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Aim: To investigate the intraocular pressure (IOP) response after intravitreal injections of triamcinolone acetonide as treatment of intraocular neovascular or oedematous diseases.

Methods: The prospective consecutive non-comparative interventional case series study included 71 patients (75 eyes) with progressive exudative age related macular degeneration (n = 64 eyes) or diffuse diabetic macular oedema (n = 11 eyes), who received an intravitreal injection of 25 mg triamcinolone acetonide. Mean follow up time was 6.86 (SD 2.52) months (range 3.1–14.47 months).

Results: IOP increased significantly (p<0.001) from 15.43 (3.26) mm Hg preoperatively to a mean maximum of 23.38 (8.37) mm Hg (range 13–64 mm Hg) postoperatively. An IOP rise to values higher than 21 mm Hg was observed in 39 (52%) eyes. Elevation of IOP occurred about 2 months after the injection. Preoperative predictive factor for the rise in IOP was younger age (p=0.019). It was statistically independent of refractive error, presence of diabetes mellitus, and indication for the injection. In all but one eye, IOP could be lowered to the normal range with topical medication, without development of glucomatous optic nerve head changes. In the eyes with an elevation of IOP, IOP normalised about 6 months after the injection, without further medication. Eyes undergoing repeatedly intravitreal injections of triamcinolone acetonide showed only an elevation of IOP, if after the first injection a rise of IOP had occurred.

Conclusions: After intravitreal injections of 25 mg of triamcinolone acetonide, an IOP elevation can develop in about 50% of eyes, starting about 1–2 months after the injection. In the vast majority, IOP can be normalised by topical medication, and returns to normal values without further medication about 6 months after the injection.

Recent clinical and experimental studies have suggested that the intravitreal injection of triamcinolone acetonide may be a therapeutic option for the treatment of intraocular neovascular, oedematous, or inflammatory diseases. Taking into account that the eye comprises only 0.01% of the whole ocular volume, it makes sense to try treating intraocular diseases by intravitreal injections, instead of oral medication. The latter will need a higher dosage, with a higher number of systemic side effects, to reach the same intraocular concentration of the drug as if the medication was applied intraocularly. One of the ocular side effects of corticosteroids is the elevation of intraocular pressure (IOP) leading to a secondary chronic open angle glaucoma. Since previous studies examined the rate and amount of elevation of IOP after topical application of cortisone leading to much lower intraocular concentrations of steroids than if the steroids were injected intravitreally, we aimed to evaluate the response of IOP after the intravitreal injection of corticosteroids.

Knowledge about the IOP response to the intravitreal injection of corticosteroids may be important for future discussions, under which clinical conditions an intraocular injection of triamcinolone acetonide may be considered as therapeutic approach.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

The prospective interventional case series study included 71 patients (75 eyes) (51 women, 20 men; 37 right eyes, 38 left eyes) who consecutively received an intravitreal injection, and of whom 12 patients repeatedly received an intravitreal injection, of 25 mg triamcinolone acetonide in topical anaesthesia, and who had a minimal follow up time of 3 months. Reason for the intravitreal injection of triamcinolone acetonide were progressive decrease of visual acuity due to exudative age related macular degeneration with subfoveal neovascularisation (n = 64 eyes), or diffuse diabetic macular oedema (n = 11 eyes). Four (5.4%) patients received the intravitreal cortisone injection in both eyes, with a time interval of 3.5–5 months between the injections. Refractive error ranged between −5.00 dioptres and +7.63 dioptres (mean +1.28 (SD 2.05) dioptres; median +1.25 dioptre). Mean age was 76.83 (7.15) years (range 60.1–100.1 years; median, 77.20 years). All patients were fully informed about the experimental character of the therapy. All patients signed an informed consent. The ethics committee of the university had approved the study. The study followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Six (8.5%) patients had chronic open angle glaucoma before inclusion into the study. Arterial hypertension was present in 26 (36.6%) patients, and diabetes mellitus had been detected in 17 (23.9%) patients before the intravitreal injection. Two (2.8%) patients had a history of an elevation of IOP during topical or systemic steroid treatment before inclusion in the study.

All patients received an intravitreal injection of 25 mg of crystalline triamcinolone acetonide in 0.2 ml Ringer’s solution under sterile conditions in the operating theatre. The solution was prepared by the hospital’s pharmacy removing the solvent agent. Using the operation microscope, the injection was transconjunctivally carried out under topical anaesthesia after a paracentesis had been performed to decrease the volume of the globe. Using applanation tonometry, IOP was determined before, and in intervals of 4 weeks after, the injection.

Mean follow up time was 6.86 (2.52) months (median 6.40 months), with a minimum of 3 months after the first injection (range 3.1–14.47 months).

For interindividual comparisons, only one randomly selected eye per patient was taken for statistical analysis. For intraindividual bilateral comparison, the four patients with both eyes treated were included in the analysis.

