Soccer (football) ocular injuries: An important eye health problem

J A Capa˜o Filipe

Laboratory experiments have confirmed the mechanism of soccer ball eye injury and the feasibility of a protective eyewear in soccer

The ideal scenario for a sports (or any other) eye injury is for it never to have happened. According to the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, “injury is probably the most unrecognized major health problem facing the nation today, and the study of injury presents unparalleled opportunities for reducing morbidity and mortality and for realizing significant savings in both financial and human terms—all in return for a relatively modest investment.” The social cost of eye trauma, the most common ophthalmic indication for hospitalisation, is enormous. National projections estimate annual US hospital charges of $175 million to $200 million for 227 000 eye trauma hospital days.1 Tan, in a recent BJ O editorial, commented on prevention of blindness programmes, and stated that “let us then remind ourselves of prevention of blindness programmes which have the potential to do the greatest good for the most people.”2 By carefully evaluating the underlying mechanisms, patterns, and rates of injury in a given sport, it is possible to design and implement extremely effective preventive programmes.

Soccer ocular injury is an important eye health problem in Europe and probably worldwide.3 4 In these series, contrary to previous ophthalmology teaching that eye injuries are rarely caused by balls larger than 4 inches in diameter, the large diameter soccer ball was responsible for most soccer injuries. In 1994, Vinger focused on some pertinent questions related to soccer eye injuries. “How is sufficient energy transmitted from the large ball to the eye to result in severe lesions in many injured players? Are the eye injuries caused by ball deformation? Does underinflation result in more eye injuries than properly inflated balls? Are sports eyewear protectors currently in use for racket sports sufficient to prevent injury?” In the same chapter he proposed the future method of investigation, and stated that “impact testing of balls at varying speeds and pressures, with and without various eye protectors in place, using high-speed photography, should, in combination with prospective injury studies, answer these questions over the next several years.” In fact, several years elapsed to the publication, in this issue of the BJ O (p 167), of a paper about the mechanism of soccer eye injuries, where the authors tried to address some of above mentioned questions and to lead off its prevention.

MECHANISM OF INJURY

Although the differences between the opening of the bony orbit (1.4×1.6 inches) and the diameter of a standard soccer ball (8.6 inches), the laboratory experiments carried out confirmed that soccer balls deform significantly on impact, allowing a small “knuckle” of the ball to enter the orbit and impact the globe. Still more, it was proved that the soccer ball is unique among the sports balls tested: orbital penetration is lower, but the time in the orbit is longer, and during rebound a secondary suction effect is produced on the orbital contents. The expansion of the eyeball perpendicular to the direction of impact has been proposed as the major cause of the contusion injuries.6 The suction component most likely adds to the distortion of the globe anatomy, which can explain the findings of the clinical studies, showing that soccer injuries were disproportionately severe.7 8 9 10 On the other hand, the experiments showed that soccer ball penetration is not significantly related to the size of the ball or to the pressure, and even when they are not under-inflated, they can deform on impact. These results support, in part, the most important finding in our previous prospective study that soccer eye injuries affected young athletes independently of age, sex and type of soccer, level of expertise or player position.11

The assumption that when a large object such as a soccer ball hits the eye, more energy is directly transmitted to the exposed temporal retina while the nasal retina is protected by the nose, could explain the predilection of soccer eye injury lesions to the superotemporal quadrant found in our studies. Therefore, we should not forget that it is essential to examine the peripheral retina of all eyes that have had a soccer ball contusion injury, with particular attention for that quadrant.

SOCCER EYE PROTECTOR

If protective devices are necessary then performance standards must be written to ensure that the protective devices will meet the visual requirements of the game while reducing the probability of injury to a specified level. The majority of sports eyewear standards written in the United States comes under the jurisdiction of ASTM (American Society for Testing and Materials, http://www.astm.org/), a non-profit corporation organised, as early as 1898, for development of voluntary standards arrived at by consensus, with strict guidelines for due process, among all interested parties.12 13 With the publication of this article we have the valuable information that protectors that comply with the requirements of ASTM standard F803 (and have polycarbonate lenses) will prevent eye contact with a soccer ball.

