Central retinal artery and vein collapse pressure in eyes with chronic open angle glaucoma
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**Aims:** To determine central retinal vessel collapse pressure in chronic open angle glaucoma.

**Methods:** For 19 eyes with chronic open angle glaucoma and 27 eyes of a control group, central retinal vessel collapse pressure was measured by a Goldmann contact lens fitted with a pressure sensor in its holding grip.

**Results:** Central retinal vein collapse pressure was significantly (p=0.001) higher in the glaucoma group than in the control group (26.1 (SD 26.4) relative units versus 6.1 (8.4) relative units).

**Conclusions:** Measured by a new ophthalmodynamometer, central retinal vein collapse pressure measurements may be abnormally high in eyes with chronic open angle glaucoma.

In eyes with chronic open angle glaucoma as well as for eyes with non-glaucomatous optic nerve damage, a reduction in the diameter of the retinal veins has been described. In eyes with non-glaucomatous optic nerve damage, an additional decrease in the diameter of the retinal veins has been found. It is in contrast with eyes with chronic open angle glaucoma which showed a less marked reduction in the calibre of retinal veins than eyes with non-glaucomatous optic nerve damage. The less pronounced change in the retinal vein diameter despite the marked reduction in the retinal arterial diameter is paralleled by an increased frequency of retinal vein collapse pressure, it was the purpose of the present study to evaluate the retinal vein collapse pressure as indirect measure of the retinal vein pressure in eyes with chronic open angle glaucoma.

**PATIENTS AND METHODS**

The study included 19 eyes of patients with primary or secondary chronic open angle glaucoma with a mean age of 67.8 (SD 11.3) years (median 66.1 years; range 50.0–87.5 years), and 27 eyes of an age matched control group attending the hospital because of cataract or refractive problems. In the control group, mean age was 69.6 (12.5) years (median, 69.1 years; range 50.0–87.5 years), and 27 eyes of an age matched control group attending the hospital for cataract or refractive problems. Intraocular pressure measured in the eyes of the control group was 16.3 (2.0) mm Hg. In the eyes of the glaucoma group, mean intraocular pressure was 22.9 (11.4) mm Hg at the time of the ophthalmodynamometric examination.

The pupil was dilated using tropicamide 0.5% and phenylephrine 5%. With topical anaesthesia (oxybuprocaine 0.4%), a conventional Goldmann contact lens fitted with a pressure sensor mounted into its holding ring was put onto the cornea. Pressure was applied onto the globe by slightly pressing the contact lens, and the pressure values at the time when the central retinal vein or central retinal artery started to pulsate were noted. The measurements were repeated nine times, and the mean of the 10 values was taken for further statistical analysis. All readings were performed with the examiner holding the Goldmann contact lens and performing ophthalmodynamometry, and an assistant recording the sensor reading. The method has already been described in detail. The reproducibility of the technique determined as mean coefficient of variation was 16.3% (11.4%) for re-determinations of the central retinal vein collapse pressure, and 8.5% (4.1%) for re-measurements of the central retinal artery collapse pressure. The technique is partially similar to other ophthalmodynamometric tests or other variations of ophthalmodynamometry in which the operator visualised the disc through an ophthalmoscopic instrument.

**RESULTS**

In the glaucoma group, the collapse pressure of the central retinal artery measured 75.7 (SD 19.4) relative units. It was not significantly (p=0.89) different from the value in the control group (78.0 (19.2) relative units). In the central retinal vein, the diastolic collapse pressure measured in the glaucoma group was 26.1 (26.4) relative units. It was significantly (p=0.001) higher than the value in the control group (6.1 (8.4) relative units) (Fig 1).

Within the study control group and within the glaucoma group, the central retinal vein collapse pressure was statistically independent of the intraocular pressure (p=0.52 for the control group; 0.24 for the glaucoma group). Correspondingly, a regression analysis including the parameters study group and intraocular pressure showed that the central retinal vein collapse pressure was statistically independent (p=0.12) of the intraocular pressure.
which the central retinal vein collapse pressure may be higher in eyes with chronic open angle glaucoma than in normal eyes (Fig 1). It fits with the increased frequency of retinal vein occlusions in eyes with chronic open angle glaucoma, and with the finding that eyes with central retinal vein occlusions have a higher central retinal vein collapse pressure than normal eyes. It is also in agreement with biomorphometric examinations which showed a higher ratio of retinal vein to artery diameter in eyes with chronic open angle glaucoma than in normal eyes. Additionally, angiographic studies have demonstrated that the intraretinal transit time is prolonged in patients with glaucoma. The reason why the central retinal vein pressure which arises in the glaucomatous eyes may be an obstruction of the outflow system in the level of the lamina cribrosa, caused by a mechanical distortion of the lamina cribrosa. Future studies may examine whether the shape and depth of the optic cup as a measure of the glaucoma induced change in the inner structure of the lamina cribrosa and whether the stage of glaucomatous optic nerve damage may be related to central retinal vein collapse pressure in eyes with glaucoma.

There are limitations of the investigation. Only a relatively small number of individuals who may not have been representative of patients with glaucoma were included in the study. Additionally, the various types of chronic open angle glaucoma summarised in a single study group in the present investigation may differ in the central retinal vein collapse pressure, so that there may be a wide spectrum of involvement of the venous circulation in the different types and stages of glaucoma. This has already been pointed out by Hitchings and others. To cite an example, it is unclear whether the elastic properties of the lamina cribrosa, which may be different in pseudoxfoliative eyes compared with non-pseudoxfoliative eyes may influence central retinal vein collapse pressure. Another limitation may be that because of the small sample size, a correlation between the central retinal vessel collapse pressure to the level of intraocular pressure was not found, although clinical observations may suggest that a change in intraocular pressure may result in an alteration in the central retinal vein collapse pressure. Since the moment of the first vessel wall movement was taken as a measurement point of an early collapse, it was not clear whether the venous collapse pressure differed between the superior and inferior hemisphere in eyes with a marked hemispheric asymmetry in the degree of glaucomatous optic nerve damage. In conclusion, central retinal vein collapse pressure as measured by a new ophthalmodynamometer may be abnormally high in eyes with chronic open angle glaucoma. Future studies may show whether determination of central retinal vein collapse pressure in eyes with chronic open angle glaucoma is suitable for predicting which eyes have a higher risk for eventual retinal vein occlusion and need a more intensive intraocular pressure lowering therapy than eyes with chronic open angle glaucoma that do not show an elevated risk for eventual retinal vein occlusion.
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