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Aim: To compare refraction and keratometry readings between premature and term babies at 40 weeks’ postconceptional age (PCA), and the possible effect of birth weight (BW) and gestational age (GA) on ocular parameters.

Methods: 33 preterm babies hospitalised in the neonatal unit between January and March 2002 were matched with 33 term babies born within the same period and hospitalised in the same unit. The preterm group underwent funduscopic examination at 4–5 weeks after delivery. Ophthalmic examination at 40 weeks’ PCA included cycloplegic retinoscopy, funduscopic, and keratometric measurements. Mean and standard deviation of refraction, astigmatic power (plus cylinder), axis of astigmatism, and keratometric readings were calculated and compared between groups and correlated with BW and GA in the premature babies.

Results: Retinopathy of prematurity (ROP) stage 1 or 2 was noted in 88% of the premature babies on the first funduscopic examination, but only in 36% by the corrected age of 40 weeks. Statistically significant between group differences were found for cycloplegic refraction (p = 0.02 for both eyes) and keratometry (p = 0.001 for both eyes). GA and BW had no impact on the refractive and keratometric findings in the preterm babies.

Conclusions: Babies with mild ROP at the corrected age of 40 weeks have mild hypermetropia compared to the moderate hypermetropia found in term babies (a difference of 50%), and they have higher and steeper keratometric values. The greater corneal curvature may contribute to the development of myopia. Ophthalmologists and parents need to be aware of the possibility of visual dysfunction already very early in life even in relatively older premature infants.

Full term infants are usually hypermetropic, whereas premature babies, with or without previous retinopathy of prematurity (ROP), more often have myopia and astigmatism. The risk of myopia increases in the presence of ROP, and its magnitude increases with a decrease in the age of prematurity and an increase in severity of the residua of ROP. The underlying mechanisms of myopia in premature infants are not well understood, and factors that may have a role, alone or in combination, include an increase in corneal curvature power, axial elongation, or decrease in anterior chamber depth, and higher refractive power of the lens.

The majority of longitudinal and cross sectional studies conducted so far, comparing refractive status and keratometric values between premature and full term babies used samples aged from 3 months after term date to 10 years. However, to the best of our knowledge, the refractive status and keratometric values of premature babies at 40 weeks’ corrected age have not been addressed to date. In the present study refraction and keratometric values of premature babies at 40 weeks’ postconceptional age (PCA) were compared to normal term babies at the same age to determine if there were inborn refractive changes presenting as early as 40 weeks of age. In addition, we evaluated the possible relation of birth weight (BW) and gestational age (GA) in premature infants with their refraction and keratometry findings.

PATIENTS AND METHODS
All consecutive premature infants born at Rabin Medical Center and hospitalised in the neonatal care unit of Schneider Children’s Medical Center of Israel between January and March 2002 underwent first funduscopic examination at 4–5 weeks after delivery. Those with ROP stage 2 or higher with plus disease were excluded from the study. At 40 weeks’ PCA, the fundus was re-examined, and the babies with no ROP or with ROP stage 1 to 2 without plus disease were enrolled in the study. Babies with other ocular abnormalities, developmental delay, neurological anomalies, or any other syndrome were excluded. The 33 healthy eligible preterm babies (66 eyes) were matched for PCA with 33 consecutive healthy babies (66 eyes) born at term within the same period of time and hospitalised in the neonatal unit, who met the same exclusion criteria. The study protocol was approved by the institutional ethics committee and written informed consent was obtained from the parents.

All the babies underwent a single ophthalmic examination at the age of 40 weeks by the same paediatric ophthalmologist (MS). The examination technique and instruments were similar for both the study and control groups and included cycloplegic retinoscopy and funduscopic, after two instillations at a 10 minute interval of cyclopentolate 0.5% and phenylephrine 2.5% drops, and bilateral keratometric measurements (k readings) in the horizontal and vertical meridians with an autokeratometer (Nidek KM500, Japan). The refractive values, including astigmatic power (plus cylinder) and axis, were converted to spherical equivalents, and the keratometric values were expressed as the mean of the flattest and steepest meridian in dioptres (D) in each eye. The funduscopic results of the preterm babies were classified according to the international classification of ROP. The mean refraction and keratometry values in each subgroup of babies were calculated and compared. In the premature subgroup, we also examined the correlation between BW and
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GA with the four ocular parameters of refraction, astigmatism, axis of astigmatism, and keratometric reading.