The need for protective eyewear in soccer remained far less clear than for other sports. Their use by children or adolescents presenting underdeveloped orbital structures was already previously considered. Now, we strongly recommend that soccer protective eyewear conforming to ASTM F803 should be worn also by adults, particularly for subjects who require prescription lenses, for functionally one-eyed athletes, and for those who have had refractive surgical procedures that weaken the eye. The use of protective eyewear in soccer was recently popularised by a well known professional player of the Dutch national team, Edgar Davids. This fact led a sports equipment company to

Key messages

- The soccer ball is unique among the sports balls tested: orbital penetration is lower, but the time in the orbit is longer and, during rebound, a secondary suction effect is produced on the orbital contents.
- We strongly recommend that soccer protective eyewear conforming to ASTM F803 should be worn.
- The future of soccer ocular injuries prevention has already started!
develop soccer eyewear. The future of soccer ocular injuries prevention has already started!

CONCLUSION

In this new millennium, soccer may become the most common cause of sports eye injury worldwide. As physicians and surgeons, our mindset is towards the evaluation and treatment of eye disease. Injuries are predictable and, for most of them, preventable if we all make an eye safety prescription part of our routine.

Standards are designed to be revised as experience is gained. No matter how well the protector performs on paper or in the testing laboratory, it is the use by thousands of players and continued injuries monitoring that prove the protective value or demonstrate the failures of a particular design. Since 1992 we implemented a sports ophthalmology unit in our department of ophthalmology to follow athletes with sports related ocular lesions. The observation and establishment of standards of eye protective equipment in racket sports, ski goggles, hockey face helmets, baseball face protectors, fencing headgear, equestrian charges and length of stay for ocular trauma. 1999;88:159–160. doi: 10.1136/bjo.2003.031518
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Retinopathy of prematurity

The nine lives of retinopathy of prematurity

W V Good

Each time it appears to have been eliminated, it resurfaces

Old Deuteronomy’s lived a long time;
He’s a Cat who has lived many lives in succession.
He was famous in proverb and famous in rhyme
A long while before Queen Victoria’s accession.
Old Deuteronomy’s buried nine wives
And more—I am tempted to say, ninety-nine;
And his numerous progeny prospers and thrives
And the village is proud of him in his decline.
At the sight of that placid and bland physiognomy,
When he sits in the sun on the vicarage wall,
The Oldest Inhabitant croaks:

Retina


Age related macular degeneration

Update of the vascular model of AMD

E Friedman

Are statins or antihypertensives protective?

The observation that age related macular degeneration (AMD) and atherosclerosis share risk factors and pathogenetic mechanisms has led to the development of a hypothesis that is identified as a haemodynamic or vascular model of the pathogenesis of AMD. It holds that AMD is a vascular disorder characterised by impairment of choroidal perfusion of the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE). This model, evolved over four decades, is now updated to incorporate recently reported evidence that the changes affecting Bruch’s membrane in age and AMD involve lipoproteins processed by the RPE. The model proposes that these lipoproteins accumulate in drusen and in Bruch’s membrane because the choriocapillaris does not clear them.

Theoretical models of disease are evaluated by how much they explain and by their success in making useful
predictions. As the vascular model, by emphasising the pathogenetic importance of atherosclerotic processes and increased intravascular pressure, essentially predicts that statins and antihypertensive agents should have a protective effect on AMD, it is instructive to review the evidence to date.

