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Aims: To determine the severity and long term sequelae of eye injuries caused by modern sports that could be responsible for significant ocular trauma in the future.

Methods: Prospective observational study of 24 (25 eyes) athletes with sports related ocular injuries from health clubs, war games, adventure, radical and new types of soccer, presenting to an eye emergency department between 1992 and 2002 (10 years).

Results: Modern sports were responsible for 8.3% of the 288 total sports eye injuries reported. Squash (29.2%) was the most common cause, followed by paintball (20.8%) and motocross (16.6%). The most common diagnosis during the follow up period was retinal breaks (20%). 18 (75%) patients sustained a severe injury. The final visual acuity remained ≤20/100 in two paintball players.

Conclusions: Ocular injuries resulting from modern sports are often severe. Adequate instruction of the participants in the games, proper use of eye protectors, and a routine complete ophthalmological examination after an eye trauma should be mandatory.

Sports related eye injuries represent a significant eye health hazard worldwide. Baseball and basketball have been implicated in most sports eye injuries in the United States, soccer in Portugal, Norway, and Israel, and soccer or racquet sports in Britain. Modern sports encompass a wide variety of outdoors activities including war games, radical and adventure sports combining recreation, military manoeuvres and fantasy. The sports practised inside health clubs include squash and original forms of martial art like capoeira and yoseikan budo. Recently, new types of soccer have appeared such as intramural soccer played inside a closed field. Nowadays, these fashion sports are gaining popularity among children and adults. They are played by millions of people across Europe and around the Western world. The purpose of this study is to outline the severity and long term sequelae of eye injuries in modern sports.

PATIENTS AND METHODS
Twenty four patients (25 injured eyes) who sustained modern sports related ocular injuries, presenting to the eye emergency department of Porto S João Hospital between April 1992 and March 2002 (10 years) were studied. The sports criteria included health clubs, war games, adventure, radical and new types of soccer.

As part of a sports ophthalmology unit, the authors performed a regular patient observation and follow up, using the United States Eye Injury Registry report forms, adapted to sports related injuries. Patients were followed up for at least 10 months.

RESULTS
Injuries by type of sport
The 24 modern sports injuries were 8.3% of the 288 total sports eye injuries treated at the sports ophthalmology unit. Analysis of the data showed that health club sports jointly (n = 10; 41.6%) formed the highest percentage of presentations—namely, squash (n = 7; 29.2%), capoeira (n = 1), yoseikan budo (n = 1), and bodybuilding (n = 1). They were followed by paintball (n = 5; 20.8%), motocross, (n = 4; 16.6%), and new types of soccer (n = 4; 16.6%). The latter included cases of beach soccer (n = 2), soccer volleyball (n = 1), and intramural soccer (n = 1). Finally, bungee jumping presented with one case.

Background data and demographics
Twenty two (91.7%) patients were male and two (8.3%) were female with an 11:1 male:female ratio. The mean age was 27.0 (9.2) years (range, 11–45 years). One (4%) patient was classified as paediatric (less than 18 years of age). The injuries occurred during the weekend in 16 (66.7%) cases.

Mechanism and place of injury
Most injuries resulted from impact by the ball (n = 9, 37.5%; Table 1) or paintball (n = 5, 20.8%). Injury was considered unintentional in all the patients.

No patient had a proper ocular protector in the time of the impact. Two motocross drivers, who were wearing an ocular protector and streetwear spectacles, were hit by a stone that had dislodged and broken the lens causing the lesion. It was not possible to determine the type of material of these lenses.

The paintball cases have occurred in supervised, commercial settings, where the use of eye protective devices was required. The players were hit by the paintball when they removed the eye protection (1) after being called while going to the game field; (2) because of fogging; or (3) to solve problems with their weapons.

Visual acuity
At presentation, visual acuity was less than 20/40 in eight patients (33.3%), ranged between 20/20 (12 patients), or no light perception (one patient; Fig 1). Visual acuity of two patients (8.3%) remained less than 20/100 at final follow up examination; both of these patients were paintball players. The causes of permanent visual loss were a contusion maculopathy and a corneoscleral laceration with vitreous and retinal massive haemorrhage that developed into a phthisis bulbi.

Clinical diagnosis
The left eye was significantly more frequently injured (n = 16, 64%). One patient had a bilateral injury (the bungee jumping case).

