Optical coherence tomography analysis of axonal loss in band atrophy of the optic nerve
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Aims: To measure axonal loss in patients with band atrophy of the optic nerve caused by optic chiasm compression using optical coherence tomography and to evaluate its ability in identifying this pattern of retinal nerve fibre layer (RNFL) loss.

Methods: Twenty eyes from 16 consecutive patients with band atrophy of the optic nerve and permanent temporal hemianopia due to chiasmal compression, and 20 eyes from an age and sex matched control group of 16 healthy individuals, were studied prospectively. All patients were submitted to an ophthalmic examination including perimetry and evaluation of the RNFL using optical coherence tomography. Mean RNFL thickness around the optic disc was compared between the two groups.

Results: The mean (SD) peripapillary RNFL thickness of eyes with band atrophy was 101.00 (9.89) μm, 62.21 (12.71) μm, 104.89 (12.60) μm, and 50.13 (16.88) μm in the superior, temporal, inferior, and nasal regions, respectively. The total RNFL mean was 79.94 (7.17) μm. In the control group, the corresponding values were 140.10 (12.71) μm, 86.50 (12.17) μm, 144.60 (15.70) μm, and 97.94 (16.02) μm. The total RNFL mean was 117.72 (9.53) μm. The measurements were significantly different between the two groups. Measurements in each of twelve 30° divisions provided by the equipment also showed significantly different values between eyes with band atrophy and normal controls.

Conclusions: Optical coherence tomography was able to identify axonal loss in all four quadrants as well as in each of the twelve 30° segments of the disc. Thus, it seems to be a promising instrument in the diagnosis and follow up of neuro-ophthalmic conditions responsible for RNFL loss, even if predominantly in the nasal and temporal areas of the optic disc.

Optical coherence tomography (OCT) is a non-invasive technique for the acquisition of cross sectional images of retinal structures from which estimates of the thickness of retinal layers can be made. The ability of OCT to provide quantitative and reproducible measurements of the retinal nerve fibre layer (RNFL) has been shown in experimental and clinical studies. Most of the studies have been performed in patients with glaucoma, a condition in which RNFL loss usually does not follow a specific pattern and, in most cases, is difficult to predict accurately by clinical examination.

The pattern of RNFL loss in patients with optic chiasm compression may represent a model to evaluate the ability of any instrument to measure RNFL loss in the nasal and temporal regions of the optic disc. In patients with extensive mid-chiasmal lesions and showing severe bitemporal hemianopia with preserved nasal field, the crossed nerve fibres are lost with preservation of the uncrossed fibres, which originate in the temporal hemiretina and penetrate the optic nerve through the superior and inferior arcuate fibre bundles. Therefore, RNFL loss occurs predominantly on the nasal and temporal side of the optic disc. Such a pattern may be identified upon ophthalmoscopy as band atrophy (BA) of the RNFL and is an important clinical sign in patients with chiasmal compression. We recently evaluated the ability of scanning laser polarimetry (SLP) in the assessment of the RNFL of 19 eyes with BA from chiasmal lesions. Our study revealed poor sensitivity of SLP to identify RNFL loss in the nasal and temporal areas of the optic disc, indicating that erroneous interpretations are possible when using SLP in the evaluation of optic nerve diseases causing RNFL loss predominantly in these areas. We therefore performed a prospective study using OCT in patients with severe temporal hemianopia and BA of the optic nerve, in order to evaluate its ability to identify this pattern of RNFL loss.

METHODS

Between June 2002 and August 2003, 20 eyes from 16 patients with temporal hemianopia from chiasmal compression, and 20 eyes from 16 normal age and sex matched controls were studied. All patients had stable visual field (VF) defects and visual acuity (VA) for at least one year and had already been submitted to previous treatment of the suprasellar lesion prior to study entry. The patients underwent a complete ophthalmologic examination including VF evaluation.

Visual field testing was performed using the Goldmann perimeter. The V-4-e, I-4-e, I-3-e, I-2-e, and I-1-e stimuli were used to draw the isopters. Patients were also submitted to standard automated perimetry (Humphrey, 24-2 full threshold test). Visual field and OCT examinations were performed on the same day or within a maximum period of 2 weeks.

The inclusion criteria were: best corrected VA of 20/30 or better in the study eye; complete temporal hemianopia on Humphrey perimeter and complete or almost complete temporal hemianopia on Goldmann perimeter; nasal hemifield within normal limits; spherical and cylinder refraction within ±3 dioptres; intraocular pressure less than 22 mm Hg, and reliable VF. Patients with clinical signs of glaucoma were excluded. Normal subjects were composed of healthy volunteers recruited from hospital staff members, with a normal ophthalmic examination including Humphrey perimeter.