RESULTS

Intraocular pressure increased significantly (p<0.001) from 15.43 (3.26) mm Hg (range 8–28 mm Hg; median, 16 mm Hg)
Within the group of patients with a postoperative rise of IOP in all but one eye IOP could be lowered to normal levels with topical medication, without developing glaucomatous optic nerve head changes. One eye with a preinjection diagnosis of primary open angle glaucoma developed IOP values up to 60 mm Hg after the injection (Fig 2). Despite maximal medical therapy, the IOP remained high requiring filtering surgery. After a conventional penetrating glaucoma surgery, IOP ranged between 5 and 12 mm Hg. In the other eyes with an elevation of IOP IOP normalised about 6 months after the injection, without further medication taken.

All eyes which developed a secondary ocular hypertension after a second intravitreal injection of triamcinolone acetonide had also shown a rise in IOP after the first intravitreal injection. Those eyes without a pressure rise after the first injection did not develop increased IOP after a second injection. Three (75%) of the four patients who received an intravitreal injection of triamcinolone acetonide into both eyes with a time interval of 3.5–5 months between the injections, developed in both eyes an ocular hypertension at about the same time after each injection. The remaining patient with a bilateral injection did not develop elevated IOP values higher than 21 mm Hg in either of his treated eyes.

**DISCUSSION**

Intraocular neovascular diseases, such as exudative age related macular degeneration and proliferative diabetic retinopathy, oedematous diseases of the retina, such as diffuse diabetic macular oedema and persistent cystoid macular oedema due to various other diseases, and chronic intraocular inflammation are some of the leading causes of impaired vision. The intraocular proliferation of cells is often accompanied and stimulated by intraocular inflammation, and macular oedema can be caused by a damaged blood-retinal barrier due to capillary leakage. Corticosteroids have long been known to tighten up blood vessels resulting in a decrease of vessel leakage and, depending on the concentration, to suppress proliferation of cells. Consequently, steroids have been used for the treatment of various ocular diseases, applied either topically or systemically. Often, however, the intraocular concentrations of corticosteroids were not sufficiently high, or the systemic side effects were too serious, to effectively treat the ocular disorder.

Taking into account that the eye comprises only 0.01% of the entire body volume, and considering that for achieving a high concentration of a drug at its site of action, it is best to...
apply it directly in the area of required action, Machemer, Graham, Peyman, and other researchers studied the possibility of injecting corticosteroids directly into the eye, in experimental settings in animals as well as in selected clinical situations in patients. 

Additionally, observations of clinical outcome after accidental intraocular injections of cortisone have been published. 

In experimental investigations, Machemer and coworkers had observed that the vehicle, and not the crystalline corticosteroid itself, can be toxic to intraocular tissues. Correspondingly, a direct toxicity of high intraocular concentrations of corticosteroids has not been detected in ongoing studies so far. The presented studies demonstrated that a single intraocular injection of triamcinolone acetonide may potentially be helpful as adjunctive treatment of proliferative diabetic retinopathy, clinically significant diabetic macular oedema, neovascular glaucoma, and pre-phthisical ocular hypotony. 

In the latter studies, as suggested by Machemer, a crystalline corticosteroid (triamcinolone acetonide) was taken which remains in the eye for 2–5 months after a single intravitreal injection, in contrast with a soluble corticosteroid which is washed out of the eye within 24 hours after intraocular application.

Of the major side effects, which are most often discussed in combination with an intravitreal injection of triamcinolone acetonide, is a steroid induced elevation of IOP. The results of the present study showed that a rise of IOP to values higher than 21 mm Hg can be expected to occur in about 50% of the eyes treated. A predictive factor for the rise of IOP may have been the presence of glaucoma before the injection. This relation, however, was statistically not significant. But a statistically significant relation was found for the younger age with a post-injection rise in IOP. Other parameters, such as sex and refractive error of the patient did not show a marked influence on frequency and amount of the elevation of IOP. Interestingly, the presence of diabetes mellitus did not demonstrate a marked influence (p = 0.37) on the rate of a postoperative elevation of IOP.

From a clinical point of view it may be important that in all but one eye, the IOP could be controlled by topical antiglaucomatous treatment, or returned to normal values after the intravitreal steroid crystals had resolved about 6 months after the injection. It may also be of importance that, as could be expected, a rise in IOP after a second intravitreal injection did not occur, if after the first injection, the IOP had remained within the normal range. Also, as to be expected, both eyes of the same patient reacted in a similar way, if both eyes received an intravitreal injection.

In contrast with other clinical studies reporting on the intravitreal injection of triamcinolone acetonide, the dosage used in the present investigation was about six times higher (25 mg versus 4 mg). Without starting a discussion on the clinical usefulness and necessity to use a remarkably higher dosage than in other studies, the results of the present investigation demonstrate that also with this high dosage of intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide, the steroid induced elevation in IOP can usually be controlled without development of a major damage to the optic nerve.

In conclusion, the data of the present study suggest that the intravitreal injection of triamcinolone acetonide in a dosage of 25 mg leads to a secondary ocular hypertension in about 50% of the eyes treated; that the rise of IOP is reversible about 6 months after the injection; that the rise of IOP can usually be controlled by topical antiglaucomatous medication; and that the steroid induced ocular hypertension may thus not be a major contraindication against the use of intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide as treatment trial of intraocular neovascular or oedematous diseases.
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