Student’s t test was used to statistically analyse differences between the subgroups in general clinical and refractive parameters. The Mann-Whitney non-parametric test was used to compare the means of the four ocular parameters in the right and left eyes within each group and between groups, as these variables were not normally distributed. In addition, we also tested the medians to achieve a better estimation of the central tendency of these four parameters, and calculated the differences in the medians between the two groups and the 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). A p value of < 0.05 was considered significant. Linear regression analysis and Pearson correlation were used to evaluate the correlation between BW and GA to the ocular parameters and the variance in any parameter could be explained by the corrected age of 40 weeks (p = 0.0001 for both).

Mean cycloplegic refraction, in spherical equivalents (SE) (table 3), in the premature group was +1.36 (1.16) D in the right eye and +1.39 (1.22) D in the left eye, and in the term group, +2.40 (2.27) D in the right eye and +2.58 (2.33) D in the left eye; this difference was statistically significant (p = 0.001 right eye, p = 0.0002 left eye). The median value in the preterm group was 1.5 D (95% CI 1.08 to 1.67) (p < 0.0001) and 2.9 D (95% CI 1.94 to 3.07) in the term group. In both groups, mean astigmatism (measured in plus cylinder) was less than 1.00 D, and it was lower in the preterm group. Only three patients (four eyes) had more than 1.00 D astigmatism (range +1.25 to +2.00 D). There was no statistical difference between the subgroups in mean astigmatism (p = 0.43 right eye, p = 0.27 left eye) or mean axis of astigmatism (p = 0.4 right eye, p = 0.6 left eye). The same results were true with the median astigmatism (p = 0.73) or median axis of astigmatism (p = 0.73) (table 3). When the axis of astigmatism was divided into three classes (0°–60°, 61–120°, 121–180°) (table 4), a similar distribution was noted in the two groups, with most of the babies having values between 1° and 120°. Mean keratometric reading (table 3) in the premature group was 49.46 (1.73) D in the right eye and 49.43 (1.63) D in the left eye, and in the term group, 48.08 (1.56) D in the right eye and 47.96 (1.86) D in the left eye; this difference was statistically significant for each eye between the groups (p = 0.002 right eye, p = 0.001 left eye). Median value in the preterm group was 49.5 D (95% CI 49.00–49.90) and 47.75 D (95% CI 47.60–48.40) in the term group (p = 0.0001). Within group comparisons between the right and left eyes yielded no statistically significant difference in any of the parameters.

On Pearson correlation analysis, there was no correlation between GA or BW and the four refractive parameters in right and left eyes in the premature group. To determine whether the variance in any parameter could be explained by the GA or BW, we used linear regression analysis. The results showed that GA and BW had no impact on the refractive and keratometric findings in the right and left eyes in the preterm babies at 40 weeks’ PCA.

DISCUSSION

The increasing survival rate of premature infants has led to an increase in long term ocular problems, such as ROP and its sequelae—refractive errors, strabismus, and amblyopia. Myopia is a common finding in premature infants, and its incidence increases with lower gestational age and the severity of ROP. Holmström et al pointed out that even in premature infants without ROP, the risk of myopia and anisometropia is higher than in full term infants: the overall incidence of myopia in their preterm babies was 8% at the corrected age of 6 months and 10% at 30 months. The rate of occurrence of myopia was higher in eyes with ROP than eyes without, and higher in the more premature infants.

