32; posaconazole 1:8).

On 1 September 2000, the anterior chamber was filled with fibrin with a central corneal defect and near total corneal infiltration, affording only a hazy view of the peripheral iris. The fibrin clot was integrated with the central iris, and the lens was not visible. Ultrasonography suggested anterior segment involvement only. Posaconazole (SCH-56592), an investigational broad spectrum triazole, was obtained from Schering-Plough Research Corporation and administered at 200 mg four times a day, with hourly topical ocular application of the same suspension (10 mg/0.1 ml). A compassionate use investigatory new drug (IND) approval for topical ocular application of posaconazole was requested from, and promptly issued by, the Federal Drug Administration, and informed consent was obtained through an institutional review board approved protocol. Treatment commenced 5 September 2000, when aqueous tap reconfirmed presence of Fusarium, which was susceptible in vitro to posaconazole.

Within the first week of 2 hourly topical application of posaconazole oral suspension (100 mg/ml), along with 800 mg orally of the same daily, there was significant clearing of the corneal periphery. The fibrin clot melted concentrically, revealing its attachment to the descemetocorneal anteriorly and central iris posteriorly.

With further clearing of the infectious inflammatory clot, the anterior descemetomebrane became effectively unplugged. The globe decompressed through the open corneal ulcer providing the impetus for urgent penetrating keratoplasty on 20 September 2000. Histology revealed innumerable septate branching fungi within the corneal and iris stroma.

One week later, further remarkable improvement was noted. Despite the ominous surgical histology, >90% of the fibrin clot had cleared. Diagnostic vitrectomy yielded posaconazole at a concentration of 0.25 µg/ml in the vitreous (and 1.2 µg/ml in the plasma) on 26 September 2000. Vision improved markedly, with good projection to confrontational light throughout the periphery. The clarity of the transplant and anterior chamber now revealed a dense white cortical cataract, with residual fibrin clot inferior to the graft-host interface. Topical corticosteroid therapy was cautiously introduced. By 30 October 2000 the patient’s condition was further improved, and elective combined phacocapsulotomy with planned aphakia was carried out on 11 January 2001. Branching elements of the Fusarium were histologically confirmed to have penetrated the surgically removed anterior lens cortex and capsule, but all subsequent cultures were negative, and aqueous tap confirmed posaconazole to be present at a level of 0.9 µg/ml, with a plasma level of 1.6 µg/ml.

At 16 months, on 4 December 2001, vision remained stable with good colour vision and 360 degree peripheral visual function. The visual axis was clear, with two small clear operculi in the otherwise opaque residual posterior capsule (Fig 2). Visual acuity was 6/30 using aphakic correction, with no afferent pupil defect. Prognosis for eventual lens replacement, posterior capsulotomy, and visual rehabilitation of this eye now appeared very good. This excellent outcome is not anticipated for invasive Fusarium of the eye.4,5

In summary, a healthy woman with amphotericin and natamycin resistant Fusarium spp keratitis, progressing to invasive endophthalmitis, recovered with good retinal function via an apparently rapid response of the Fusarium to systemic and/or topical posaconazole. The ocular penetration of posaconazole was confirmed on separate occasions by aqueous and vitreous analysis.
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World Sight Day and cataract blindness
Age related cataract remains the major cause of blindness throughout the world. It is estimated that the present number of 20 million of cataract blind will double by the year 2020. The main reasons for low uptake of cataract surgery in developing countries are poor surgical outcomes and high cost. Various strategies have been suggested by the global initiative “Vision 2020: the right to sight” to reduce cataract blindness.1

In Nepal, on “World Sight Day,” Lahan Eye Hospital and Tilganga Eye Centre jointly conducted a one week cataract surgical “workshop” from 8–13 October 2001. A total of 1542 patients with operable cataract were identified in 49 screening camps in rural areas of south-east Nepal and transported to Lahan Eye Hospital. During 6 days 2292 eye operations were performed, of which 2167 were cataract extractions (range 286–594 per day). The operations were performed by six experienced eye surgeons using a sutureless non-phaco technique, removing the nucleus.
through a self sealing corneoscleral tunnel. Biometry was performed and a calculated PC IOL inserted in 374 (18%) cases; the remaining 1741 (82%) received a +22 dioptre PC IOL. After excluding 23 cataract operations on children, 21 combined glaucoma procedures, and eight lens induced glaucoma surgeries, the surgical outcome on the remaining 2115 cataract extractions was evaluated.

