Comparison of autologous serum eye drops with conventional therapy in a randomised controlled crossover trial for ocular surface disease

B A Noble, R S K Loh, S MacLennan, K Pesudovs, A Reynolds, L R Bridges, J Burr, O Stewart, S Quereshi

Aims: To evaluate the efficacy of 50% autologous serum drops against conventional treatment in ocular surface disorders refractory to normal treatments in a prospective randomised crossover trial.

Method: Patients fulfilling ophthalmological and haematological entry criteria were randomised to either 3 months of autologous serum 50% followed by 3 months of conventional treatment, or 3 months of conventional treatment, followed by 3 months of autologous serum. Clinical assessments, including Schirmer’s test, rose Bengal, and fluorescein staining, were carried out on entry and at monthly intervals. Impression cytology was performed at entry, 3 and 6 months. Grading was carried out on degrees of squamous metaplasia and goblet cell density. Subjective comfort was recorded daily using the “faces” scale. These categorical scores were converted to linear measurement using Rasch analysis. Statistical analysis was carried out using Wilcoxon’s signed rank test and ANOVA.

Results: 16 patients were recruited with 31 eyes studied. The ocular surface diseases chiefly included Sjögren’s syndrome (n = 6) and keratoconjunctivitis sicca (n = 5). Impression cytology available in 25 of 31 eyes showed significant improvement on serum treatment, p<0.02. Rasch weighted faces scores were statistically significantly better with serum, p<0.01.

Conclusion: The results of this randomised study provide further evidence of the beneficial effects of autologous serum in severe ocular surface disorders. For most of these patients, autologous serum was superior to conventional treatment for improving ocular surface health and subjective comfort.
the ocular surface was as suggested by the National Eye Institute/Industry workshop on clinical trials for dry eyes. Schirmer’s test without anaesthesia and tear film break up time was used to assess tear film stability and production. Fluorescein clearance test (FCT) was used to evaluate basal and reflex tear clearance.

Clinical assessments occurred at monthly intervals. These were carried out as at baseline except that Schirmer’s test and tear film break up time were omitted. The ophthalmologists conducting the clinical testing did so without complete masking of the therapeutic status of the patients. Patients were asked to complete a subjective symptom scale, The faces scale, on a daily basis with the results of these were collected at each visit.

**Treatment protocol**

Patients were randomised via assigned patient numbers and sealed regime envelopes by the hospital pharmaceutical research department to receive either 3 months of autologous serum 50% followed by 3 months of conventional treatment, or to start with 3 months of their conventional treatment, followed by 3 months of autologous serum. The conventional treatment consisted of continuing or restarting the current therapy already used by each individual patient (table 3). During the period of treatment with autologous serum, each patient was requested to use serum only. Patients were instructed to use one bottle of serum per day, instilling at the frequency of previous conventional therapy. Any remaining serum was discarded at the end of the day because no preservatives were used in the preparation. The patients were not masked to their treatments because of the difference in treatment containers and storage, glass bottles and freezer storage for serum treatment.

**Impression cytology**

Impression cytology was carried out over the inferotemporal limbus in both eyes using Millipore Millicell 12 mm plates. Impression cytology was performed at the baseline assessment, at 3 months following the first half of the trial treatment, and at 6 months following completion of therapy. The specimens obtained were transported in formalin. The Millipore plates were transferred to glass slides, stained with periodic acid Schiff (PAS) and cover slipped. A pathologist masked to the therapeutic status of the subject performed grading. Desquamation, goblet cell density, nucleocytoplasmic ratio, nuclear condensation and inflammatory cells were assessed and specimens assigned to a 3 point grading system: grade 0 (fully squamous epithelium), grade 1 (conjunctival type epithelium with partial goblet cell depletion) and grade 2 (normal conjunctival type epithelium) (fig 1).

**Blood collection and serum preparation**

A donation of blood was collected following the same procedure as for all allogenic blood donors, except that donation was made into a sterile blood pack without anticoagulant. Those patients who were not thought suitable to donate a full unit of blood had lesser amounts collected of between 250 ml and 400 ml.