THE VASCULAR MODEL OF THE PATHOGENESIS OF AMD (FIG 1)

- This model asserts that choroidal vascular resistance is increased by decreased compliance of ocular tissues,\(^3\) as a result of progressive infiltration with lipid. It is likely that progressive narrowing of the macular choriocapillaris with age\(^1\) also contributes to the increased resistance.\(^6\)

- While the systemic circulation is the source of the lipid in the sclera\(^2\) and choroidal vasculature, there is evidence that the RPE is the source of lipids in drusen and in Bruch’s membrane.\(^4\)

- The vascular model contends that impairment of choroidal perfusion, consisting of decreased blood flow and elevated hydrostatic pressure, compromises the processing of outer segment lipid by the RPE and the clearance of the lipoproteins secreted by the RPE. This results in drusen, pigment changes, and geographic atrophy, as well as calcification and fracture of Bruch’s membrane. Progressive accumulation of hydrophobic lipids in Bruch’s membrane may compromise RPE function further by decreasing its hydraulic conductivity.\(^9\)

- The model proposes that the combination of elevated choriocapillary pressure, breaks in Bruch’s membrane, and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) causes choroidal neovascularisation (CNV).

- The vascular model contends that choroidal vascular resistance is increased by decreased compliance of ocular tissues,\(^3\) as a result of progressive infiltration with lipid. It is likely that progressive narrowing of the macular choriocapillaris with age\(^1\) also contributes to the increased resistance.\(^6\)

- While the systemic circulation is the source of the lipid in the sclera\(^2\) and choroidal vasculature, there is evidence that the RPE is the source of lipids in drusen and in Bruch’s membrane.\(^4\)

- The vascular model contends that impairment of choroidal perfusion, consisting of decreased blood flow and elevated hydrostatic pressure, compromises the processing of outer segment lipid by the RPE and the clearance of the lipoproteins secreted by the RPE. This results in drusen, pigment changes, and geographic atrophy, as well as calcification and fracture of Bruch’s membrane. Progressive accumulation of hydrophobic lipids in Bruch’s membrane may compromise RPE function further by decreasing its hydraulic conductivity.\(^9\)

STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES OF THE VASCULAR MODEL

A major strength of the model is that it explains most of what is known about the epidemiology, clinical course, and histopathology of AMD. It explains why atherosclerotic risk factors in general, and systemic hypertension in particular, are risk factors for AMD. It uniquely explains why hyperopia\(^8\) is a risk factor in AMD, and myopic eyes are relatively protected.

A weakness of the model is that it is not yet technically feasible to confirm the proposed elevated choriocapillary pressure in AMD by direct measurement. Similarly, the stiffness of Bruch’s membrane is also not measurable, and the methods available to estimate the coefficient of scleral rigidity are notoriously inaccurate.

Another weakness is that the lower incidence of AMD in African Americans can be explained by the model only by proposing that the compliance of ocular tissues may be greater in African Americans than in white people. That has yet to be determined.

Proponents of competing models assert that choroidal vascular changes in AMD are the result,\(^10\) rather than the cause, of RPE damage, as proposed by the vascular model. Recent reports,\(^11\) however, indicate that the fellow eyes of patients with unilateral neovascular AMD are characterised by impaired choroidal perfusion, in the absence of significant RPE damage. This suggests that the choroidal vascular deficit precedes and may cause the RPE changes.

SYSTEMIC HYPERTENSION

The vascular model proposes that an increase in intravascular pressure results from an increase in the post-capillary resistance of the choroid. Increased resistance was demonstrated by prolonged choroidal filling times\(^22\) and by colour\(^23\) and laser Doppler imaging.\(^24\) The presence of increased intravascular pressure in AMD is suggested by phlebosclerosis of the intrascleral portion of the vortex veins,\(^25\) distension and tortuosity of the ciliary arteries\(^15\) and vortex veins, and the perivenular distribution of drusen.\(^7\)

Systemic hypertension, as well as subclinical atherosclerosis, increases the risk of neovascular and non-neovascular AMD in case-control\(^14\) as well as population based\(^12\) epidemiological studies. Systemic hypertension is associated with narrowing of the terminal arteriole, with the ensuing increase in intravascular pressure upstream of the narrowing, largely sparing the capillary bed. The model proposes that when the increased pressure is superimposed on an elevated capillary pressure vascular decapsulation results.

