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Aim: To determine the epithelial phenotype in rabbits with total limbal stem cell deficiency (LSCD) after reconstruction with autologous limbal epithelial stem cells ex vivo expanded on rabbit amniotic membrane (AM).

Methods: Left eyes of 52 rabbits were rendered total LSCD, verified by impression cytology. The fibrovascular pannus of each cornea was removed. Group I (n = 10) received rabbit AM transplantation alone, while groups II–IV (n = 42) underwent transplantation of LSC cultured on rabbit AM (LSC-AM) from a small limbal biopsy taken from the right eye. Clinical outcome was graded as “success,” “partial success,” or “failure” depending on the corneal smoothness and avascularity. Epithelial phenotype was determined by immunostaining and graded as “corneal (K),” “conjunctival (J),” or “mixed (M)” depending on expression of K3 and Muc5AC.

Results: After 1 year follow up, group I showed 100% failure and groups II–IV showed 26% success (p < 0.001). Clinical failure correlated with J phenotype (p = 0.001), while clinical success correlated with K phenotype (p = 0.01). When the phenotypic outcome was used for comparison, J phenotype was significantly high in group I (p = 0.003), while K phenotype was significantly high in groups II–IV (p < 0.05).

Conclusion: There is a strong correlation between clinical success and resultant corneal epithelial phenotype. Ex vivo expanded LSC can successfully reconstruct corneal surfaces with unilateral total LSCD.

Materials and Methods

Materials
Monoclonal antibodies against keratin K3 (AE-5 1:100) were from ICN (Aurora, OH, USA) and goblet cell mucin (MUC 5AC 1:100) was a kind gift from Jacques Bara, MD, Paris, France. Other materials were obtained as reported.

Animals
A total of 65 New Zealand White rabbits (divided into four study groups) were included in this study protocol approved by the animal research committee of the University of Miami (No 01–127) and followed guidelines described in the ARVO statement for the use of animals in ophthalmic and vision research. After excluding 13 rabbits because of surgical complications, the remaining 52 rabbits were subdivided into four groups.

Preparation of rabbit AM
Rabbit AM was harvested from 28 day pregnant does under sterile conditions, prepared and preserved as previously described.

Abbreviations: AM, amniotic membrane; AMT, amniotic membrane transplantation; LSC, limbal epithelial stem cells; LSCD, limbal stem cell deficiency
Preparation of AM inserts, limbal biopsy, and culture on AM

After thawing and rinsing in HBSS, the AM was fastened onto inserts. A limbal biopsy was performed in the normal right eye of each rabbit in groups II and III. The method of ex vivo expansion from the limbal biopsy specimen has been described.

Surgical transplantation

The left eye of each rabbit in all groups underwent 360° conjunctival peritomy followed by removal of the fibrovascular pannus. Group I (n = 10) underwent rabbit AM transplantation (AMT) alone as previously described. Groups II–IV (n = 42) underwent AMT with ex vivo expanded limbal epithelial cells and differences among them were previously reported.

Definition of clinical success

Whenever the cornea became fully vascularised—that is, reverting to a preoperative appearance, the animal was killed and rated as a failure. Otherwise, all animals were followed up for more than 1 year before sacrifice. If the cornea regained its smoothness, avascularity, and clarity the animal was graded as a success. If the cornea showed a mixture of the above two features—that is, two quadrants or more of clear cornea, the eye was graded as a partial success.

Phenotypic analysis

Outcome was also measured by the epithelial phenotype of the transplanted corneas obtained after sacrifice by haematoxylin and eosin and periodic acid Schiff (PAS) staining and by immunofluorescence staining with the monoclonal antibody AE5 to K3 keratin and with the monoclonal antibody AM3 to MUC5AC goblet cell mucin. Complete success was defined if the corneal epithelial phenotype was restored, as evidenced by the restoration of a stratified epithelium without goblet cell, which was positive to AE5 but negative to AM3 staining. Failure was defined if the resultant epithelium was of a conjunctival origin, as shown by the presence of goblet cells, which was negative to AE5 but positive to AM3 staining. In some eyes, a mixed phenotype was noted with the resultant epithelium showing a mixture of the above two phenotypes.