Choi et al and Lue et al reported that in premature infants, myopia begins to appear at 6 months of age and increases in severity between ages 6 months and 3 years. Eyes with ROP are more susceptible to a dramatic change in

---

**Table 1** Background data of the premature and full term babies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Premature babies (n = 33)</th>
<th>Term babies (n = 33)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean gestational age (weeks)</td>
<td>32.9 (1.98) [26–35]</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(SD) (range)</td>
<td>1694</td>
<td>3148</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean birth weight (g)</td>
<td>(452) [628–2842]</td>
<td>(391) [2360–3850]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean chronological age at</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>examination* (weeks)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(SD) (range)</td>
<td>(2.0) [5–14]</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (%)</td>
<td>M:42.4; F:57.6</td>
<td>M:39.4; F:60.6</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NS = not significant.

*Refractive and keratometric examination.

---

**Table 2** Retinal maturation stage at birth and 40 weeks (corrected age) in the premature group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage of retinal maturation</th>
<th>4–5 Weeks PCA No (%)</th>
<th>40 Weeks PCA No (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5 (15.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete</td>
<td>4 (12.1)</td>
<td>16 (48.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 1</td>
<td>19 (57.6)</td>
<td>6 (18.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 2</td>
<td>10 (30.3)</td>
<td>6 (18.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>33 (100)</td>
<td>33 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PCA = postconceptual age.
refractive status after 6 months of age, with no further progress after 3 years. Full term babies usually have moderate hypermetropia during the first 3 years of life with a bell shaped curve distribution and a steady decline in hyperopic refraction throughout childhood. In the present prospective study we detected only mild hyperopia in the premature group at 40 weeks’ PCA; refraction (in dioptres) was 50% less than in the full term babies at the same age (p = 0.001 right eye, p = 0.002 left eye). These findings may indicate a myopic tendency in premature babies starting as early as 40 weeks’ PCA. To the best of our knowledge, these findings for this early age have not been reported to date. There are several hypotheses explaining the increased rate of myopia in eyes with ROP. Fielder et al suggested that myopia of prematurity may be due to the failure of the cornea to flatten in the cooler extraterrestrial environment. This theory is consistent with a report by Fledelius and Greisen indicating an increase in corneal curvature in low birthweight children regardless of ROP status. Majima and Hibino reported that children with retinal residua of ROP had myopia as a result of a combination of increased axial length, lens thickness, and decreased anterior chamber depth. Donzis et al proved that myopia was caused by high crystalline lens power, and Hittner et al demonstrated shallowing of the anterior chamber in myopic eyes with ROP residua. The refraction of the premature group could have been influenced by the ROP since the neural retina is a controller of eye growth and refractive development. Fulton et al demonstrated that rod photoreceptors and phototransduction (neural retina) are involved in ROP. According to Reisner et al the role of rod mediated retinal function in the regulation of eye growth in children with mild ROP is supported by their finding of an association of abnormal and rod mediated retinal sensitivity and refractive development. Animal models of myopia have focused on the ocular biochemical mechanisms involving vasoactive intestinal polypeptide (VIP) and dopamine. Raviola and Wiesel, in a study on monkeys, showed that abnormal visual input leads to excessive expansion of the posterior segment of the eye as well as an increase in retinal VIP. They proved that an increased VIP level is related to the abnormal axial elongation caused by lid fusion, which is an important factor in the development of myopia. Using a chicken model, Stone et al observed a reduced concentration of dopamine and its metabolites in myopic eyes compared to control eyes, and Wildsoet and Pettigrew reported on the impact of kainic acid on eye enlargement, showing that local administration of apomorphine decreases the axial elongation. Also in chickens, Troilo and Wallman and Troilo et al noted that it was the refractive error that guided the eye towards emmetropia rather than eye size, and that the shape related mechanism controlled eye growth. Both these mechanisms function within the eye (retinal ganglion cells) and the brain.