The uncorrected visual acuity at discharge (94% on first postoperative day) was 6/6–6/18 in 49.9% and less than 6/60 in 3.0% of eyes (Table 1). The reasons for poor outcome are shown in Table 2.

The cost of consumables including IOL, medicines, anaesthesia, viscoelastic, irrigation solution, disinfectant, and dressings was less than $10 per cataract operation.

Well organised cataract screening camps combined with efficient base hospital surgery, using a safe surgical technique which provides early and good visual outcome, can be a strategy to reduce the backlog of cataract blindness in rural communities in developing countries.
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**Trachoma and recurrent trichiasis**

It was with great interest that I read the recent article by al Arab and co-workers. This work clearly shows that endemic blinding trachoma continues to be a major health problem in this area of the Nile Delta, quite close to Cairo.

My attention was particularly drawn to the high rate of recurrence among those who had had trichiasis surgery. Overall, 44% of cases who had had surgery had recurrent trichiasis. It would be most interesting to look at the time that had elapsed between surgery and the time of examination. Some have argued that the recurrence rate from trichiasis reflects poor or inadequate surgery. Others have alluded to the fact that the tarsal striping trachoma is of a progressive nature and that trichiasis is likely to recur because of ongoing scarring, even after otherwise successful surgery. Information of the elapsed time would help explore the scenario.
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**Table 1** Uncorrected visual acuity at discharge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acuity</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6/6–6/18</td>
<td>1054</td>
<td>49.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;6/18–6/60</td>
<td>997</td>
<td>47.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;6/60</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2** Reasons for poor visual outcome at discharge (<6/60)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-existing eye disease</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical complication</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refractive error</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MAILBOX**

**Failure of amniotic membrane transplantation in the treatment of acute ocular burns**

We read with interest the article by Joseph et al. It is to be appreciated that they have come forward to report the negative results of their study along with the need of an upgraded classification for the chemical burn. The authors must be congratulated for highlighting the pitfalls of amniotic membrane transplantation (AMT) in acute cases with sufficient scientific explanation.

Whenever a new technique is described it is aggressively followed with realising the consequences. The authors have very rightly suggested that the most important strategy for the management of thermal and chemical burns in the acute stage is to reduce inflammation, promote wound healing, and prevent infection. The same strategy is essential to have all these three conditions at the acute stage of burns for which the procedure is advocated, but when and to what stage? It is to be hoped that the authors must be congratulated for highlighting the pitfalls of AMT in acute cases.
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**“No-needle” sub-Tenon’s anaesthesia**

We read with interest the letter from Amin et al, suggesting a modification of the sub-Tenon local anaesthetic injection procedure. They advocate the use of a Venfon needle to penetrate the conjunctiva, with subsequent advancement of the cannula before anaesthetic injection. We are concerned that the use of a sharp needle should be recommended so close to the eye. Amin et al point out that the needle tip is clearly visible at all times and therefore at “minimal risk” of puncturing the eye. However, once under the conjunctiva, the needle is not necessarily “clearly” visible, and if subconjunctival haemorrhage should occur then it will quickly become obscured. We do not think it sensible to place a sharp needle this close to the eye when an effective and well proved alternative delivery system has already stood the test of time.

