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We invited all the patients who underwent pterygium surgery and participated in controlled studies for efficacy of MMC for pterygium surgery between 1989 and 1994. We called each patient according to our records. We included in this study those patients who could be found and who agreed to come for eye examination in the Department of Ophthalmology in Hadassah University Hospital, Jerusalem, Israel.

Altogether 99 patients were treated with MMC between 1989 and 1994. Fifty patients were treated with postoperative 0.1 mg/ml or 0.2 mg/ml MMC twice daily for 5 days and 49 patients were treated intraoperatively with application of 0.2 mg/ml MMC for 5 minutes. The surgical procedure included bare sclera technique leaving 2–3 mm of bare sclera as described before. Patients who were located and came for examination underwent a complete eye examination (JFP and FR) including visual acuity, intraocular pressure, ocular surface, and intraocular examination with the help of a slit lamp, and fundoscopy. In particular, the bare sclera area was examined for possible complications such as: thinning and/or ulceration of the sclera allowing visualisation of uveal tissue; calcified lesions; large “bleached” areas of avascular zones, and recurrence of pterygium. Recurrence of pterygium was diagnosed upon the finding of fibrotic vascularised tissue crossing through the limbus.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Kaplan-Meyer survival analysis was performed to evaluate the cumulative incidence of recurrence, by stratifying primary and recurrent pterygia. The log rank test was used to compare the incidence of recurrence free cases between primary and recurrent pterygia. GraphPad Prism version 2.01 (GraphPad Software Inc, San Diego, CA, USA) was used for data analysis.
RESULTS

Of 99 patients who underwent pterygium surgery with MMC application, only 43 were available for examination; 50 patients had relocated and six patients did not come for scheduled examination. Forty three eyes of 43 patients (27 males and 16 females) were followed for a mean of 125 months (range 84–156 months). The mean age of examined patients was 51.77 years (range 27–72 years). Twenty seven (63%) patients had undergone pterygium surgery with intraoperative MMC application and 16 (37%) patients had received postoperatively drops of MMC.

The spectacles corrected visual acuity was 20/20 in 31 (72%) patients, 20/30 in nine (21%) patients and 20/40 in three (6.9%) patients. Visual acuity of 20/40 was due to cataract formation in these three patients. Similar cataracts were discovered in the fellow eyes. The intraocular pressure in the studied eyes was between 10 mm Hg and 19 mm Hg and the pressures in the fellow eyes were within 2 mm Hg of the treated eyes. None of the patients used topical medication except for artificial tears.

No serious long term complications were recorded in any of these patients. All patients (except one who had a significant recurrence of pterygium) were asymptomatic (fig 1A and B). The only complications we observed were “bleached” conjunctival avascular zones in areas of pterygium excision in 13 (30.2%; fig 2A and B) patients and three patients (6.9%) who had recurrence of the pterygium. Three (6.9%) of these 13 patients had been treated with MMC intraoperatively and 10 (23.2%) had received postoperative MMC. In all patients the avascularity zones were observed within the first post-operative months. In all these patients the overlying conjunctiva looked clinically normal except for a lesser vascular density over the bleached areas. Ten of these patients were asymptomatic. Three (6.9%) patients had pterygium recurrence within the first year after the surgery. Two of these three patients presented vascularised fibrotic tissue just crossing the limbus. One patient had recurrent pterygium of 3.5 mm in diameter. All three were reported as recurrent pterygia in our previous studies.7 9 The mean cumulative proportion of recurrence free patients at 125 months was 0.95 (SD 0.034) for the entire study group (fig 3A), 0.968 (SD 0.032) for patients with primary pterygia, and 0.909 (SD 0.087) for patients with recurrent pterygia (fig 3B). The recurrence rate of primary pterygia was not significantly different compared with that of recurrent pterygia (p = 0.75, log rank test).