OCT was performed as described elsewhere. Circular scans were obtained with a diameter of 3.4 mm centred on the optic disk. The scan was initiated at clock dial 9:00 and proceeded clockwise. Each scan consisted of 100 evenly spaced A-scans with a spatial resolution of 5 μm and an axial resolution of 10 μm.

Abbreviations: OGG, optically generated glare; RNFL, retinal nerve fibre layer; SLP, scanning laser polarimetry; VA, visual acuity; VF, visual field.
Band atrophy and OCT

Six circular, properly aligned scans were taken in each eye and the three best quality were chosen for analysis. The mean RNFL thickness values were automatically calculated globally and separately for the superior, inferior, temporal, and nasal quadrants (90 degrees each) and for the twelve 30° segments using OCT software version A5 (Humphrey-Zeiss Medical Systems, San Leandro, CA, USA) (fig 1).

Three different parameters were employed for comparison between the two groups. The first was the mean RNFL thickness of the entire circumference of the optic disk. The second parameter was quadrant thickness of RNFL. The third was segmental thickness for each of the twelve 30° segments, indicated as clock hours. RNFL measurements were averaged using data from each of the three scans of both of eyes with BA as well as from normal controls. Values were compared using Student’s t test; p values of less than 0.05 were considered significant.

Left eyes were considered to be mirror images of right eyes. Therefore, when indicating the 30° segments as clock hours in table 1, we considered the hours from 12:00 to 6:00 as nasal hours, and those from 6:00 to 12:00 as temporal hours.

RESULTS

A total of 20 eyes with temporal hemianopia and 20 control eyes were studied. Data concerning age, sex, type of tumour, VA, and VF can be found in table 2. The mean age and standard deviation (SD) was 42.3 (15.7) years, range 18–72, and in the control group (p = 0.9; Student’s t test). Eight eyes had complete temporal hemianopia, and the others had almost complete hemianopia with only a small inferior temporal remaining of field with I/3e, I/4e, or V/4e target. All eyes with BA revealed complete temporal hemianopia on Humphrey perimetry. Fundoscopic examination revealed BA of the optic disc and RNFL in all 20 eyes with temporal hemianopia.

Table 1 summarises RNFL thickness values in all parameters measured by OCT. The mean (SD) RNFL thickness was 79.94 (7.17) μm in eyes with BA. In these eyes the mean (SD) peripapillary RNFL thickness was 101.00 (9.89) μm, 62.21 (12.71) μm, 104.89 (12.60) μm, and 50.13 (16.88) μm in the superior, temporal, inferior, and nasal quadrants, respectively. In the control group, the RNFL thickness measured 140.10 (16.06) μm, 86.50 (12.17) μm, 144.60 (15.70) μm, and 97.94 (16.02) μm in the superior, temporal, inferior, and nasal quadrants, respectively. The global RNFL mean was 117.72 (9.53) μm. Values were found to be significantly lower in eyes with BA (table 1, fig 2). The RNFL thickness in each of the twelve 30° segments in eyes with BA as well as in normal controls is shown in table 1 and fig 3. Values were significantly different in each segment of eyes with BA compared with normal eyes.

DISCUSSION

Accurate evaluation of RNFL loss is extremely important in glaucoma as well as in many neuro-ophthalmological conditions. After the initial observation by Hoyt and Newman in 1972 using direct ophthalmoscopy, numerous studies have emphasised its clinical importance. Although several non-invasive techniques have been used to assess the RNFL, it is still unclear whether they effectively measure the...
RNFL thickness and how large the RNFL loss must be before it is detectable.

Optical coherence tomography is a high resolution technique that can create cross sectional images of the RNFL. RNFL loss has been documented by OCT in patients with optic nerve drusen, multiple sclerosis, and optic nerve trauma but most studies validating the ability of OCT to measure RNFL loss have been performed in patients with glaucoma. Using OCT, several authors have found global mean RNFL in the superior and inferior quadrants in patients with glaucoma to be significantly lower than those of normal controls. Bowd et al compared the abilities of SLP, OCT, short wavelength automated perimetry, and frequency doubling technology perimetry to discriminate between healthy and glaucomatous eyes, and observed that the areas under the receiver operator characteristic curve were larger for the parameters of OCT than for those of other methods, indicating a better resolution of this instrument. We found the mean RNFL thickness values in each of the four quadrants, and in three superior and three inferior 30° segments of glaucomatous eyes, to be significantly different from those of normal eyes. Nasal and temporal 30° segments results were not reported. However Kanamori et al recently used OCT to compare the RNFL measurements of a large number of eyes with glaucoma to those of normal eyes using OCT. Significant differences in RNFL measurements were observed in the global average measurements as well as in the superior and inferior quadrants, but not in the nasal and temporal quadrants. When 30° segments around the optic disc were compared, no significant difference was observed in the temporal (9 and 8 o’clock) and nasal (4, 3, and 2 o’clock) segments, nor did Mok et al find any significant difference between normal and glaucomatous eyes in the nasal and temporal quadrants. These findings could raise doubts about the sensitivity of OCT to identify RNFL abnormalities in the nasal and temporal regions of the disc.