In his editorial on local anaesthetic injection techniques for cataract surgery, Smerdon rightly emphasises the risk of ocular penetration for all techniques involving needles, and highlights the Royal College of Ophthalmologists’ local anaesthesia survey which demonstrated the relative safety of sub-Tenon’s and topical anaesthesia. We agree with him that when discussing an anaesthetic technique with a potential for high volume, it is not the expert anaesthetist/ophthalmologist who should be borne in mind, but rather the less skilled person, possibly less familiar with ophthalmic anaesthetic techniques, or in training, who may be required to administer a block. It is in this setting that the use of a needle very close to the eye is, in our opinion, an unwarranted risk. Surely the “no needle” sub-Tenon’s technique is just as effective, and safer.
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Role of autologous serum in persistent epithelial defects

Poon et al. in their excellent article have reiterated the efficacy of autologous serum in the treatment of persistent epithelial defects (PEDs) of the cornea. We would like to invite the attention of the authors to certain aspects of the study.

The authors have considered a period of 1 week for labelling an epithelial defect to be persistent. However, most studies on a similar subject have taken the criterion to be 2 weeks. It is generally recommended that a "wash-out" period of at least 2 weeks be given with preservative free artificial tears and only those epithelial defects that remain either static or demonstrate an increase in size in this period be included in the study. The authors have not mentioned such a washout period. However, in the protocol used when using autologous serum drops most investigators have not used any other therapeutic modality at the same time to enhance epithelialisation, apart from preservative free lubricants. The use of therapeutic contact lenses in five cases by the authors makes it difficult to evaluate the actual contribution of serum drops in the healing of the epithelial defect in these cases. Further, the use of serum drops in the immediate postoperative period in two patients with poor ocular surface undergoing keratoplasty without waiting for the corneal epithelial defect to heal by itself cannot be extrapolated to all cases. Making a comment on the beneficial effect of autologous serum. Also the rationale behind the use of 100% serum when previous studies have proved the efficacy of 20% solution is not understandable. This concentrated serum can cause stickiness, which would be inconvenient to the patients and may reduce the compliance. The use of the slit lamp micrometre by the authors for measuring the epithelial defects may not be accurate because of its inherent interobserver and intraobserver variations.

A better method would be measuring the area of the defect instead of the greatest dimensions by the use of digital photographs and area measuring software such as Image Pro Plus available from Media Cybernetics.
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A re-analysis of astigmatism correction

In a recent perspective by Morlet et al. there are a number of omissions and fundamental errors of content that lead to erroneous conclusions. These significant inaccuracies overlooked in the review process compromise the article's broad contribution.

In Morlet et al.'s attempt to detail "the use and limitation of vectors . . . for the analysis of change in astigmatism" (p 1127) they display an incomplete understanding of the subject. They have made a valiant attempt to assemble their findings in a logical and contemporary references on a subject of significant interest, but key material has been omitted or misquoted. This has resulted in leading statements in the article, in both the text and even the conclusion, that require re-evaluation and substantial revision.

The most obvious omission is the paper's absence of any discussion of the difference vector, a precise measure of surgical effect described in reference 7. When the difference vector is related to the treatment (that is, TIA or target induced astigmatism vector) one has an extremely useful relative value of surgical impact on treatment.

Morlet et al. have overlooked this key vector entity and struggle to find any useful alternative. In sharp contrast Dr Doug Koch, editor of the Journal of Cataract and Refractive Surgery, in his editorial in the analysing astigmatism issue of January 2001 described the difference vector and the index of success as "remarkably useful and intuitive means of understanding the effects of the surgery."

The authors state more than once for their principal foundation of the article that "Vector analysis alone does not provide any indication of the relative value of the surgical procedure" (p 1132). The statement is erroneous, and the authors' failure to discuss or dispute the value of the difference vector and index of success leaves the assertion unsupported and lacking credibility. If the surgical induced astigmatism vector (SIA) (one of further translation) was the only product of vector analysis, indeed vector analysis would be a limited tool. This seems to be Morlet et al.'s contention. This is far from the truth and as a result the restatement in the conclusion that "vector analysis does not give a measure of outcome" (p 1134) is factually inaccurate.