---

**Table 1** Inclusion criteria for the study

- Epitheliopathy on maximum conventional treatment with presence of corneal and conjunctival staining with rose bengal
- Schirmer’s test <5 mm
- Upper and lower punctal occlusion

**Table 2** Contraindications to donating blood for autologous use

- Significant cerebrovascular or cardiovascular disease
- Anaemia (haemoglobin <11 g/dl)
- Active bacterial infection
- Positive for viral markers (HBV, HCV, HIV, syphilis)
- Caution in patients on some antihypertensive medication—for example, β blockers, ACE inhibitors

---

The donation was stored at 4°C for 2–3 days to allow the blood to clot and the clot to retract fully. Serum was then separated from the clot of blood by centrifugation (a full donation yielding approximately 200 ml of serum) following which an equivalent volume of sterile normal saline was then added to the serum. The process up to this point was carried out in a “closed pack” system by utilising a sterile connecting device. The diluted serum was then transferred to a laminar flow cabinet in a positive air pressure clean room where 3.0 ml aliquots were dispensed into sterile screw capped glass bottles labelled with the patient’s details and storage instructions. Five bottles from each batch were sampled.

---

![Figure 1](http://bjo.bmj.com/ on April 15, 2021 by guest. Protected by copyright.)

**Figure 1** Photomicrographs showing the three point grading system for impression cytology: (A) grade 0, fully squamous epithelium, (B) grade 1, conjunctival type epithelium with partial goblet cell depletion, and (C) grade 2, normal conjunctival epithelium. The arrows in (B) and (C) point to goblet cells. Periodic acid Schiff (PAS) stained. Magnification ×3000.
and underwent bacterial culture before release. The bottles were recapped and transferred to a blast freezer where the diluted serum was quickly frozen to a temperature of \( -230 \degree C \). The frozen serum was stored at this temperature until collection by the patients, still frozen, with dry ice in a sealed insulated cardboard box. One full donation produced approximately 130 bottles. Instructions were given to the patient about transferring the bottles to their home freezer.

**Data measurements and analysis**

Outcome measures consisted of subjective scores on the “faces” scale and objective scores from (i) ocular surface staining with rose bengal and (ii) grading of impression cytology specimens.

The faces scale is a single item, seven category rating scale where the subject is asked to grade their level of comfort (or pain) to match the appearance one of the seven faces, which vary in apparent effect (fig 2).\(^{13}\) The seven faces are assigned scores of 1 to 7 so the response intervals are assumed to be equidistant on a valid linear scale. The assumption that such an arbitrary scale represents a measure, although widespread, is not valid.\(^{15}\) However, statistical techniques such as Rasch analysis exist that allow the conversion of categorical data into valid linear measurement. This conversion should be considered an essential step before statistical manipulation of categorical data, and is gaining widespread use in ophthalmology for visual disability and quality of life outcomes assessment.\(^{16,17}\) In this study, Rasch analysis was performed using Facets version 3.43 (www.winsteps.com/minifac.htm) which calculates Wright and Masters’ version of Rasch model estimates using joint maximum likelihood estimation.\(^{18,19}\) The Rasch model gives the probability of selecting a particular response category in terms of the interaction between “response severity” and subject measure (in this case, comfort) through an iterative logistic process.\(^{18}\)

The resulting response scale calibrations and person measures are expressed in log odd units (natural logarithm of an odds ratio), or logits, positioned along a hierarchical scale with logits of greater magnitude representing increasing pain. These Rasch adjusted scores were analysed on a weekly basis, excluding the first week following each attendance, to establish median scores and compared across groups using ANOVA. Statistical analyses of impression cytology and rose bengal staining were carried out with the Wilcoxon signed ranked test.

**RESULTS**

A total of 32 patients were considered for the study. Six patients were excluded at initial assessment on medical criteria. Of these six medical exclusions, three had cardiovascular problems and three patients were on antihypertensive medication. Several patients had reduced donation volumes taken or donated isovolaemically. No patients suffered any adverse effects as a result of donation.

Patients took a course of ferrous sulphate to minimise the risk of anaemia after donation, but one patient had to be excluded from making further donations because of a poor response to iron therapy. Of the remaining 26 patients, eight requested their withdrawal from the study after entry and two failed to attend. The main reasons for subjects requesting withdrawal were:

- **Patient 1:** Mad at consulting, unable to remember to check contact lenses
- **Patient 2:** Difficult to manoeuvre injection
- **Patient 3:** Worry about eye care after donation
- **Patient 4:** Lost contact lenses
- **Patient 5:** Not enough drops
- **Patient 6:** Poor visual acuity
- **Patient 7:** Bad injection
- **Patient 8:** Not enough drops