The most common ocular tissue involvement was the anterior chamber, followed by lids/orbit and vitreous/retina (Table 2). The most common initial diagnosis was lid/orbital contusion, corneal abrasion and hyphaema, followed by retinal haemorrhage/edema and vitreous haemorrhage.
only case of retinal detachment was an intramural soccer player. The most frequent diagnosis during the follow up period was retinal breaks and angle recession.

**Vitreoretinal lesions**
At presentation, visual acuity was 20/40 or better in seven of 14 (50%) patients with vitreoretinal lesions. Also, seven of 14 (50%) patients with vitreoretinal lesions had no hyphaema. There was no association between the extension of hyphaema and the presence of vitreoretinal lesions. A similar number of vitreoretinal lesions were present in patients with or without hyphaema (63.6% v 50%). For those patients with no hyphaema there were two cases of peripheral retinal holes and one case of macular contusion.

**Treatment**
Nine (37.5%) patients required 11 surgical procedures. The main surgery was retinal breaks treated with argon laser photocoagulation (n = 5) followed by repair of eyelid wound (n = 2). Other surgical procedures included a repair corneoscleral rupture, a phacoemulsification (PC IOL) and a retinal detachment repair (buckle). Five (20.8%) patients required hospitalisation.

**Severe injuries**
If we define a severe injury as one requiring either hospitalisation or outpatient follow up for hyphaema or vitreous and/or retina lesion then 18 (75%) patients had severe injuries.

**DISCUSSION**

**Modern sports injuries**
In our sports ophthalmology unit, outdoor and indoor soccer were mainly responsible for the injuries; soccer is the most widespread sport in Portugal. Of eye injuries in connection with modern sports we found that 41.6% were health club related. Most of these patients had acquired the injury playing squash, a sport that, in Britain, was already one of the main offenders.

The idea that only adolescents are particularly susceptible to sports injuries because of their fearless manner of play and their athletic immaturity is not true. In the present series only one player (4%) was classified as a paediatric patient as opposed to 27% and 29% of our previous studies with all sports included (namely, soccer).9 10

**Clinical management**
The finding that 75% of eye injuries we treated were severe, corroborate the findings of previous studies, showing that squash,13 22 paintball,23 32 bungee jumping,24 25 and soccer26 injuries had resulted in an alarming number of severe ocular injuries. Retinal breaks were found in 20% of the players, a larger number compared to the 8.3% and 9.8% retinal breaks cases reported in our previous study.9 Any athlete suffering an eye trauma must have a complete ophthalmological examination, including gonioscopy and examination of the retinal periphery. The number of patients presenting with unsuspected vitreous and retina damage with “normal” visual acuity (>=20/40 = 50%) and without blurred vision (no hyphaema = 50%) emphasised this.

**Eye injury prevention**
Unfortunately, most of these injuries could have been prevented if patients had worn adequate eye protection. The eyewear should be clearly labelled. Certification by the Protective Eyewear Certification Council (PECC: www.protectiveeyes.org) indicates that a protector has been tested to an American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) standard and that the manufacturer has had quality control certified by an independent testing laboratory.28 30 The eyewear prescribed for sports should have lenses fabricated from polycarbonate or Trivex, a new impact resistant lens material.

**Squash**
In squash the major area that needs to be addressed is the ignorance of the need for protective eyewear among players."
In many European countries, including Portugal, at health club squash courts and official competitions, the use of ocular protection is not mandatory. Safety sports eyewear that conforms to the ASTM F803 for squash is always recommended.

Paintball
Most injuries seen after 1995 occurred in non-commercial war game settings, where the use of eye protective devices is not required. A player should not remove the protector under any circumstance. Safety sports eyewear that conforms to the ASTM 1776 for paintball is recommended.

Motocross
In motocross, stones were impacted onto the eye through a protector when the lens dislodged or collapsed. Therefore, the use of an appropriate motocross helmet plus sports ASTM F803 or a polycarbonate face guard should be recommended.

Martial arts
Capoeira is an art form introduced by the Africans in Brazil that involves movement, music, and elements of practical philosophy. The foot reaches the eye during a ritualised combat, when two players exchange movements of attack and defence. In martial arts, standard protective eyewear is not available. Therefore, these sports are contraindicated for functionally one eyed athletes.

Soccer
There is no specific standard for soccer. Currently, eye protectors that comply with ASTM F803 for squash are recommended. We strongly recommend that protective eyewear be worn particularly for patients who require prescription lenses, for functionally one eyed athletes, and for those who have had refractive surgical procedures that weaken the eye.

