Use of perfluorocarbon liquid during vitrectomy for severe proliferative diabetic retinopathy
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Aim: To evaluate the value of using perfluorocarbon liquid (PFCL) during vitrectomy in eyes with proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR).

Methods: The surgical records of eyes with PDR (291 eyes of 228 patients) that underwent vitrectomy in the vitreoretinal service of Osaka Medical College (April 1999 to October 2001) were reviewed. From these, 18 eyes of 15 patients had PFCL used during vitrectomy, and the preoperative and postoperative findings of these eyes were analysed.

Results: All of the 18 eyes had advanced PDR with tractional and/or rhegmatogenous retinal detachment. PFCL enabled easier flattening of the retinal folds and effective endophotocoagulation. In two cases, PFCL was used to flatten a bullous retinal detachment that developed when a tight vitreoretinal adhesion was loosened. In two other cases with combined traction/rhegmatogenous retinal detachment, PFCL was used to tamponade the detached retina which then allowed successful membrane dissection. Anatomical success was obtained in 16 of the 18 cases (mean follow up time 13.3 months) with visual acuity of 20/200 or better in nine eyes (50%).

Conclusions: PFCL is considered to be a useful adjunct during vitrectomy for the treatment of severe PDR.

Perfluorocarbon liquid (PFCL) is colourless and odourless, and has a high density and low viscosity. The intraoperative use of PFCL in vitreoretinal surgery was introduced in 1987 by Chang for the treatment of giant retinal tears, retinal detachments with proliferative vitreoretinopathy (PVR), and traumatic retinal detachments.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^6\) recently, PFCL has also been used to reposition dislocated crystalline lenses and implanted intraocular lenses (IOLs).\(^7\)\(^-\)\(^10\) PFCL has also been used when a subretinal haemorrhage is removed during surgery for complicated age related macular degeneration.\(^11\)\(^12\)

In our hospital, PFCL has been used during vitrectomy for advanced proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR) with tractional and/or rhegmatogenous retinal detachment. We have reviewed the surgical records and identified 18 eyes of 15 patients with severe PDR that had received PFCL during vitrectomy. We shall present representative cases and demonstrate the usefulness of PFCL during vitrectomy for advanced PDR.

Patients and Methods

Patients were identified by searching the surgical records of the vitreoretinal service of department of ophthalmology, Osaka Medical College from April 1999 to October 2001. Vitrectomy was performed on 291 eyes of 228 diabetic patients by five vitreoretinal surgeons (YI, MM, MU, BS, TI). The preoperative information collected included age, sex, surgical eye, preoperative diagnosis (non-clearing vitreous haemorrhage, diabetic macular oedema, traction macular and/or extramacular detachment, combined traction/rhegmatogenous detachment, progressive fibrovascular proliferation), best corrected Snellen...
visual acuity, and lens status. Intraoperative data recorded included surgical procedures, areas of retinal detachment, iatrogenic breaks, use of PFCL, and adjunctive procedures. All surgical procedures were monitored and recorded by a 3CCD video camera system (Ikegami, Japan).

When the surgical records were reviewed for the use of PFCL (perfluorodecalin), we identified 18 eyes of 15 patients with PDR that had received PFCL. Only patients with follow up times longer than 6 months were analysed. All eyes showed macular tractional retinal detachment with incomplete posterior vitreous detachment, and two of these eyes also had a combined traction/rhegmatogenous retinal detachment (Table 1; patients 4, and 15). We reviewed the intraoperative surgical records and videos carefully, and then analysed when PFCL was used during vitreous surgery. All patients underwent pars plana vitrectomy, membrane peeling before or after the injection of PFCL, endophotocoagulation, fluid-gas exchange, and replacement of intraocular gas with 20% sulphur hexafluoride (14 eyes), or 14% perfluoropropane (three eyes) or silicone oil (one eye). Iatrogenic breaks were treated with photocoagulation or cryopexy. Simultaneous cataract surgery was performed on 10 eyes by phacoemulsification-aspiration through the pars plana.

After discharge, the patients were followed in our outpatient clinic. At each follow up examination, the visual acuity, intraocular pressure, and the results of slit lamp and fundus examination were recorded. The final visual acuity and anatomical status were determined from the results of the most recent examination.

