Povidone-iodine prophylaxis of ophthalmia neonatorum

J W Simon

It is important to recognise that defining ophthalmia neonatorum in a large group of African newborns is problematic. Ophthalmologists practising in the developed world at the beginning of the 21st century may be surprised to learn that visual loss from ophthalmia neonatorum was once a major public health problem. In England in the mid-1800s, there was a hospital devoted solely to the lavage of infants with gonococcal ophthalmia. Fortunately, the work of Crede, who developed prophylaxis with 2% silver nitrate in 1881, nearly eliminated visual loss from this disease in the West.1

Unfortunately, conjunctivitis in the first month of life remains a common threat to vision in much of the developing world. In areas without prophylaxis, the incidence of ophthalmia is as high as 23%. In Africa, between 1000 and 4000 newborns are blinded each year.2

A variety of topical agents have been used for prophylaxis in the neonatal period. In the West, erythromycin and tetracycline have often been favoured because of their effectiveness against chlamydial infection. In the developing world, the expense of these agents, and of silver nitrate, can preclude their availability in many areas. Isenberg et al have previously demonstrated that 2.5% povidone-iodine, which can be reconstituted locally from powder at minimal cost, is an appropriate alternative.3 Ophthalmologists may be more familiar with this agent from its use in surgical scrubs and preps. It is well tolerated and has a broader spectrum than any other agent, and there has been no resistance demonstrated to date. Because it temporarily stains the conjunctiva brown, effective administration is easy to confirm.

More important, povidone is even more effective than the more expensive alternatives. In an earlier study, Isenberg et al found the incidence of ophthalmia was reduced by 44% by povidone-iodine, compared to 34% by erythromycin and 24% by silver nitrate.4 It was more effective against chlamydia, similarly effective against gonococcus, and even effective against herpes virus and human immunodeficiency virus.

In this issue of BJOG (p 1449), they study the effectiveness of a second instillation of povidone-iodine during the first postnatal day. They conclude that the additional treatment, which increased eyelid oedema at the time of discharge, was of no benefit. Although this is essentially a negative outcome, its implication is that a single drop, obviously simpler to administer, is maximally effective.

It is important to recognise that defining ophthalmia neonatorum in a large group of African newborns is problematic. More than 18% were returned by their parents for evaluation of ocular inflammation, yet only 8–10% were culture positive. Many may have had chemical conjunctivitis, and some with staphylococcal infection may have had infections acquired postnatally at home. Some may have had viral infections, or clinically insignificant inflammation. Perhaps the most important finding is that, among more than 700 infants studied, there was not a single case of gonococcus following either one or two drop prophylaxis with povidone-iodine. Improved prenatal care may be partially responsible for decreasing the incidence of this potentially blinding infection.

The authors are to be congratulated for their contribution to the fight against childhood blindness. We encourage them to continue their research in this field.
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Recently, a new approach that involves the transplantation of cultivated corneal limbal cells to treat stem cell deficient eyes has provided promising results.1-5 Initial work established the premise that cells from healthy limbal tissue could be cultivated in the laboratory and grafted onto severely damaged eyes to successfully reconstruct the ocular surface. Clinically, the first application of this new surgical technology was reported by Pellegrini and colleagues in 1997;5 later, the concept was applied to both autologous and donor limbal epithelial cells to make epithelial cell sheets using amniotic membrane as a growth substrate and carrier.6,7 The membrane can serve not only as an epithelial carrier but also as a healthy substrate to cover a damaged corneal stroma. Clinical data indicated that this new procedure was a good option for the repair of the corneal surface when limbal stem cells were lacking.6,8 Up to now, however, the effect on the stroma of this surgical intervention has not been discussed in any detail.

The use of limbal epithelial cells expanded ex vivo on amniotic membrane holds much promise for the surgical reconstruction of severely damaged, stem cell deficient eyes.

