Visual loss in uveitis of childhood
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Aims: To investigate the manifestations and severity of uveitis in children and to identify the risk and specific causes of blindness in this population.

Methods: Retrospective study of data of 123 consecutive patients examined with active uveitis and the onset of ocular disease before the age of 16 years. Numerous variables were assessed including age and sex distribution, laboratory data, the presence of systemic diseases, onset and course of ocular inflammation, clinical features and complications, therapeutic strategies and their outcomes, final visual acuity, and characteristics associated with poor visual outcome.

Results: Systemic disease was observed in 36/123 patients (29%), with juvenile idiopathic arthritis being the most frequent (25/123, 20%). Toxoplasma retinochoroiditis was diagnosed in 12/23 patients with posterior uveitis (52%; 10% of all with uveitis). Severe intraocular inflammation required systemic drugs in 57 (46%) patients. Ocular complications were observed in 93 patients (76%), of which the most common was cataract (43/123, 35%). Intraocular surgery was required in 35 patients (28%; in total 75 procedures). Three patients (2%) became legally blind and an additional 20/121 (17%) had one legally blind eye caused by uveitis. The most frequent causes of blindness were choroidal scars in the macular area and glaucoma in contrast with cystoid macular oedema (CMO) in adults.

Conclusions: Uveitis in childhood is a potentially blinding disease, in the majority of patients characterised by a chronic course and a high complication rate.

Intraocular inflammatory disease (uveitis) is a potentially blinding disorder and accounts for 10–15% of all cases of total blindness in United States. Legal blindness in at least one eye developed in 22% of all uveitis patients and about 23% of all with required intraocular surgery. In the western world, the frequent systemic associations consist of HLA-B27 associated seronegative spondylarthropathies (6%), sarcoidosis (7%), and toxoplasma infection (10%). These frequencies are based on a uveitis population of a university referral centre and might vary from a community based uveitis population or throughout the world. The uveitis manifests predominantly in young to middle aged adults and approximately 5% of the uveitis population are children younger than 16 years.

Uveitis in childhood differs in various noteworthy aspects from uveitis in adulthood. Firstly, the association with systemic diseases is different in children and ANA positive oligoarticular juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA) presents as the most common systemic disorder (41.5%). Secondly, the use of standard systemic medications such as corticosteroids and immunosuppressive drugs for non-infectious uveitis in childhood has an impact on the immature immune system and developing bones. A growing body of evidence suggests that prolonged administration of corticosteroids is associated with a significant number of permanent adverse effects and steroid sparing drugs are recommended. Thirdly, the onset of ocular inflammation in children is often asymptomatic despite severe ocular inflammation and with decreased visual acuity already present. Hence, the ocular inflammation is often discovered by routine screening only in the advanced stages of the disease. At the time the child is first seen by an ophthalmologist, ocular complications with negative effects on visual prognosis are regularly detected. In addition, in children younger than 7 years the risk of amblyopia must be considered. Finally, the results of surgical procedures for the various complications of uveitis (for example, cataract, glaucoma) in children are often discouraging. The exact visual prognosis of children with JIA is not known; in the past 5 years, rates of blindness ranging from 6–25% have been published for JIA associated uveitis. A recent review of the literature indicated that up to one third of all children with uveitis ended with severe visual impairment. The aim of this study was to investigate the manifestations and severity of uveitis in children and to identify the risk and specific causes of blindness in this population.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

The study included 123 consecutive patients with active uveitis examined at the uveitis clinic of the FC Donders Institute of Ophthalmology of the University Hospital in Utrecht, Netherlands. The selection criterion for childhood uveitis was the onset of uveitis before the age of 16 years. The average follow up was 3 years (range 6 months to 19 years). We conducted a retrospective analysis of medical records of all patients. Special attention was paid to the relevance of diverse diagnostic procedures, the presence of systemic diseases, the necessity for treatment with systemic immunosuppressive drugs and corticosteroids, ocular complication rates, and visual loss. The patients were classified by the location and specific diagnosis of the uveitis according to the criteria of the International Uveitis Study Group. The uveitis was considered chronic if the duration of active ocular inflammation was longer than 3 months. Depending on the severity of the disease and clinical presentation, patients underwent a uveitis screening protocol which encompassed erythrocyte sedimentation rate, complete blood count, serum angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) level (n=64), and antinuclear antibody (n=70; ANA titre >1:40 was considered positive) tests. HLA-B27 typing was performed in patients with anterior or panuveitis (n=34). In case of suspected infectious uveitis, serological tests were performed for Toxoplasma gondii (n=16), Borrelia burgdorferi (n=18), Bartonella henselae (n=14), Toxocara (n=5), Ascaris (n=6), and Epstein-Barr virus (n=3). Diagnostic aqueous sampling (n=29) was performed according to an earlier protocol and intraocular fluid was analysed for Toxoplasma gondii, herpes simplex virus (HSV), and varicella.
zoster virus (VZV) (polymerase chain reaction and antibodies). Depending on the medical history, clinical presentation, and results of uveitis screening, a subsequent referral to the specialist followed. The diagnosis of JIA was according to the criteria from the International League against Rheumatism (ILAR). In short, JIA was defined as arthritis starting before the age of 16 years with duration longer than 3 months and the patients had no otherwise identifiable cause for their uveitis.