Statistical analysis

The comparison of the frequency of clinical outcomes of success, partial success, and failure or age and that of phenotypic outcomes of K, J, or M was performed by Fisher’s exact test. The correlation of clinical outcomes with phenotypic outcomes was performed by two tailed χ² test using Graph Pad InStat Version 3.05 (Graph Pad Software, Inc, CA, USA). A p value of <0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

RESULTS

Clinical outcome

The full clinical results have previously been reported. The cumulative percentage survival at 30 and 180 days was 10% and 0% for group I, 64% and 21% for group II, 77% and 18% for group III, and 100% and 74% for group IV, respectively. The log rank test showed that group IV had statistically longer times to transplant failure (that is, significantly higher transplant success) than the other three surgical groups (all p<0.002). The success rate was 0% in group I but 26% in groups II–IV (p <0.0001).

Phenotypic outcome

For groups II, III, and IV, corneas with clinical success were restored with a smooth and avascular surface and clear stroma (fig 1A–C). Haematoxylin and eosin staining demon-
Stratified the recovery of a normal stratified corneal epithelium, a PAS positive new basement membrane, and an avascular stroma with uniform cellularity (fig 1D, E). In some corneas, the amniotic basement membrane was preserved in the transplanted corneas as a thick eosinophilic layer (fig 1D, bracket). Immunostaining to K3 keratin showed full thickness positivity in the entire corneal epithelium (inset, fig 1F) and suprabasal positivity in the limbal region (arrow, fig 1F), while immunostaining to MUC5AC showed conjunctival goblet cells only in the conjunctival epithelium (fig 1G). This pattern is identical to the normal corneal epithelial phenotype in vivo and denoted as “corneal (K) phenotype.”

In groups II, III, and IV, some corneas with clinical partial success had a smooth and avascular surface and clear stroma in most of the cornea (fig 2A–C), while part of the cornea was covered with vascularised pannus (fig 2C). Haematoxylin and eosin staining showed that the pannus region was covered by an attenuated epithelium and the stroma was infiltrated with chronic inflammatory cells and blood vessels (fig 2D, E). Immunostaining revealed that the majority of the corneal surface had a K phenotype (fig 2F, G), while the pannus region was devoid of K3 expression (fig 2F). The pannus was also negative to MUC5AC staining (not shown), and conjunctival goblet cells were found only in the conjunctiva (not shown). Therefore, we still graded these corneas as a corneal (K) phenotype (table 1). In contrast, most corneas with clinical partial success actually showed a mixture of corneal and conjunctival phenotypes on the corneal surface. One such example is shown in figure 3, where a stratified epithelium was found in one part of the cornea (fig 3D, E), while the other part covered by vascularised pannus, contained a thinner epithelium with goblet cells under haematoxylin and eosin staining. This mixed phenotype (denoted as M) was verified by the presence of both full thickness K3 keratin (fig 3F, G) and MUC5AC positive goblet cells (fig 3H) on the corneal surface.

For all groups, corneas with clinical failure continued to be covered by vascularisation and an irregular epithelium (fig 4A, B). Among them, a small portion of corneas showed M phenotype as defined in figure 3, but the majority of them showed a conjunctival (J) phenotype. Under haematoxylin and eosin staining, the epithelium was not as organised and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
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<th>Group II</th>
<th>Group III</th>
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</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>Phenotype</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>Phenotype</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>PS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F = failure; PS = partial success; S = success; J = conjunctival phenotype; K = corneal phenotype; M = mixed corneal and conjunctival phenotype.
stratified, the basement membrane was indistinct, and the stroma was infiltrated with blood vessels and chronic inflammatory cells (fig 4C). Immunostaining showed negative K3 keratin staining (fig 4D), but positive MUC5AC stained goblet cells throughout (fig 4E).

**Correlation of clinical and phenotypic outcomes**

Table 1 summarises clinical and phenotypic outcomes of all four groups. None of group I (AMT alone, n = 10) had clinical success. In groups II, III, and IV (n = 42), there were a total of 11 clinical successes (p<0.0001). Except for one, which had a conjunctival phenotype, the rest 10 had a corneal phenotype. None of group I had partial clinical success either. However, in groups II, III, and IV, there were a total of eight clinical partial successes, of which four corneas had a corneal phenotype, three had a mixed phenotype, and one had a conjunctival phenotype. All 10 eyes in group I showed clinical failures. Except for one eye, which had a mixed phenotype, the rest (nine) had a conjunctival phenotype. In groups II, III, and IV, there were a total of 23 clinical failures, of which 15 eyes had a conjunctival phenotype, and eight eyes had a mixed phenotype. Clinical failure correlated with a conjunctival phenotype, the rest 10 had a corneal phenotype.