ACE INHIBITORS AND ALL ANTAGONISTS AND AMD

While epidemiological studies have established the risk of AMD attributable to systemic hypertension and elevated blood pressure, there are no reports of controlled clinical trials documenting the effectiveness of antihypertensives in lowering the risk of AMD.

Angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors and angiotensin II (AII) antagonists, two classes of drugs that reduce the activity of the rennin-angiotensin II system, are among the most effective agents in lowering blood pressure, preventing hypertensive end organ damage and mortality, with minimal disturbing side effects.\(^16\) Laboratory investigations also suggest that they may have possible advantages in controlling blood pressure in AMD.\(^17-21\)

STATINS AND AMD

Statins reduce serum cholesterol levels by competitively inhibiting an enzyme involved in the synthesis of cholesterol.\(^22\) They cause significant reduction of cardiovascular and cerebrovascular morbidity and mortality and are useful in the management of rheumatoid arthritis, multiple sclerosis, and Alzheimer’s disease. Undesirable side effects of statins are offset by its benefits by a wide margin.
mely wide confidence interval (0.02 to 0.83). A small study of elderly Australians reported a lower rate of progression (3.6% v 13%) of early AMD in those taking statins at baseline. While the effect was large, it was not statistically significant. Two additional reports at the most recent ARVO meeting suggest a beneficial effect of statins on AMD. Based on retrospective reviews of medical records, they both report that progression of AMD was slowed with statin use in a statistically significant manner. Two population based studies report that statins showed no protective effect.

The largest and most convincing study to date reports that 550 matched controls. This work was supported in part by the Hypertension, cardiovascular diseases, and age-related macular degeneration. Arch Ophthalmol 2000;117:351-8.
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The first study to suggest a beneficial effect of statins on the course of AMD is a cross sectional survey in Britain, in which participants taking statins had one seventh the risk of developing AMD, compared to those who did not. However, the odds ratio for macular degeneration was 0.14 with an extremely wide confidence interval (0.02 to 0.83). A small study of elderly Australians reported a lower rate of progression (3.6% v 13%) of early AMD in those taking statins at baseline. While the effect was large, it was not statistically significant. Two additional reports at the most recent ARVO meeting suggest a beneficial effect of statins on AMD. Based on retrospective reviews of medical records, they both report that progression of AMD was slowed with statin use in a statistically significant manner. Two population based studies report that statins showed no protective effect.

The largest and most convincing study to date reports that 550 matched controls. This work was supported in part by the Hypertension, cardiovascular diseases, and age-related macular degeneration. Arch Ophthalmol 2000;117:351-8.
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SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

- The vascular model of AMD is updated. It highlights the roles of the atherosclerotic process and blood pressure in the pathogenesis of the disorder.
- Epidemiological studies suggest that statins and hypertensives may lower the risk of AMD. These reports are sufficiently promising to warrant adequately designed and properly executed clinical trials.
- Confirmation of the protective effect of statins and antihypertensives will lend further support to the vascular model.

FUTURE STUDIES

Reports of the protective effects of statins, ACE inhibitors, and AR拮抗ists on AMD are consistent with, even a province of developing AMD. However, even if their effectiveness is confirmed, the mechanisms by which they confer protection may remain uncertain. The statins can act indirecly: by lowering serum cholesterol or directly on the processing of lipids by the RPE. It may not be the lowering of lipid levels by statins nor of blood pressure by hypertensives that offers protection, but rather other properties of these agents. It would be prudent to ascertain whether the preliminary reports of the possible effectiveness of these drugs are confirmed before speculating on the mechanism of their action. Confirmation should take the form of prospective, adequately powered, randomised, controlled clinical trials.