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Conventional treatment at baseline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>Rosacea</td>
<td>Liquifilm, Viscoat, Oc Lacrilube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>Keratoconjunctivitis sicca</td>
<td>Liquifilm, Oc Simple Eye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Graft versus host disease</td>
<td>Viscoat, Oc Simple Eye, Ilube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>Sjögren’s syndrome</td>
<td>Viscoat, Oc Simple Eye, Clodectane Hypotears</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Behcet’s syndrome</td>
<td>Viscoat, Chlaramphenicol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>Dry eye</td>
<td>Snotears, Oc Simple Eye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Sjögren’s syndrome</td>
<td>Vital Eye, Acetylcysteine, Retinoic acid, Hyaluronate Prebol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>IgA ocular cicatrical pemphigoid</td>
<td>Viscoat, Oc Simple Eye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Blepharitis + dry eye</td>
<td>Doxycycline, Viscoat, Oc Betnesol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>Keratoconjunctivitis sicca</td>
<td>Liquifilm, Doxycycline, Futhalmic, Oc Hydrocorisone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>Sjögren’s syndrome</td>
<td>Hypromellose, Lacrilube, Hyaluronate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Sjögren’s syndrome</td>
<td>Liquifilm, Lacrilube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>Dry eye, congenital glaucoma</td>
<td>Viscoat, Betagan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>Sjögren’s syndrome</td>
<td>Hypromellose, Lacrilube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Keratoconjunctivitis sicca</td>
<td>Healonoid, Liquifilm, Lacrilube, Salagen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3** Characteristics of the patient cohort at baseline including the diagnosis and details of conventional treatment
withdrawal were either intolerance to rose bengal staining (n = 4) or severe discomfort following impression cytology (n = 3), all of these subjects requesting withdrawal at the second assessment—that is, month 1 of the trial period. Of the patients requesting withdrawal, three were started on autologous serum, one being intolerant to rose bengal staining and two complaining of discomfort following impression cytology. Another patient, randomised to conventional treatment first, developed a corneal abrasion following impression cytology at assessment on month 2 and withdrew from the trial. Two other patients failed to attend for assessment after entering the trial, one after obtaining autologous serum drops and the other after starting on conventional treatment. Since these 10 withdrawals happened to be allocated evenly to the two groups (conventional mean 9.23 (4.1), serum mean 8.7 (4.0), p = 0.05, Wilcoxon) with IC being better on serum by inspection (n = 25, 12 improved, three deteriorated, 10 unchanged). Not all impression samples could be obtained, no data, subjective or objective, were available for study, and all these patients withdrew at month 1 of the trial so that no data could be compared.

Mean age for serum to conventional was 52 years, range 30–67, and conventional to serum 56 years, range 37–71. The majority, 11 of 16 patients, had keratoconjunctivitis sicca/Sjögren’s syndrome, male:female ratio 4:7, with randomisation to initial treatment of serum in four and conventional in seven. The other patients had a variety of conditions (table 3).

During of the trial, one patient developed cataracts causing significant visual loss treated successfully by cataract extraction. There was otherwise no statistically significant change in acuity on either treatments at baseline, months 3 and 6 (table 4). FCT did not change from baseline on either treatments (baseline 5.25 (6.06), conventional 5.79 (SD 7.88), serum 4.71 (4.80), p > 0.1 (table 5). Rose bengal staining scores did not change significantly (conventional mean 9.23 (4.1), serum mean 8.7 (4.0), p > 0.05 (table 5).

Impression cytology (IC) analysis in 31 eyes confirmed a statistically significant difference between serum and conventional treatment (p < 0.05, Wilcoxon) with IC being better on serum by inspection (n = 25, 12 improved, three deteriorated, 10 unchanged). Not all impression samples could be graded (n = 1) or taken bilaterally (n = 5) and no comparison therefore possible in six eyes (table 5). One patient had unilateral IC, the eviscerated eye not included.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Conv Rx IC grade R</th>
<th>Conv Rx IC grade L</th>
<th>Serum IC grade R</th>
<th>Serum IC grade L</th>
<th>Conv RB score</th>
<th>Serum RB score</th>
<th>Conv FCT</th>
<th>Serum FCT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For impression cytology, figures in bold represent an improvement and those in italics a deterioration when compared to baseline in Snellen acuity.

Conv Rx = conventional treatment; Serum Rx = serum treatment; RVA = right visual acuity; LVA = left visual acuity; PL = perception of light; HM = hand movements at 1 metre; CF = counting fingers at 1 metre; AE = artificial eye. IC = impression cytology; RB = rose bengal; FCT = fluorescein clearance test.
Subjective scores were converted to Rasch estimates using the conversion values generated by Facets (fig 2) and the weekly medians for serum and conventionally treated groups compared using ANOVA. Patients were significantly better on serum treatment in both groups—that is, serum conventional and conventional serum (fig 3). In the serum conventional group (serum mean 0.61 (SD 1.19), conventional mean 0.90 (1.05)) p<0.01, in the conventional serum group (serum mean 0.60 (1.06), conventional mean 1.45 (1.06)) p<0.01. The subjective scores from conventional to serum showed a gradual improvement after the crossover—that is, after the ninth week. In contrast, when changing from serum to conventional treatment, there is a marked step deterioration after the ninth week (fig 4).