**Figure 3** Correlation of clinical partial success with a mixed phenotype. In this representative case, preoperative and postoperative appearance (A–C). Haematoxylin and eosin staining (D and E). Immunostaining to K3 keratin (F and G), and MUC5AC, positive goblet cells (H) on the corneal surface.

**Figure 4** Correlation of clinical failure with a conjunctival phenotype. In this representative case, preoperative and postoperative appearance (A, B) haematoxylin and eosin staining (C, arrow marks goblet cells). Immunostaining showed negative K3 keratin staining (D), but positive MUC5AC stained goblet cells throughout (E).
tival phenotype (p < 0.001), while clinical success correlated with a corneal phenotype (p < 0.01). Clinical partial success could be either a corneal phenotype or a mixed phenotype (p < 0.05). When the phenotypic outcome was used to assess the efficacy of this procedure, we noted that the conjunctival phenotype was significantly more in group I (p < 0.0003), while the corneal phenotype was significantly more in groups II–IV (p < 0.05), especially in group IV.

**DISCUSSION**

Previously we reported that autologous limbal epithelial cells expanded ex vivo on amniotic membrane is effective in restoring a normal corneal surface in this rabbit model of total LSCD. In this study, we provided additional evidence to support that such clinical successes correlated well with the restoration of a non-keratinised stratified epithelium without goblet cells (fig 1D and E), and a recovery of a corneal epithelial phenotype based on the expression of corneal epithelium specific K3 keratin (fig 1F) and the lack of expression of conjunctival goblet cell specific MUC5AC (fig 1G). As reported, clinical success was not found in any of 10 eyes (0%) of group I with amniotic membrane alone, but was found in 11 of 42 eyes (26%) in groups II, III, and IV (p < 0.0001) with additional ex vivo expanded limbal epithelial cells. Among these 11 eyes, 10 (91%) had a corneal epithelial phenotype, confirming that ex vivo expanded limbal epithelial cells were responsible for the restoration of a normal corneal epithelial phenotype. This finding is consistent with our laboratory data showing that amniotic membrane cultures help expand limbal epithelial SC. Because such a clinical success and a corneal phenotype lasted for more than 1 year after transplantation, we further confirmed that ex vivo expansion of limbal epithelium is a valid procedure to treat unilateral total LSCD. Because a significantly higher percentage of clinical success and corneal phenotype was noted in group IV, we also believe protection of newly transplanted limbal epithelium by another amniotic membrane is crucial to ensure this success.

In contrast, clinical failures correlated well with a conjunctival epithelial phenotype, verified by the lack of K3 keratin expression (fig 4D) but positive expression of MUC5AC (fig 4E). As reported, clinical failures were noted in all 10 eyes of group I, of which all except one had a conjunctival epithelial phenotype. Clinical failures were also noted in 23 of 42 eyes of groups II, III, and IV. We noted that clinical failure correlated with a conjunctival epithelial phenotype (p < 0.0001). Among these 23 eyes, 15 eyes (65%) had a conjunctival phenotype, while the rest (eight) eyes (35%) had a mixed phenotype. This result indicated that some clinical failures might not be associated with a complete loss of the corneal epithelial phenotype. Although we cannot resolve whether these residual corneal epithelial cells represent a smaller portion of limbal epithelial SC or simply remaining corneal epithelial TAC (transient amplifying cells), we did learn from our earlier report that these failures correlate well with the early epithelial defects in the first 3 months after transplantation because of exposure problems in groups II and III.

In groups II, III, and IV, four of eight eyes (50%) showed a corneal epithelial phenotype (fig 2), suggesting that the pannus noted clinically was not caused by “conjunctivalisation” but rather by “granuloma.” Therefore, judging from the phenotypic outcome, the success rate was further elevated to 75%. Three of eight eyes (38%) showed a mixed phenotype (fig 3), suggesting that the pannus noted in these eyes was caused by focal conjunctivalisation—that is, partial LSCD. Differentiation of partial LSCD from granuloma by impression cytology is clinically important to guide effective therapies.

These end point outcome analyses did not reveal the biological process during the wound healing. For example, successful corneas showed progressive wound remodelling from an opaque amniotic membrane to transparent corneal stroma. We thus speculate that transplantation of corneal epithelial cells aids the return of stromal clarity. Further studies of such wound healing processes leading to the restoration of corneal stroma will help us understand how scarless wound healing may be achieved in the cornea.
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