The diagnosis of JIA was confirmed by a paediatric rheumatologist in all cases. For the diagnosis of sarcoidosis histological examination was required. The clinical diagnosis of ocular toxoplasmosis was based on criteria formulated by Holland et al; in short, the presence of an active creamy-white focal retinal lesion eventually combined with hyperpigmented retinochoroidal scars in either eye.

Additional associated diseases were diagnosed according to current standard criteria. All patients underwent a complete ocular examination including measurements of corrected visual acuity, slit lamp examination, funduscopy, and tonometry. Orthoptic examination, fluorescein angiography and visual fields examination were performed in selected cases. Legal blindness was defined as visual acuity <0.1 in the better eye and visual impairment as ≤0.3. In the visual outcome analysis, only eyes with visual loss due to uveitis were included (excluded were two patients with blindness due to other causes, Leber’s hereditary optic neuropathy, and optic nerve hypoplasia, respectively).

**RESULTS**

The patient series consisted of 69 girls (56%) and 54 boys (44%). The mean age of onset of uveitis was 8 years with a range of 2–15 years (including one patient with congenital toxoplasma scar without activity and active toxoplasma retinochoroiditis at the age of 11 years). The median age was 7 years. The anatomical location of uveitis was anterior in 44 (36%), intermediate in 30 (24%), posterior in 23 (19%), and panuveitis occurred in 26 patients (21%). The uveitis was chronic in 102/123 patients (83%) and bilateral in 88/123 patients (73%) resulting in 211 affected eyes.

A systemic disease was observed in 36/123 patients (29%); the specific systemic and ocular diagnoses are shown in Table 1. Juvenile idiopathic arthritis was the most common associated systemic disease (25/123, 20%), subdivided into ANA positive oligoarticular JIA (n=22), ANA negative oligoarticular JIA (n=1), polyarticular JIA (ANA unknown; n=1), and systemic JIA (n=1). Infectious causes were observed in 16 (13%) of the patients. Toxoplasma retinochoroiditis was diagnosed in 12/23 patients with posterior uveitis (52%; 10% of all with uveitis). Four cases of anterior uveitis were caused by herpes viruses. Histologically proved sarcoidosis was diagnosed in three patients (all with extrapulmonary locations; joints and skin in two cases and renal manifestation in one). Ocular features of all these patients included peripheral multifocal choroiditis with vasculitis (Fig 1). Unexpected diagnosis of multiple sclerosis was made in a 14 year old boy who presented with panuveitis at the age of 6 years. His diagnosis was delayed because of non-specific neurological symptoms and a borderline positive ANA titre. In his case, the diagnosis of multiple sclerosis was made in a 14 year old boy who presented with panuveitis at the age of 6 years. His diagnosis was delayed because of non-specific neurological symptoms and a borderline positive ANA titre. In his case, the diagnosis of multiple sclerosis was made in a 14 year old boy who presented with panuveitis at the age of 6 years. His diagnosis was delayed because of non-specific neurological symptoms and a borderline positive ANA titre. In his case, the diagnosis was delayed because of non-specific neurological symptoms and a borderline positive ANA titre. In his case, the diagnosis was delayed because of non-specific neurological symptoms and a borderline positive ANA titre. In his case, the diagnosis was delayed because of non-specific neurological symptoms and a borderline positive ANA titre. In his case, the diagnosis was delayed because of non-specific neurological symptoms and a borderline positive ANA titre.