The measurement of RNFL of eyes with BA, as in the current study, represents an important model in the evaluation of the ability of OCT to quantify RNFL loss in the nasal and temporal areas of the optic disc. As chiasmal decompression had already been obtained, the VF defects were severe and long standing, and as BA was observed on fundus examination in all cases, a severe or complete loss of RNFL in the temporal and nasal portions of the optic disc may be inferred. Our study showed that OCT was able to document RNFL loss in the nasal and temporal quadrants as well as in the nasal and temporal 30° segments of the disc. This finding is in agreement with Midelberg and Yidegiline’s automated quantitative histological analysis of a patient with BA evidencing an almost complete loss of nerve fibres in the nasal and temporal quadrants of the disc. The current study indicated a better potential of OCT when compared with the SLP (GDx). In a study conducted in 19 eyes with BA, we found that GDx was not able to identify RNFL loss in the temporal region, as there was no significant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Eye</th>
<th>VA</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Visual field</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>LE</td>
<td>20/20</td>
<td>Craniopharyngioma</td>
<td>Complete hemianopia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>RE</td>
<td>20/20</td>
<td>Craniopharyngioma</td>
<td>Complete hemianopia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>LE</td>
<td>20/20</td>
<td>Meningioma</td>
<td>Complete hemianopia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>RE</td>
<td>20/30</td>
<td>Adenoma</td>
<td>IT remaining with V/4e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>RE</td>
<td>20/20</td>
<td>Adenoma</td>
<td>IT remaining with V/4e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>RE</td>
<td>20/30</td>
<td>Adenoma</td>
<td>IT remaining with V/4e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>RE</td>
<td>20/20</td>
<td>Adenoma</td>
<td>IT remaining with V/4e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>20/20</td>
<td>Adenoma</td>
<td>IT remaining with V/4e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>20/30</td>
<td>Adenoma</td>
<td>IT remaining with V/4e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>RE</td>
<td>20/20</td>
<td>Adenoma</td>
<td>IT remaining with V/4e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>LE</td>
<td>20/20</td>
<td>Adenoma</td>
<td>IT remaining with V/4e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>RE</td>
<td>20/20</td>
<td>Adenoma</td>
<td>IT remaining with V/4e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>RE</td>
<td>20/20</td>
<td>Craniopharyngioma</td>
<td>IT remaining with V/4e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>RE</td>
<td>20/20</td>
<td>Adenoma</td>
<td>Complete hemianopia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>RE</td>
<td>20/20</td>
<td>Adenoma</td>
<td>Complete hemianopia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>RE</td>
<td>20/30</td>
<td>Adenoma</td>
<td>IT remaining with V/4e</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M, male; F, female; LE, left eye; RE, right eye; VA, visual acuity; IT, inferior temporal.
difference in RNFL thickness measurements between patients with BA and controls. Furthermore, when we used the values provided by the GDx database, the deviation from normal analysis did not identify abnormalities in the temporal region in any of the patients, and was only able to identify abnormalities in the nasal region in two of the 19 eyes with BA, confirming the poor sensitivity of SLP to measure RNFL in the nasal and temporal segments of the disc observed by other authors.23,24

Our study shows that the RNFL was also reduced in the superior and inferior segments of the optic disc of eyes with BA. This is also in agreement with the study of Midelberg and Yidegiligne.21 These authors have documented that, although the loss of nerve fibres in eyes with BA occurs predominantly in the nasal and temporal segments, the superior and inferior areas of the optic disc lost approximately 50% of their fibres, as ganglion cell axons originating from the nasal retina also penetrate in the superior and inferior portions of the disc.17

This study, documenting the ability of OCT to measure RNFL in eyes with BA, is extremely important when studying neuro-ophthalmic conditions showing a predilection for RNFL loss in the nasal and temporal portions of the optic disc, such as chiasmal and optic tract compression, heredodegenerative diseases, and toxic, nutritional, compressive, degenerative diseases, and toxic, nutritional, compressive, and even inflammatory optic neuropathies. It is important, however, to keep in mind that the method still has limitations that must be taken into consideration when analysing individual cases. For example, Jones et al25 suggested that OCT underestimates RNFL thickness by an average of 37%, and several studies have shown high interobserver and interindividual variation in the measurement of RNFL.21,24 Furthermore, the high local variability observed may be accounted by the relatively small number of sampled points (100 A scans in the total circumference, resulting in only 8 or 9 points in each 30° segment).26 Future work must use more recently developed software for this equipment, and when using patients with a milder form of lesion, is therefore necessary in order to obtain a better understanding of the real value of OCT in evaluating RNFL for conditions other than glaucoma.
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