Radical sports
Retinal haemorrhages during bungee jumping occurred because of an abrupt rise of intravascular pressure in the upper portion of the body due to gravity and the sudden deceleration that occurs in the downward momentum of the bungee jumper. The whole process would be aggravated by an additional mechanism like a Valsalva retinopathy, which might happen if the jumper is scared. Bungee jumping changes in equipment, technique, and regulation are needed. Until then, this radical activity should be discouraged.

Ophthalmologist’s role
The ophthalmologist’s role in preventing sports related eye injuries includes providing epidemiological data on eye injuries in new sports; identifying one eyed athletes; and informing patients of the need for protective eyewear. If we ignore this guidelines we are not only guilty of ethical neglect but in some cases even of crimes punishable by law.

CONCLUSION
In our study we have identified the sports that could be responsible for significant ocular trauma in the future: health club sports (squash, capoeira, yoseikan budo, and bodybuilding), paintball, motocross, new types of soccer, and bungee jumping. Eye care professionals should be aware of the risks of these sports and must strongly advise participants to wear adequate eye protection. Further studies are warranted to determine the future direction and safety of these sports.

Table 2 Clinical diagnosis at presentation and during the follow up period (n = 25)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Initial diagnosis</th>
<th>Health club</th>
<th>Paintball</th>
<th>Motocross</th>
<th>New types of soccer</th>
<th>Bungee jumping</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lid and orbit</td>
<td>14 (56)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lid/orbital contusion</td>
<td>13 (52)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lid oedema</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lid laceration</td>
<td>2 (8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conjunctiva</td>
<td>4 (16)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subconjunctival haemorrhage</td>
<td>2 (8)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conjunctival laceration</td>
<td>2 (8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornea</td>
<td>13 (52)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corneal abrasion</td>
<td>12 (48)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corneoscleral laceration</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior chamber</td>
<td>15 (60)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uveitis</td>
<td>4 (16)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyphaema</td>
<td>11 (44)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glaucoma, secondary</td>
<td>3 (12)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iris prolapse</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitreous and retina</td>
<td>14 (56)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitreous haemorrhage</td>
<td>4 (16)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinal haemorrhage</td>
<td>8 (32)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinal oedema</td>
<td>6 (24)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macular haemorrhage/oedema</td>
<td>3 (12)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RD (rhegmatogenous)</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choroidal haemorrhage</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow up diagnosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angle recession</td>
<td>4 (16)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traumatic mydriasis</td>
<td>2 (8)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glaucoma, not controlled</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypotony</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phthisis</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corneal subluxed</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contusion maculopathy</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinal tear</td>
<td>5 (20)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choroidal rupture</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values presented as number of lesions (% of total). RD = retinal detachment.
*Capoeira, yoseikan budo, and bodybuilding; †beach soccer, soccer volleyball, and intramural soccer; ‡peripheral retinal tear; ††peripheral retinal hole.
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GAD antibodies can signal downbeat nystagmus

Jay title glutamic acid decarboxylase (GAD) antibodies may be a reason for downbeat nystagmus (DBN), say neurologists reporting on a single case. This is the first report of a link with GAD antibodies; DBN is often associated with lesions in the brain.

The 66 year old woman presented with three months’ progressive oscillopsia, blurred vision, and vertigo. She had spontaneous vertical DBN in the primary position and on lateral gaze, not inhibited by fixation, and unsteady gait veering to the right. There were no signs of neurological conditions such as stiff man syndrome (SMS) or palatal myoclonus, or of brain lesions on imaging.

GAD antibodies were present at high titre (>100 U/ml) in her serum and cerebrospinal fluid, initially and eight months later, when her symptoms were worse. They were specific for native GAD65 and reacted strongly against recombinant GAD amino acid fragment 290–585 but not fragment 290–511. They also occurred in serum from patients with SMS and palatal myoclonus included for comparison and reacted similarly. Control samples from 44 blood donors were negative.

The woman underwent exhaustive tests to rule out other diagnoses. GAD antibody was detected by immunostaining and confirmed by immunoprecipitation assay of recombinant human GAD65.

GAD converts glutamic acid to γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) and is located in pancreatic β cells and GABA secreting neurones throughout the CNS. GAD antibodies occur in greater proportion in SMS and have been reported in subacute cerebellar ataxia, epilepsy, and palatal myoclonus. The cause of DBN is unknown in 40% of cases.


References