---

**Table 1** Diagnosis and associated systemic diseases according to anatomic uveitis entities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Systemic disease or specific ocular diagnosis</th>
<th>No of patients</th>
<th>No of patients with anterior uveitis</th>
<th>No of patients with intermediate uveitis</th>
<th>No of patients with posterior uveitis</th>
<th>No of patients with panuveitis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JIA</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarcoidosis</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TINU syndrome</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CINCA</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psoriasis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple sclerosis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masquerade</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Infections:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathogen</th>
<th>No of patients</th>
<th>No of patients with anterior uveitis</th>
<th>No of patients with intermediate uveitis</th>
<th>No of patients with posterior uveitis</th>
<th>No of patients with panuveitis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Toxoplasmosis</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herpesvirus</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Specific ocular disease:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>No of patients</th>
<th>No of patients with anterior uveitis</th>
<th>No of patients with intermediate uveitis</th>
<th>No of patients with posterior uveitis</th>
<th>No of patients with panuveitis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fuchs</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMPPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUSN</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phacogenic uveitis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

JIA = juvenile idiopathic arthritis; TINU = tubulointerstitial nephritis and uveitis; CINCA = chronic infantile neurological cutaneous and articular/neonatal onset multisystem inflammatory disease syndrome; AMPPE = acute multifocal placoid pigment epitheliopathy; DUSN = diffuse unilateral subacute neuroretinitis.
uveitis was a systemic disease disclosed. retinoblastoma. In none of the patients with intermediate (unilateral hypopyon uveitis) was ultimately diagnosed with borreliosis and other disorders, which could otherwise explain edly negative in various diagnostic tests for sarcoidosis, Lyme vasculitis, and vitreous opacities. Both children were repeat-papillitis with cystoid macular oedema (CMO), peripheral neurological symptoms or cerebrospinal fluid abnormalities.

Visual loss in uveitis of childhood

Antinuclear antibodies were positive in 27 of the 70 (39%) patients tested and 22 of the 27 (82%) ANA positive patients suffered from JIA (12 girls and 10 boys). A 5 year old patient diagnosed with oligoarticular JIA and uveitis was repeatedly ANA negative. The remaining five ANA positive patients, all girls, had uveitis clinically consistent with uveitis occurring with JIA (one also with arthritis) but did not fulfil the diagnostic criteria of JIA. HLA-B27 was positive in 3/34 (9%) with JIA (one also with arthritis) but did not fulfil the criteria of bilateral legal blindness. Their diagnoses included ANA positive JIA associated uveitis, multiple sclerosis, and macular scars of unknown origin. Unilateral blindness was documented in 20/121 (17%) patients tested. Aqueous humour analysis was negative in patients with intermediate uveitis (n=10) and panuveitis (n=7) tested.

Complications of uveitis developed in 93/123 (76%) of the patients (Table 2). The most frequent complications were cataract (43/123, 35%) and glaucoma (23/123, 19%; 48% of them with JIA). Optic disc oedema was noted in 36/123 (29%) patients (fundoscopy, n=11; fluorescein angiography, n=25).CMO was detected in 21/123 (17%) patients. Intraocular surgery was required in 35/123 (28%).

The visual outcome is shown in Table 3. Three patients (2%) fulfilled the criteria of bilateral legal blindness. Their diagnoses included ANA positive JIA associated uveitis, multiple sclerosis, and macular scars of unknown origin. Unilateral blindness was documented in 20/121 (17%) patients (JIA associated uveitis (n=4), toxoplasmosis (n=4), pars planitis (n=4), diffuse unilateral subacute neuroretinitis (n=1), herpetic uveitis (n=1), masquerade syndrome (n=1), and unknown origin (n=5)). Eight patients (7%) had unilateral visual impairment (visual acuity ≤0.3).

The ocular complications responsible for legal blindness (VA ≤0.1) are given in Table 4. The most frequent causes of blindness were macular scars (seven eyes, due to toxoplasma retinochorioiditis in four cases) and secondary glaucoma (n=4; three with JIA and one with intermediate uveitis). The most frequent cause of visual impairment was CMO (n=3). One patient with blindness due to uveitis lived in a blind institute and 11 patients (9%) received special education programmes because of their visual handicap.