In addition, their interpretation that the off-axis effects of treatment at 45° to the surgical plane are deemed to be rotation, would more accurately be termed "torque," the component of the SIA that has been ineffective in reducing astigmatism. The relevant reference describing flattening, steepening, torque and effect of off-axis treatments has been omitted from the attempt at a comprehensive list of relevant published material. The phenomena of rotation and torque are fundamentally different physical processes. The polar value at 45° to the "surgical plane" (p 1131) quantifies the torque which causes an increase in the existing astigmatism associated with its change in orientation. It does not properly gauge the cylindrical effects of the surgery. There is no concurrent change in the amount of existing astigmatism occurs. Rotation includes some flattening (or steepening) effect occurring as a result of success of the SIA.

The article's conclusion that "a better evaluation of the effect of astigmatism axis requires the use of the 'by the rule' or mirror equivalent axis notation, or by a manual scoring method to produce an outcome summary measure" (p 1134) is convoluted and unworkable. If implemented this would adversely affect the comprehension of astigmatism outcome analysis by the average general ophthalmic or refractive surgeon.

It is unfortunate the reviewers of this paper did not direct the authors to the fallacies that merited revision. The statement "vector analysis is only valid in the early post-operative period" because "the healing process is continuous" (p 1132) is seriously flawed. Vector analysis is an essential component of this refinement process. In fact, vector analysis could be used to determine the astigmatic effect of the healing process itself by comparison of data at various stages in the postoperative period.

The recommendations promoted by Morlet et al. introduce greater complexity in an already complicated subject. For example, mixing positive and negative cylinder notation is unnecessary. The technique put forward (p 1131 and equation 20) does not address the changes that occur in corneal shape as measured by keratometry and topography, and cannot be readily applied when targeting non-zero goals associated with incomplete or off-axis refractive astigmatism treatments.

It is probably that the authors are careless in raising "phantom problems" (p 1128) for planning techniques based on incorrect quoting of information (such as reference 33). The merits of this customised treatment technique are that refractive as well as keratomeric data are employed (contrary to its misrepresentation that the technique "only uses keratometric data for the planning of refractive surgery" (p 1128)).

Morlet et al.'s unfortunate statement of opinion that "a lack of critical evaluation" has resulted in "the surgical vector's adoption as the de facto standard used in most reports concerning the surgical management of astigmatism" (p 1132) is not shared by many experienced investigative surgeons in the field. This has been shown by its admitted prevalence by the authors, and the usefulness of vectorial analysis in understanding the surgical process. Indeed, many of the erroneous statements and omissions in the perspective article might lead one to ask where the "lack of critical evaluation" actually lies.

N Alpins
7 Chesterville Road, Cheltenham 3192, Australia
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BOOK REVIEW

The Hole In My Vision: An Artist’s View of His Own Macular Degeneration.


This text provides an illuminating and unique insight into the entoptic phenomena induced by the development of age related macular degeneration. Written primarily by a distinguished ophthalmic artist and photographer, it records his visual impressions of the development of age related macular degeneration in his own retina during a 10 year period. His method of inducing and illustrating his entoptic phenomena is fascinating and the correlation with the clinical fundus findings of his attending ophthalmologists quite remarkable. The effect of laser photocoagulation is clearly described from a patient’s perspective.

This book is written in large print for the lay sufferer and ophthalmic professional alike. It is an easy read with plentiful illustrations and should occupy the bookshelf of all concerned with this potentially blinding disorder. Profits derived from the sale of this book will be used to support age related macular degeneration research.

R McFadzean
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NOTICES

Childhood blindness

The latest issue of Community Eye Health (No 40) discusses new issues in childhood blindness, with an editorial by Clare Gilbert, senior lecturer at the International Centre for Eye Health. For further information please contact: Journal of Community Eye Health, International Centre for Eye Health, Institute of Ophthalmology, 11–43 Bath Street, London EC1V 9EL, UK (tel: +44 (0)20 7608 6910; fax: +44 (0)20 7250 3207; email: eyeresource@ucl.ac.uk; website: www.jceh.co.uk). Annual subscription (4 issues) UK£25/US$40. Free to workers in developing countries.