Our results for astigmatic values and the axis of astigmatism differ from those in the ophthalmic literature. In both groups, mean astigmatism was less than 1 D, but it was lower in the preterm than the full term babies (p = 0.43 right eye, p = 0.27 left eye). Moreover, within both groups, for both eyes, differences in mean axis of astigmatism and in the three classes of astigmatism (0–60°, 61–120°, and 121–180°) were non-significant. These findings might be attributable to the older gestational age of our premature babies (32–33 weeks) compared to the samples reported in the literature.

Holmström et al found a decrease in astigmatic values between the 6 month and 30 month examinations. Astigmatism of ≥1 D was more frequently found in eyes with ROP than in eyes without, but not in eyes with cryotreated ROP. Quinn et al demonstrated that the incidences of myopia and high myopia were higher in infants with anisometropia or astigmatism than in infants without these refractive abnormalities. A higher incidence of astigmatism was associated with more severe ROP. The incidence decreased significantly between the third and 12th month examinations.

Table 3 Comparison of mean refraction, astigmatism, axis of astigmatism, and keratometric value between premature and term infants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ocular parameter*</th>
<th>Premature babies</th>
<th>Term babies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Left</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refraction (D)††</td>
<td>1.36 (1.18)</td>
<td>1.39 (1.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Astigmatism (D)‡‡</td>
<td>median 0.5; 95% CI (0.98 to 0.74)‡‡</td>
<td>median 1.5; 95% CI (0.98 to 0.74)‡‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axis of astigmatism (degrees)‡‡</td>
<td>50.3 (50.5)</td>
<td>64.2 (58.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keratometric reading (D)‡‡</td>
<td>median 49.5; 95% CI (49.00 to 49.90)‡‡</td>
<td>median 50.3 (50.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All values are mean (SD).
† Differences in the medians between the groups.
‡p = 0.001 right eye, p = 0.0002 left eye; ‡p = 0.43 right eye, p = 0.27 left eye; ‡‡p = 0.4 right eye, p = 0.6 left eye; ††p = 0.002 right eye, p = 0.001 left eye; **p = 0.0001; †‡p = 0.73; †‡‡p = 0.73; ‡‡‡p = 0.0001.

Table 4 Distribution of axis of astigmatism in both groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Astigmatism (plus cylinder)</th>
<th>Premature babies (n = 33)</th>
<th>Term babies (n = 33)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right eye (%)</td>
<td>Left eye (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–60°°</td>
<td>18 (55)</td>
<td>14 (42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61–120°°</td>
<td>14 (42)</td>
<td>13 (39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121–180°°</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>6 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>33 (100)</td>
<td>33 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In the present study, there was no correlation between the refractive parameters and either BW or GA. Previous studies, however, reported conflicting results. Although Holmström et al. found no correlation among GA, BW, presence of intraventricular haemorrhages and neurological sequelae, and stage ofROP with refractive measurements (spherical equivalents) on logistic multiple regression analysis, BW was clearly associated with astigmatism. Saw and Chew et al. noted no relation between astigmatism and low BW or prematurity, and Quinn et al. reported that BW, shorter gestation, and increasing severity of ROP were the only statistically significant variables related to the development of myopia and high myopia. However, the gestational ages and birth weights of infants in the study of Quinn et al. were much lower than those of the infants in the present study. Also, distribution of birth weights and gestational ages in the earlier report was probably broader, which would make detection of a correlation more likely than in the present study.

In our study, we included consecutive premature and term babies at 40 weeks’ PCA. In order to minimise biases arising from the nature of selection of these babies, we excluded those with abnormalities, developmental delay, neurological anomalies, and other syndromes in both groups.

In conclusion, our study revealed interesting aspects of anatomical and refractive development during eye growth in premature infants at the corrected age of 40 weeks compared with normal full term infants. Our results for refraction, astigmatism, axis of astigmatism, and keratometric readings indicate that premature babies have only mild hypermetropia compared with the moderate hypermetropia in term babies and are therefore at risk of developing very early myopia. Both ophthalmologists and parents need to be aware of possible ocular and visual dysfunctions in premature infants already very early in life.
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