Systemic treatment was required in 57 patients (46%) to control intraocular inflammation (Table 5). Prednisolone...
that even after the average follow-up limited to the 3 years, the likelihood of developing complications like glaucoma during this period is low. This points out the short (6 months) and the need for systemic treatment in almost half of the 76% and the need for systemic treatment in almost half of the cases emphasises the severity and chronicity of uveitis at a young age. The follow up of occasional patients is relatively short (6 months) and the likelihood of developing complications like glaucoma during this period is low. This points out that even after the average follow up limited to the 3 years, 19% of young patients with uveitis will develop at least one legally blind eye. This percentage might even become higher with longer follow up time. Similar rates of blindness were found in an adult uveitis population. However, the principal causes of visual loss in uveitis of children were clearly distinct from an adult population: specifically macular chorioretinal scars and secondary glaucoma in children in contrast with CMO observed in adults. The macular scars, which constituted a major cause of blindness in childhood uveitis, were predominantly caused by ocular toxoplasmosis, the most common infectious cause of posterior uveitis. Active prevention of infections with Toxoplasma gondii, congenital as well as acquired, might help to reduce blindness of childhood uveitis in the future. The second cause of blindness in childhood uveitis was secondary glaucoma, the prognosis of which was earlier noted to be poor. Kanski et al reported that one third of the glaucomatous eyes in JIA ended with no light perception and attributed the poor prognosis to difficult diagnosis in uncooperative young patients, unsatisfactory therapy, and low success rate of conventional filtration surgery (18%). So far, no consensus exists for a primary surgical procedure for secondary glaucoma in childhood; specifically it is not clear whether to use mitomycin, 5-fluorouracil or drainage implants. The policy of our institute was to treat children with secondary glaucoma resistant to maximal topical therapy with long term carbonic anhydrase inhibitors. We attempted to postpone the surgery until an older age, or at least until the uveitis was quiet for at least 6 months. However, we did operate on children with progressive visual field loss despite the above treatment and used trabeculectomy with or without application of local cyostatics under the cover of maximal anti-inflammatory therapy as a primary procedure. In our series, failure of primary trabeculectomy occurred in four patients (44%).

Cystoid macular oedema complicated uveitis less frequently in children (17%) compared with adults (26%) and was the cause of legal blindness in only 8% of children compared to 29% of adults with uveitis (the most frequent cause of blindness and visual impairment in an adult uveitis population). The low frequency of CMO and its limited visual loss in young patients needs further investigation.

According to other studies, JIA was the most common associated systemic disease in the paediatric uveitis population. It must be stressed that uveitis may develop years after the onset of JIA (8% in our JIA associated uveitis population). Therefore, repeated ophthalmological evaluations should be periodically performed according to the recommendations of the American Academy of Pediatrics Section on Rheumatology and Section on Ophthalmology.

Various diseases associated with uveitis in childhood may strongly resemble JIA. Firstly, sarcoidosis of childhood frequently manifests in the joints, skin, and the lungs. Prior segment manifestations (retinal vasculitis, peripheral multifocal choroiditis, and choroidal granuloma) observed by the ophthalmologist might be decisive in the diagnostic process. Furthermore, systemic diseases with similarities with JIA is the CINCA/NOMID syndrome. This is a rare syndrome characterised by arthritis and anterior uveitis. The absence of posterior synechiae formation and no tendency for cataract formation are characteristic. Furthermore, in 83% of the patients with CINCA syndrome, papillitis is observed, whereas this is only seen in 6% of the patients with uveitis in JIA. Our results are representative for a tertiary referral centre and might explain the relatively high number of patients with CINCA syndrome and other systemic diseases.

### DISCUSSION

This study points out that uveitis of childhood is a very serious and potentially blinding disease. The high complication rate of 76% and the need for systemic treatment in almost half of the cases emphasises the severity and chronicity of uveitis at a young age. The follow up of occasional patients is relatively short (6 months) and the likelihood of developing complications like glaucoma during this period is low. This points out that even after the average follow up limited to the 3 years, 19% of young patients with uveitis will develop at least one legally blind eye. This percentage might even become higher with longer follow up time. Similar rates of blindness were found in an adult uveitis population. However, the principal causes of visual loss in uveitis of children were clearly distinct from an adult population: specifically macular chorioretinal scars and secondary glaucoma in children in contrast with CMO observed in adults. The macular scars, which constituted a major cause of blindness in childhood uveitis, were predominantly caused by ocular toxoplasmosis, the most common infectious cause of posterior uveitis. Active prevention of infections with Toxoplasma gondii, congenital as well as acquired, might help to reduce blindness of childhood uveitis in the future. The second cause of blindness in childhood uveitis was secondary glaucoma, the prognosis of which was earlier noted to be poor. Kanski et al reported that one third of the glaucomatous eyes in JIA ended with no light perception and attributed the poor prognosis to difficult diagnosis in uncooperative young patients, unsatisfactory therapy, and low success rate of conventional filtration surgery (18%). So far, no consensus exists for a primary surgical procedure for secondary glaucoma in childhood; specifically it is not clear whether to use mitomycin, 5-fluorouracil or drainage implants. The policy of our institute was to treat children with secondary glaucoma resistant to maximal topical therapy with long term carbonic anhydrase inhibitors. We attempted to postpone the surgery until an older age, or at least until the uveitis was quiet for at least 6 months. However, we did operate on children with progressive visual field loss despite the above treatment and used trabeculectomy with or without application of local cyostatics under the cover of maximal anti-inflammatory therapy as a primary procedure. In our series, failure of primary trabeculectomy occurred in four patients (44%).