This issue of BJÖ contains a paper by Espana and colleagues (p 1509) that details changes in the corneal stroma following ocular surface reconstruction by autologous cultivated limbal epithelial cell transplantation. In it they describe how the ocular surface was reconstructed in 16 rabbits with total limbal stem cell deficiencies. In their surgeries transplantation was with autologous cultivated (that is, ex vivo expanded) limbal epithelium on intact amniotic membrane—that is, amniotic membrane with amniotic epithelial cells left intact. The authors then investigated how corneal stromal changes related to the long term clinical outcome. Almost a year after surgery it was apparent that the restoration of a clear and transparent cornea was associated with the return of a normal corneal epithelium and complete wound remodelling in the stroma. It was also found that epithelial phenotype was linked to the fate of the amniotic membrane and changes of stromal structure. Specifically, the healed stroma under a normal corneal epithelium had the usual keratocyte cell density and did not contain inflammatory cells or any sign of vascularisation. On the other hand, stroma under an improperly healed corneal surface containing conjunctival epithelium displayed increased cellular infiltration into the stroma as well as vascularisation. The association between healed epithelium and healed stroma was especially clear on surgeries that were partially successful and which contained both clear epithelial portions and opaque conjunctivalised portions. Proper healing was also found to be related to the continued presence of the amniotic membrane. Immunostaining for collagen IV and α-smooth muscle actin were negative when amniotic membrane was present but positive when no amniotic membrane was identified. This is in line with previous observations that the amniotic membrane itself has an inhibitory effect against transformation of keratocytes to myofibroblasts.9 Failed eyes contained no amniotic membrane, and showed diffuse vascularisation and stromal haze accompanied by a loss of lamellar structure.

Our department has experience with the type of surgeries outlined by Espana and associates, with the distinction that we use allolimbal epithelial cells cultivated on denuded (that is, without amniotic epithelium) amniotic membrane. In 1999, we started allo-cultivated limbal epithelial cell transplantation for total limbal stem cell deficiencies and found it highly effective for the reconstruction of total limbal stem cell deficiencies in both acute and chronic phases.10-12 Our approach involved covering the ocular surface with an already grown corneal epithelial cell sheet derived from limbal epithelial cells. Often, this turned out to be very successful in restoring good vision in patients with Stevens-Johnson syndrome and in individuals who had experienced severe chemical burns. Invariably, surgery was done during the acute phase. In the postoperative period some eyes developed epithelial opacities as a result of rejection, and in these cases we replaced the epithelium with allo-cultivated limbal epithelial cells once more. When we removed these failed graft constructs, we were somewhat surprised to find that amniotic membrane still covered most of the cornea, even a year after conjunctival invasion. The membrane, however, was easily removed at the time of the second surgery, and beneath the exposed stroma was transparent.13 On electron microscopic examination of failed grafts, we found that most of the amniotic membrane was covered by conjunctival epithelium characterised by the presence of goblet cells. In all, three failed cases were examined, and these indicated that the amniotic membrane remained intact but was partially vascularised and invaded by stromal cells.

When we examine patients on the slit lamp after allo-cultivated limbal epithelial membrane transplantation we sometimes see small areas where the amniotic membrane seems to have melted or been absorbed. Though we don’t know exactly what is happening, we think that this phenomenon is related to local inflammation because of allograft rejection. In our experience, the corneal stroma of the failed eyes shows little scarring and maintains its clarity fairly well. This does not correspond to the findings in the failed eyes in Espana’s paper, possibly because the immunosuppressive and anti-inflammatory therapy that we administer to patients might aid retention of the amniotic membrane.

The use of limbal epithelial cells expanded ex vivo on amniotic membrane holds much promise for the surgical reconstruction of severely damaged, stem cell deficient eyes. In part this might be because cultivation on amniotic membrane preserves in the cultivated cells some characteristic signs of limbal epithelial progenitor cells.11 However, as Espana et al have shown, the widespread healing of the anterior cornea, not just the epithelium itself, is required for the successful repair of the front surface of the eye.
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