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Aims: To determine whether acute dacryocystitis complicated by abscess formation can be successfully treated using laser assisted endonasal dacryocystorhinostomy.

Methods: A protocol was adopted for the management of acute dacryocystitis presenting to an ophthalmology department. All patients were assessed jointly by an ophthalmologist and otolaryngologist for their suitability for primary internal drainage via a nasal endoscopic approach. All suitable patients were prospectively evaluated for their suitability for primary internal drainage via a nasal endoscopic approach. Nine patients were studied (mean age 72 years (range 38–82 years), three men, six women).

Results: Nine patients were studied (mean age 72 years (range 38–82 years), three men, six women). A history of chronic epiphora was found in 78% of patients, and recurrent nasolacrimal infections in the same 78%. Resolution of symptoms and signs of acute dacryocystitis occurred in all nine patients. No recurrence of acute dacryocystitis occurred during the median follow up period of 11 months (range 6–31 months). Ostium patency defined as the absence of epiphora and the observation of irrigated lacrimal fluorescein at the ostium was achieved in 67% of patients. Epiphora recurred in 33% of cases.

Conclusion: Laser assisted endonasal dacryocystorhinostomy is an effective primary treatment in cases of acute dacryocystitis complicated by abscess formation. In addition, pre-existing symptoms of epiphora and recurrent nasolacrimal infections are relieved in the majority of patients.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patients presenting to the ophthalmology department at Singleton Hospital, Swansea, with acute dacryocystitis and lacrimal sac abscess between August 1999 and November 2000 were assessed jointly by an ophthalmologist and otolaryngologist for their suitability for primary internal drainage via a nasal endoscopic approach. Preoperative assessment included complete eye and intranasal examination. Exclusion criteria included symptoms or signs such as blood stained tears or a hard mass suggesting possible lacrimal sac neoplasia. All suitable patients were initially managed with intravenous antibiotics and informed consent was obtained before surgery.

Laser assisted dacryocystorhinostomy was performed under either general or local anaesthesia. Nasal mucosal preparation in both instances involved the use of nasal packs applied after anaesthetic induction and soaked with 5% cocaine solution and 1:1000 adrenaline. Additional infiltration of the lateral nasal wall was only performed when not utilising general anaesthesia, and was achieved with a solution of 1:80 000 adrenaline and 0.2% lignocaine. Abnormalities of the septum or middle turbinate likely to increase the risk of failure due to occlusion of the ostium or synechiae formation were corrected conventionally and endoscopically.

Where possible an endoilluminator was placed via the superior or inferior canaliculus into the lacrimal sac, and the lacrimal fossa was identified intranasally by transillumination (fig 1). When this proved impossible as a result of marked oedema and cellulitis, anatomical endonasal landmarks were used to localise the nasolacrimal apparatus. The anterior end of middle turbinate, the posterior margin of the frontal process of maxilla, and the swelling that accompanies the nasolacrimal abscess endonasally were used for this purpose. A holmium:YAG laser (Coherent Laser Systems)
was aimed at the site of transillumination (or the positioning of the nasolacrimal sac as determined above) of the lateral nasal mucosa using the helium:neon aiming beam at its lowest setting. The laser was used at a power setting of 22 W until the creation of an ostium from the nasal mucosa into the lacrimal sac was indicated by the rush of sac contents into the nasal cavity (figs 2 and 3). Remaining lacrimal sac contents were then removed via suction passed endonasally. Silicone tubes were introduced where possible via both canaliculi and secured intranasally with a plastic sleeve and silver ligaclip, to provide stenting of the lacrimal drainage system, and were then left in situ until mucosal healing had finished, as determined endoscopically. If additional septal, middle turbinate, or ethmoid surgery was performed, the nasal cavity was packed with Merocel 8 cm packs to minimise postoperative bleeding. If no additional procedure was performed, no packs were used. When used the nasal packs were removed 24 hours postoperatively and the patient discharged when the cutaneous inflammation appeared to be subsiding.

Postoperatively, patients were given chloramphenicol eye drops and oral antibiotic treatment was continued for a further 10 days. Outpatient review took place at approximately 10 days, 6 weeks, and 6 months postoperatively. Evaluation included assessment for symptoms of epiphora, air reflux, and lacrimal system irrigation with fluorescein followed by endoscopic examination of the endonasal nasolacrimal stoma and nasal cavity. Any sign of granulation tissue formation at the site of the stoma prompted aggressive cauterisation with silver nitrate, debulking, and topical application of Triadecortyl ointment.

RESULTS
Nine patients were studied with a mean age of 72 years (table 1). Three men and six women were included in the study. The median follow up period was 11 months (range 6–31 months). A history of chronic epiphora was found in 78% of patients, and symptoms suggesting recurrent nasolacrimal infections in the same 78%. No patients had a history of previous nasolacrimal surgery. One patient had a medial canthal cutaneous fistula on presentation. During surgery, the lacrimal fossa could not be identified by transillumination in 78% of cases and so anatomical landmarks were used for this purpose. A patent ostium was present after the surgical procedure in all patients.

Resolution of symptoms and signs of acute dacryocystitis occurred in all nine patients. Pain was markedly relieved by day 1 in all cases, followed by resolution of medial canthal erythema and oedema within 1 week. No recurrence of acute dacryocystitis occurred during the follow up period. Ostium patency defined as the absence of epiphora, air reflux on nose blowing, and the observation of irrigated lacrimal fluorescein at the ostium was achieved in six of the nine patients (67%). Symptoms of epiphora recurred in three cases (33%) and endoscopic nasal examination revealed stenosis of the ostium with fibrous tissue in these patients.

DISCUSSION
The use of external dacryocystorhinostomy has been considered to be contraindicated in acute dacryocystitis because of the risk of spreading infection through tissue planes, septicaemia, and exacerbating inflammation. An endonasal route for DCR would be expected to minimise these risks by allowing the lacrimal sac abscess to be approached without the involvement of non-infected and less inflamed tissue planes. This rationale is borne out by the lack of clinical evidence of spread of infection beyond the lacrimal drainage system in our series.
Decompression and drainage of the abscess would be expected to facilitate resolution of the symptoms and signs of acute dacryocystitis. All patients in our study reported a marked reduction in pain the day following decompression. In addition, erythema and oedema rapidly resolved over the week following surgery. Although not specifically an aim of our study, this rapid improvement might be expected to reduce a patient’s length of stay in hospital, as well as reducing the total dosage of analgesics and antibiotics required in their management. Additional economic benefits could also be realised by removing the need for readmission for external DCR.

Ostium patency was achieved in 67% of cases during follow up. This is less than other reports of endonasal dacryocystorhinostomy and may reflect the presence of acute inflammation promoting restenosis. The three patients who had recurrence of their epiphora did not develop further episodes of cute dacryocystitis. Lee and Woog found a similar picture, and speculated that this may reflect scarring and obliteration of the lacrimal sac abscess cavity after surgical drainage. All three patients in our series elected to have a surgical ostium revision with division of scar tissue and reconstitution of the stoma which resulted in the relieving of their epiphora during the follow up period.

In summary, we suggest that laser assisted endonasal dacryocystorhinostomy is an effective primary treatment in cases of acute dacryocystitis complicated by abscess formation. In addition, pre-existing symptoms of epiphora and recurrent nasolacrimal infections are relieved in the majority of patients.
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