Cystoid macular oedema complicated uveitis less frequently in children (17%) compared with adults (26%) and was the cause of legal blindness in only 8% of children compared to 29% of adults with uveitis (the most frequent cause of blindness and visual impairment in an adult uveitis population). The low frequency of CMO and its limited visual loss in young patients needs further investigation.

According to other studies, JIA was the most common associated systemic disease in the paediatric uveitis population. It must be stressed that uveitis may develop years after the onset of JIA (8% in our JIA associated uveitis population). Therefore, repeated ophthalmological evaluations should be periodically performed according to the recommendations of the American Academy of Pediatrics Section on Rheumatology and Section on Ophthalmology.

Various diseases associated with uveitis in childhood may strongly resemble JIA. Firstly, sarcoidosis of childhood frequently manifests in the joints, skin, and the lungs. Prior segment manifestations (retinal vasculitis, peripheral multifocal choroiditis, and choroidal granuloma) observed by the ophthalmologist might be decisive in the diagnostic process. Furthermore, systemic diseases with similarities with JIA is the CINCA/NOMID syndrome. This is a rare syndrome characterised by arthritis and anterior uveitis. The absence of posterior synechiae formation and no tendency for cataract formation are characteristic. Furthermore, in 83% of the patients with CINCA syndrome, papillitis is observed, whereas this is only seen in 6% of the patients with uveitis in JIA. Our results are representative for a tertiary referral centre and might explain the relatively high number of patients with CINCA syndrome and other systemic diseases.

### Table 6: Surgical procedures performed because of complications of uveitis in children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surgical procedure</th>
<th>No. of operations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cataract extraction</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trabeculectomy</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pars plana vitrectomy</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryoagulation peripheral retina</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capsulotomy</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDTA chelation</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sector or peripheral iridectomy</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous*</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Miscellaneous includes enucleation, conventional retinal detachment surgery, pupil reconstruction, removal of corticosteroid depot, and strabismus.

### Table 7: Initial screening for uveitis in childhood (<16 year of age)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anterior uveitis</th>
<th>Intermediate and posterior uveitis</th>
<th>Panuveitis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aninuclear antibodies</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angiotensin converting enzyme</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLA-B27</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqueous humour analysis*</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In cases of presumed infectious uveitis.
In our series, infectious uveitis was noted in at least 13% of children. Aqueous humour analysis specifically for toxoplamosis or herpes viruses was beneficial in diagnosing intraocular infections. Although positive serological results might be more informative in young children than in adults, positive antibodies are not discriminatory for intraocular infection. Rare causes of uveitis included masquerade syndrome in a 6 year old girl who appeared to have a retinoblastoma. Previously, 8% of the children with retinoblastoma were primarily diagnosed with uveitis and though rare, the possibility of masquerade syndrome must be considered, especially in the very young.19

The value of positive ANA is very useful in suspicious diagnosis of JIA. However, it should be noted that this test is non-specific and might indicate the presence of other diseases including systemic or erythematous in the multiple sclerosis.20,21 Kimura first reported positive ANA in uveitis in young girls without JIA22 and also, in our series, the patients with a positive ANA reaction, who did not fulfill the criteria of JIA, were all female. Serum ACE levels are frequently raised in healthy children (in our uveitic population 30%). Although the raised serum ACE levels were reported to be of diagnostic importance in adults with uveitis, their value in childhood population is limited but23 in view of our results and earlier recommendations we selected a very limited screening protocol for uveitis of childhood (Table 7). Although erythrocyte sedimentation rate, red and white blood cell count, glucose, sphyllis serology, and chest radiography were recommended in adults, we decided not to include these tests in the initial screening in children, but to perform them only in selected cases. Despite aggressive systemic treatment, 19% of our patients developed at least one blind eye. The new therapeutic strategies, such as the use of MTX, were reported to improve the control of intraocular inflammation and have beneficial visual results especially in JIA associated cases.24–26 Compared to other anti-inflammatory medications, MTX was well tolerated in childhood27 and has no cataractogenic or eye pressure elevating properties. The eventual ophthalmological benefit of new anti-inflammatory drugs in JIA as anti-TNF-α28–30 leflunamide,31 or even stem cell transplantation32 was not yet evaluated.

Our study points out that uveitis of childhood is a potentially blinding disease associated with a complication rate of 76% and surgical intervention in 28%. Blind eyes developed in 19% of patients and macular scars and secondary glaucoma were the major causes of visual loss. Early diagnosis, timely recognition, or prevention of complications with new treatment strategies will hopefully improve the visual prognosis of children with uveitis.
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