CASE REPORTS
Case 1 (patient 2)
The patient was a 50 year old man who was diagnosed with PDR in both eyes. The left eye showed a longstanding tractional retinal detachment involving the macula (Fig 1A). Vitrectomy was performed and, after segmentation and delamination of the membranes, the tractional retinal detachment was flattened by a single bubble of PFCL (Fig 1B). Panretinal photocoagulation was then applied with a low energy (power 0.20 W, duration 0.2 seconds) under good visibility (Fig 1C). PFCL was then removed and replaced with 20% sulphur hexafluoride. The fundus photograph 13 months after the surgery showed reattachment of retina, and the visual acuity at this time was 20/400 (Fig 1D).

Case 2 (patient 3)
The patient was a 60 year old woman with PDR. Despite panretinal photocoagulation in all quadrants, the retinopathy progressed and dense vitreous haemorrhage appeared in both eyes. Vitrectomy was performed on both eyes. The right eye had a tractional retinal detachment involving the macula and PFCL was injected to flatten the retina. The visual acuity in the right eye recovered to 20/60 at 13 months postoperatively. For the left eye, the fundus was not visible because of a dense vitreous haemorrhage. Intraoperatively, the left eye had very strong vitreoretinal adhesions at the peripheral retina with tractional retinal detachment involving the macula. During the dissection of the proliferative membranes, multiple iatrogenic breaks developed. When the tight adhesions at the periphery were relieved, a bullous retinal detachment developed (Fig 2A). PFCL was gently injected onto the posterior retina to flatten the bullous detachment. Then, under good visibility, the peeling and shaving of the peripheral vitreous were completed (Fig 2B). The retina was reattached (Fig 2C); however the visual acuity remained at light perception as a result of a postoperative retinal artery occlusion.

Case 3 (patient 15)
The patient was a 50 year old woman with a combined traction/rhegmatogenous retinal detachment in the right eye (Fig 3A). Proliferative membranes were recognised on the funnel-shaped retina by ophthalmoscopy. Vitrectomy was performed after lensectomy, then PFCL was injected into the centre of the funnel to flatten the detached retina (Fig 3B). The PFCL was injected gently and carefully so as none migrated beneath the retina through the large retinal break. We dissected the proliferative membranes using a bimanual technique with good visibility through the PFCL (Fig 3C). Endophotocoagulation, PFCL removal, and fluid-gas exchange were performed, and then the intraocular gas was replaced with 14% perfluoropropane.

Six months after the initial surgery, the retina was reattached with a visual acuity of finger counting (Fig 3D).

RESULTS
We studied 18 eyes of 15 patients ranging in age from 28 to 69 years (mean 52.9 years) with a follow up time of 6–18 months (mean 13.3 months). The surgical results and other clinical characteristics including preoperative diagnosis and final visual acuity are listed in Table 1. Primary vitrectomy was performed on 16 eyes and the other two eyes had had previous vitreous surgery (patients 1 and 4). Retinal reattachment was...
obtained in 16 of 18 eyes, and a final visual acuity of better than 20/200 was obtained in nine of the 18 eyes.

Postoperative complications included a retinal artery occlusion (patient 3, left eye) and neovascular glaucoma (patient 10, right eye). One eye had an expulsive haemorrhage during the removal of postoperative vitreous haemorrhage by fluid-gas exchange (patient 10, left eye) which resulted in a failed outcome. We were unable to reattach the retina in patient 1 who had an anterior proliferative vitreoretinopathy and retinal shortening after a previous failed vitrectomy for PDR. We also had a patient who retained a droplet of PFCL beneath the retina (patient 9, left eye). During the 13 months of follow up, the subretinal PFCL did not appear to be toxic for the retina and the patient maintained a visual acuity of 20/200.

During the PFCL injection, retinal flattening was obtained in all 18 cases. The anterior meniscus of the PFCL could be clearly observed in all cases because its index of refraction was slightly different from that of saline. Endophotocoagulation was then performed easily through the PFCL with relatively low energy under good visual observation.

In two cases (patient 3, left eye; and patient 8, right eye), a bullous retinal detachment occurred after relieving the tight vitreoretinal adhesions at the peripheral retina. PFCL was then injected to flatten the bullous detachment, and surgery was continued with shaving and dissection of the vitreous and membranes.

We also had two cases of combined traction/rhegmatogenous retinal detachment, which were successfully treated with vitrectomy in conjunction with PFCL injection. In both cases, PFCL flattened the retina before membrane peeling. We were then able to observe and remove the proliferative membranes existing on the attached retina under good visibility.