DISCUSSION

This study is the first reported randomised controlled clinical trial that confirms the beneficial effects of autologous serum in patients with severe ocular surface disease. Although this is a small study, reflecting the stringent inclusion criteria, the improvements seen with autologous serum were statistically significant.

Six patients (16%) were excluded during recruitment because of medical factors. A further eight patients (18%) withdrew because of rose bengal intolerance or discomfort following impression cytology and two patients failed to attend assessments after receiving treatments. These withdrawals did not affect the balance of the randomisation to groups. This study was designed to examine the value of autologous serum on patients with severe ocular surface disease. Although “dry eye” patients (11/16) formed the majority of our cohort, we did not exclude other causes of severe ocular surface disease including five cases representing a heterogeneous group (Riley-Day, rosacea, ocular cicatricial pemphigoid, graft versus host disease, and congenital glaucoma). Inspection of the results in tables 4 and 5 suggest that this heterogeneous group performed similarly to the dry eye group.

The subjective measurements showed that 12 of 16 patients reported improvement in comfort and four patients showed no benefit. Impression cytology provided an objective measure that confirmed an improvement of the ocular surface, correlating well with the subjective scores. Twelve of 25 eyes showed improvement of the ocular surface as measured by impression cytology. Ten eyes showed no change with three demonstrating deterioration. While these two measures showed significant benefits for autologous serum, no differences were found for rose bengal staining, Schirmer’s test, or fluorescein clearance test. These four non-significant clinical tests were conducted without complete masking of the observer. Conversely, a pathologist masked to the therapeutic status of the patients performed the grading of impression cytology and the subjective scoring of comfort was completed without the participation of any of the investigators. This suggests the potential sources of bias in the study were confined to non-significant outcomes. Therefore, bias does seem to jeopardise the conclusion that autologous serum is superior to conventional therapy.

The subjective scores from conventional to serum showed a gradual improvement after the crossover—that is, after the ninth week. In contrast, when changing from serum to conventional treatment, there is a marked step deterioration after the ninth week (fig 4).

Figure 3  Median subjective Rasch scores demonstrating 12 of the patients had better scores on serum.

Figure 4  Weekly median Rasch estimate of “faces” scale scores. The broken line marks the point of crossover—that is, ninth to 10th week. The improvements from serum to conventional and deterioration from conventional to serum were statistically significant (ANOVA p<0.01).
Several tear factors that have been identified to be of importance in the maintenance of normal corneal and conjunctival epithelium including epidermal growth factor (EGF), vitamin A, transforming growth factor β (TGF-β), fibronectin, and other cytokines. Vitamin A when not present in tears in sufficient concentration may lead to epithelial metaplasia. TGF-β is thought to control epithelial proliferation and maintain cells in an undifferentiated state. All these factors are also found in serum, albeit at different concentrations. In particular, TGF-β and vitamin A are both found in far higher concentrations in serum, unlike EGF. The effects of such differences are unknown, though TGF-β may have a detrimental effect to wound healing with its antiproliferative effects. In addition, serum also contains IgG, lysozyme, and complement which reduce the risk of contamination of samples and may reduce the risk of infection in an otherwise compromised ocular surface. While the components in serum responsible for its beneficial effect have not been identified, the possibility that the cause of any improvement is multifactorial must be considered. Moreover the ideal concentrations of the components of diluted serum have not been identified.

The lack of an agreed standard dilution of serum for use in anterior segment applications has led to concentrations from 20% to undiluted serum reported in studies for dry eye disease or persistent epithelial defects. The preparation of serum drops may involve dilution with saline and the addition of antibiotics. None of our patients had adverse reactions to serum treatment or developed secondary infections during the course of the study. As reported here, we have developed, with the help of the UK National Blood Service, a reliable and reproducible method for the production of autologous serum in accordance with the principles of good manufacturing practice.

The major disadvantage of this treatment is the requirement for blood donation. This study shows that maintenance therapy and therefore repeated blood donation is required for continued benefit. The active components of serum are stable for up to 6 months when frozen; therefore bleeding and serum preparation are required two to three times a year. Identifying the optimum dilution of serum and treatment regimen, in isolation or combination with conventional therapeutic measures, may well reduce the amount of blood required.

There has been no report to date on the effects and risks of application of autologous serum to the human ocular surface. There remains the possibility that serum contains active components that may adversely affect the ocular surface when applied in the wrong concentrations for prolonged periods. These issues should be the subjects of future investigations and the manufacturing process and study design described here provides an ideal starting point for such investigations.
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