Intraocular pressure associations with refractive error and axial length in children


Aim: To assess whether intraocular pressure (IOP) is associated with refractive error or axial length in children.

Methods: Of subjects from the Singapore Cohort Study of the Risk Factors for Myopia (SCORM), 636 Chinese children aged 9–11 years from two elementary schools underwent non-contact tonometry, cycloplegic autorefraction, and A-scan biometry during 2001. For analyses, refractive error was categorised into four groups; hypermetropia (spherical equivalent refraction (SE) ≥+1.0D), emmetropia (−0.50D<SE<+1.0D), low myopia (−3.0D<SE<−0.5D) and high myopia (SE≤−3.0D).

Results: Of the 636 children examined, 50.6% were male. The mean IOP was 16.6 (SD 2.7) mm Hg. There were no significant IOP differences between low (mean IOP = 16.4 (2.8) mm Hg) or high myopes (16.7 (2.5) mm Hg) and emmetropes (16.7 (2.9) mm Hg), p = 0.57. IOP was not correlated with spherical equivalent refraction (Spearman correlation, r = 0.009) or axial length (r = 0.030). In regression analyses adjusting for diastolic blood pressure, neither spherical equivalent (regression coefficient = 0.014) nor axial length (regression coefficient = 0.027) were significantly associated with IOP.

Conclusion: These findings do not support an association between IOP and refractive error or axial length in children. This questions postulated roles of IOP in the pathogenesis of myopia.

Intraocular pressure (IOP) is one of several factors implicated in the pathogenesis of myopia. Elevated IOP is hypothesised to impose scleral stress and creep, resulting in axial elongation with scleral stretch. Several clinic based studies have evaluated the relation between IOP and myopia in children, based on the premise that school myopia occurs predominantly because of an increase in axial length (AL). Although some studies have documented a positive association, others have questioned the temporal relation between IOP and myopia in children. Also, clinical trials of ocular hypotensives have had unconvincing effects on halting the progression of myopia. Most population based studies in adults have however found significant relations between IOP and refractive error or myopia, although disputed by a few clinic based studies. The cross sectional nature of these studies in adults precludes ascertainment of the cause-effect relation between myopia and IOP.

In light of these factors that question whether IOP causes myopia, we aimed to assess whether IOP is associated with refractive error or AL using data collected from a large sample of Singaporean Chinese schoolchildren.

Patients and Methods

The Singapore Cohort Study of the Risk Factors for Myopia (SCORM) recruited children aged 7–9 years during 1999. The methods of this study have been described elsewhere.
RESULTS

Of 636 children examined; 50.6% were male; 54.6% 9 years, 33.3% 10 years, and 12.1% 11 years. The mean age (SD) of females was 9.6 (0.74) years and of males was 9.6 (0.78) years. The mean SE of females was −1.58D (SD 2.09) and of males −1.70D (2.27). Figure 1 illustrates the distribution of right eye IOP in this population. The mean IOP was 16.6 (2.7) mm Hg, and the median was 17.0 mm Hg.

Table 1 presents right eye IOP by age, sex, and right eye refractive error status. No significant differences in IOP were found between age groups (p = 0.71) and sex (p = 0.32). No relations were found between IOP and parental income, parental level of education or parental history of myopia, glaucoma or hypertension.

The mean IOP in children with hyperopia (16.7 mm Hg), emmetropia (16.7 mm Hg), low myopia (16.4 mm Hg), and high myopia (16.7 mm Hg) were similar. The scatter plot for the relation between IOP and refractive error of right eyes (fig 2), emphasises that no correlation exists (Spearman correlation r = 0.009). The mean IOP of children with astigmatism (16.5 mm Hg) was similar to children without (16.6 mm Hg), p = 0.62.

Of ocular parameters, IOP was neither correlated with AL (Spearman correlation r = 0.030) (fig 3), nor with VCD (r = 0.018), LT (r = −0.009), ACD (r = 0.054), or corneal radius of curvature (r = 0.029). Correlations were found between IOP and systolic (r = 0.13) or diastolic (r = 0.13) blood pressure. In regression analyses adjusting for diastolic BP, neither SE (regression coefficient = 0.014) nor AL (regression coefficient = 0.027) was significantly associated with IOP.