DISCUSSION
PFCL is optically clear and its index of refraction is slightly different from saline which permits good visibility for the intraoperative application of laser photocoagulation to attach the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Eye</th>
<th>Preoperative diagnosis</th>
<th>Visual acuity</th>
<th>Follow up (months)</th>
<th>Postoperative complication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>LE</td>
<td>PVR+MTRD</td>
<td>20/200</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>18 inoperable RD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>LE</td>
<td>MTRD</td>
<td>HM</td>
<td>20/400</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>RE</td>
<td>MTRD</td>
<td>20/200</td>
<td>LP</td>
<td>15 RAO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>LE</td>
<td>VH+MTRD</td>
<td>LP</td>
<td>20/800</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>RE</td>
<td>VH+MTRD</td>
<td>LP</td>
<td>20/400</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>RE</td>
<td>VH+MTRD</td>
<td>20/400</td>
<td>LP</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>RE</td>
<td>VH+MTRD</td>
<td>20/400</td>
<td>LP</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>RE</td>
<td>MTRD</td>
<td>20/400</td>
<td>LP</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>RE</td>
<td>MTRD</td>
<td>HM</td>
<td>20/200</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>RE</td>
<td>VH+MTRD</td>
<td>HM</td>
<td>20/200</td>
<td>13 subretinal PFCL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>LE</td>
<td>MTRD</td>
<td>HM</td>
<td>NIL</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>LE</td>
<td>MTRD</td>
<td>20/400</td>
<td>20/400</td>
<td>subretinal PFCL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>RE</td>
<td>MTRD</td>
<td>20/30</td>
<td>20/400</td>
<td>expulsive haemorrhage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>LE</td>
<td>VH+MTRD</td>
<td>20/100</td>
<td>20/200</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>RE</td>
<td>RRD/TRD</td>
<td>LP</td>
<td>CF</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F = female; M, male; LE = left eye; RE = right eye; PVR, proliferative vitreoretinopathy; MTRD, macular tractional retinal detachment; VH = vitreous haemorrhage; RRD/TRD = combined traction/rhegmatogenous retinal detachment; HM = hand movement; CF = counting fingers; LP = light perception; RAO = retinal artery occlusion; NVG = neovascular glaucoma.
Application of PFCL during vitreous surgery for PDR has been reported by several authors, and the surgical results were acceptable although the follow up time was relatively short. According to our surgical records, PFCL was used in the most difficult and complicated cases among our PDR patients. All 18 eyes showed macular tractional detachment, two had combined rhegmatogenous retinal detachment, and one had PVR due to a previous failed vitrectomy for PDR. The anatomical success rate was 98% (16 of 18 eyes), and the visual improvement was found in 10 eyes (55%). In previous studies, macular reattachment was achieved in 66% to 88% of eyes with tractional macular detachment, and visual acuity of 5/200 or better was attained in 59% to 71%. The Diabetic Retinopathy Vitrectomy Study reported that in eyes with severe fibrovascular proliferation and visual acuity better than 20/400 or better in 44% of cases at 4 year follow up. Although the mean follow up time was 13.3 months in our cases, our surgical results including the anatomical success rate and postoperative visual acuity were comparable with the results of other investigators. The success rate was especially good considering that our 18 cases were the most complicated among the 291 consecutive eyes in our department.

The postoperative complications included neovascular glaucoma (one eye), expulsive haemorrhage (one eye), retinal artery occlusion (one eye), and subretinal migration of PFCL (one eye). The migration of PFCL into the subretinal space has been reported to occur with a frequency of 0.9%. At the 13 month follow up examination, this patient (patient 9) had a visual acuity of 20/200, and the droplet of PFCL appeared not to cause any visible damages to the retina. Careful injection of PFCL in a single bubble would probably prevent this complication.

A combined traction/rhegmatogenous retinal detachment in PDR is known to be difficult to manage particularly when dissecting the fibrovascular membranes on the detached retina. The use of PFCL to stabilise the detached retina was important for the successful removal of the fibrovascular membranes on the very thin retina under good visibility. In agreement with previous investigators, the postoperative visual acuity was poor in our combined cases, possibly because of severe ischaemic changes in retina.

In summary, we used PFCL during vitrectomy on 18 eyes with severe PDR and obtained satisfactory anatomical and functional results. We conclude that PFCL is a useful adjunct during vitrectomy for severe PDR, especially to flatten shrunk retinas and to perform endophotocoagulation of relatively low energy. PFCL was also useful in flattening bulloous retinal detachments that appeared when relieving tight vitreoretinal adhesion, and also to stabilise a retinal detachment before membrane peeling in eyes with combined traction/rhegmatogenous retinal detachment. Longer follow up periods and additional studies with a larger number of patients will be necessary to confirm the surgical usefulness and safety of PFCL in eyes with severe PDR.
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