DISCUSSION

Singh et al introduced the use of MMC, as an adjunct to pterygium surgery, to Western ophthalmology in 1988.4 Although MMC significantly reduced the rate of pterygium recurrence to a range of less than 10%, severe complications such as corneal oedema, corneal perforation, scleral calcification, corectopia, iritis, sudden onset mature cataract, severe secondary glaucoma, incapacitating photophobia, and pain were reported by Singh and others.41 6 These complications occurred within the first postoperative period and were mostly related to uncontrolled use of high cumulative doses of MMC at home or poor selection of patients who had dry eyes or immune disorders.4 6 16 Most of these complications can be avoided by using strict inclusion and exclusion criteria,7 using MMC intraoperatively only (application of MMC topically for up to 5 minutes) and close control of the patients until the epithelisation of the ocular surface is complete. Using these rules, in the past 13 years we had no MMC related severe complications following pterygium surgery,7 9 13 except for some temporary postoperative superficial punctuate keratopathy.

Despite the fact that early complications of MMC can be avoided or reasonably controlled, one cannot implicate the outcome of MMC application years after its use. This is a major concern for those who consider routine use of MMC for pterygium surgery and is one of the reasons for the limited use of MMC in ophthalmology. Previous experience with beta irradiation application after pterygium surgery
We report prospective long term results of previously well controlled and studied patients who used MMC intraoperatively or after pterygium surgery. All patients underwent similar surgical technique with bare sclera and received various doses of postoperative MMC (0.1 mg/ml or 0.2 mg/ml drops for a week) or a single intraoperative MMC dose of 0.2 mg/ml for 5 minutes. None of these patients reported ophthalmic signs or symptoms during the 8–11 years following pterygium excision, except in the cases of pterygium recurrence. We found all the eyes to be without loss of vision and having normal intraocular pressure. There was no evidence of ocular degenerative changes, scleritis, or surface ulcers during the period of 8–11 years after MMC use. The only evidence of MMC use was discovered in 11 (25.5%) patients who presented limited zones (of 2–3 mm) of relative avascularity adjacent to the limbus with otherwise normal appearance of conjunctival epithelium. These findings were already discovered in the first months postoperatively. Although we did not measure the avascular zones in the early postoperative period we believe that at the present time these areas are of a smaller size than in the past. The avascular zones were previously reported as a result of MMC induced inhibition of fibrovascular activity. However, it is important that in our patients these zones did not become a site of potential complications such as degenerative calcifications, infections, epithelial breakage, or scleritis. Furthermore, no new recurrences were observed and none of the MMC treated patients had more frequent or severe recurrences in our group as compared to the published studies as occurring during the first postoperative 18 months. This is of importance because after MMC use the recurrence of pterygium may occur at a late postoperative period. Although almost 100% of pterygium recurrences occur during the first six postoperative months (when MMC is not applied), in MMC patients one can first identify recurrence within the second year after pterygium excision. At the present time we apply MMC 0.02% intraoperatively for 3 minutes and avoid bare sclera by suturing the conjunctiva to the limbus. Our long term experience with MMC application consistently shows no severe complications, and less than 10% recurrence rate of primary pterygium in a population with 50% of pterygium recurrence, when adjunctive treatment is not used. Our long term experience without complications shows the advantages of our technique, which is relatively short and requires only minor manipulations of the conjunctiva. Other surgical procedures for pterygium surgery including conjunctival autograft with or without limbal cell transplantation or amniotic membrane transplantation are efficacious as well in reducing the rate of pterygium recurrence. These techniques are time consuming, require a skilled and experienced surgeon, and significant excision and manipulations of the conjunctiva, and may deplete the stem cell reserves of the cornea. In cases of pterygium recurrence there might be a shortage of conjunctiva or stem cells for retreatment. Despite the fact that we did not observe any significant long term complications after MMC use, we are aware that only 43 patients of 99 patients were available for long term evaluation in our study. An additional six patients who did not show for our examination claimed (by phone) that they were asymptomatic and had no eye problems. Furthermore, in a small country like Israel patients with significant complications are usually referred for examination by the surgeon, or the surgeon is informed of such an event. We therefore might assume that those who were not available for our examination did not have severe complications.

We feel that adjunctive use of MMC for pterygium is a safe procedure, but requires a strict selection of patients, controlled use of MMC, and long term follow up of these patients. At the present time we advise the use of MMC at a
concentration of 0.02% intraoperatively for 3 minutes and not to leave bare sclera. Eyes treated with MMC should be carefully observed for many years. In particular, a very long follow up of the avascular conjunctival area is required.
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