DISCUSSION

Our findings question the role of elevated IOP in the development of axial elongation and myopia in young children. However, we cannot comment upon the role or temporal relation of elevated IOP in adult myopes. The lack of association between IOP and AL, an ocular parameter strongly associated with myopia, provides some support for those theories of myopia pathogenesis acting independently of IOP. Retinal defocus may drive abnormal eye growth in children.

We evaluated a large random sample of Chinese children at an age of rapid growth, and included ocular biometry. The children tolerated non-contact tonometry, which was quick, accurate, and relatively observer independent. Inherent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Associations between intraocular pressure (right eye data) and age, sex, and right eye refractive error (n = 636)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Right eye IOP (mm Hg)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Refractive error group (right eye)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperopia (SE* &gt; 1.00D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emmetropia (−0.5D ≤ SE* ≤ 1.00D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low myopia (−3.0D ≤ SE* ≤ −0.5D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High myopia (SE* ≤ −3.0D)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*SE = spherical equivalent refraction.
variability of clinical tonometry would affect all subjects equally. Similar IOP in children, 9–12 years, using pneumotonometer (17.3 mm Hg)6 or Goldmann tonometer (16.6 mm Hg)7 have also been found. Variations in IOP with race and iris colour8 are controlled for in this Chinese sample. As expected systolic and diastolic blood pressure9 were associated with IOP,10 thus providing an indirect measure of the reliability of our IOP readings. Unfortunately, corneal thickness, which may influence IOP,11 was not assessed. Also, because of time limitations, only one IOP measurement was taken per eye.

Various definitions of myopia, study population composition, design, and sample sizes limits comparisons with other studies. IOP and myopia (SE ≤ −0.5D) was not associated in Chinese children aged 6–7 years,12 although a later case-control study comparing myopes with hyperopes (SE ≥ +1.0 D) found the opposite.13 In a Western country, mean IOP was higher in children with myopia (17.8 mm Hg) than emmetropia (17.1 mm Hg), p<0.01.14 Longitudinal studies evaluating IOP in myopia development and progression have also shown variable results. Children (9–12 years) with high baseline IOP had higher myopia progression rates over 2 years,15 yet a later study suggested no effect of baseline IOP on end refraction or progression rates.16 In another study, no significant differences in mean IOP were found in children who remained emmetropic (14.7 mm Hg) compared with those who became myopic (13.9 mm Hg).17 Change in IOP was not correlated with change in refractive in pre-existing myopes, the investigators concluding that continued myopia development is not the result of high IOP.18

Chinese children with higher myopia have longer AL.19 20 21 However, the IOP-AL relation in children has been evaluated by few studies. In a study of 49 Danish children, IOP and AL were not related at baseline (AL 24.67 mm for IOP >16 mm Hg v 24.53 mm for IOP ≤16 mm Hg),10 although greater mean change in AL (0.65 mm) over 2 years was documented in those with higher baseline IOP. Higher IOP and longer AL were found in undergraduate students with myopia (15.49 mm Hg, 24.61 mm) compared with hypermetropes (13.91 mmHg, 22.53 mm), but not compared with emmetropes (14.74 mm Hg, 23.40 mm).22 In 67 anisometropic Chinese children (8–14 years), IOP was not correlated with AL or refractive error differences.23 Data evaluating IOP and myopia in Chinese adults are scarce. In Asian-Africans, but not European subjects, associations between IOP and refractive error have been found.24 In white populations, the relation between IOP and refractive error factors has been described,25 but hyperopia may be associated with the risk of ocular hypertension.26 Extrapolating our results to an adult population would be erroneous, and further longitudinal studies assessing whether IOP rises after the onset of myopia would be interesting. Glaucoma remains the important link between myopia and IOP in adults. IOP is an important risk factor of, but is not synonymous with, glaucoma. Myopia is associated with open angle glaucoma in white adults,27 28 with approximately threefold significantly increased odds in moderate to high myopes.29 In these studies, glaucoma was diagnosed independent of IOP, suggesting that predisposition to glaucoma in myopes may not be dependent on mechanisms related to high pressures. In a recent study of Singaporean Chinese, open angle glaucoma was established as the commonest form,30 but glaucoma risk factors in Chinese adults are yet to be reported.

In summary, our results suggest that elevated IOP and myopia may not be linked in Chinese children and question the value of ocular hypotensives such as timolol in retarding myopia progression. Longitudinal studies to further assess our findings are warranted.
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