International Centre for Eye Health

The International Centre for Eye Health has published a new edition of the Standard List of Medicines, Equipment, Instruments and Optical Supplies (2001) for eye care services in developing countries. It is compiled by the Task Force of the International Agency for the Prevention of Blindness. Further details: Sue Stevens, International Centre for Eye Health, 11–43 Bath Street, London EC1V 9EL, UK (tel: +44 (0)20 7608 6910; email: eyeresource@ucl.ac.uk).

Second Sight

Second Sight, a UK based charity whose aims are to eliminate the backlog of cataract blind in India by the year 2020 and to establish strong links between Indian and British ophthalmologists, is regularly sending volunteer surgeons to India. Details can be found at the charity website (www.secondsight.org.uk) or by contacting Dr Lucy Mathen (lucymathen@yahoo.com).

Specific Eye Conditions (SPECS)

Specific Eye Conditions (SPECS) is a not for profit organisation which acts as an umbrella organisation for support groups of any conditions or syndrome with an integral eye disorder. SPECS represents over fifty different organisations related to eye disorders ranging from conditions that are relatively common to very rare syndromes. We also include groups who offer support of a more general nature to those diagnosed with retinitis pigmentosa, and all calls are taken in the strictest confidence. Many people with retinitis pigmentosa have found the Society helpful, providing encouragement, and support through the Helpline, the welfare network and the BRPS branches throughout the UK. (tel: +44 (0)1280 821 334; email: lynda@brps.demon.co.uk; web site: www.brps.demon.co.uk)

Singapore National Eye Centre 5th International Meeting

The Singapore National Eye Centre 5th International Meeting will be held on 3–5 August 2002 in Singapore. Further details: Ms Amy Lim, Organising Secretariat, Singapore National Eye Centre, 11 Third Hospital Avenue, Singapore 168751 (tel: (65) 322 8374; fax: (65) 227 7290; email: Amy_Lim@snecc.com.sg).

Ophthalmic Anaesthesia Society (OAS) 16th Scientific Meeting

The 16th Scientific Meeting of the OAS will be held on 4–6 October 2002 in The Westin, Michigan Avenue, 909 North Michigan Avenue, Chicago, USA (reservations +1 800 228 3000). Further details: OAS, 793-A Foothill Blvd, PMB 110, San Luis Obispo, CA 93405, USA (tel: +1 805 771 8300; web site: www.eyeanaesthesia.org).

BEAVRS Meeting

The next BEAVRS meeting will be held in the Dalmahoy Hotel near Edinburgh on 31 October to 1 November 2002. Further details: Susan Campbell, Medical Secretary, Gartnavel General Hospital (email: susan.j.campbell.wg@northglasgow.scot.nhs.uk).

The British Retinitis Pigmentosa Society

The British Retinitis Pigmentosa Society (BRPS) was formed in 1975 to bring together people with retinitis pigmentosa and their families. The principle aims of BRPS are to raise funds to support the programme of medical research into an eventual cure for this hereditary disease, and through the BRPS welfare service, help members and their families copy with the everyday concerns caused by retinitis pigmentosa. Part of the welfare service is the telephone helpline (+44 (0)1280 860 363), which is a useful resource for any queries or worries relating to the problems retinitis pigmentosa can bring. This service is especially valuable for those recently diagnosed with retinitis pigmentosa, and all calls are taken in the strictest confidence. Many people with retinitis pigmentosa have found the Society helpful, providing encouragement, and support through the Helpline, the welfare network and the BRPS branches throughout the UK. (tel: +44 (0)1280 821 334; email: lynda@brps.demon.co.uk; web site: www.brps.demon.co.uk)