Analysis of p63 and cytokeratin expression in a cultivated limbal autograft used in the treatment of limbal stem cell deficiency
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cultivated grafts of limbal epithelial cells are emerging as a valuable adjunct therapy for repairing the ocular surface. The rationale behind this treatment is that the limbal epithelium contains adult stem cells or progenitor cells for regenerating the corneal epithelium. Nevertheless, this technique is still experimental and as such there is little consensus as to the best way to grow, graft, and validate the phenotype of limbal cultures. Thus in the course of treating a patient with two cultivated autografts of limbal epithelial cells we took the opportunity to examine the phenotype of the patient’s cells at three critical stages: (1) during ex vivo expansion in the presence of growth arrested mouse 3T3 fibroblasts; (2) following subcultivation on a cryopreserved carrier substrate of donor amniotic membrane, and (3) following application to the ocular surface.

It is generally assumed that the efficacy of grafted cultures is due to the presence of corneal stem cells, but in the absence of a definitive marker their role remains unclear. Nevertheless, a number of proteins, for which antibodies are readily available, provide valuable markers for assessing limbal epithelial cell phenotype. For example, keratin 3 (K3) is expressed by limbal epithelial cells as they begin to differentiate and is retained in the corneal epithelium. In addition, keratins 14 and 19 are produced by basal limbal epithelial cells and thus are considered to be associated with the progenitor cell phenotype. More recently, the transcription factor p63 has been identified as a putative keratinocyte stem cell marker for both the epidermis and corneal epithelium. However, earlier analyses of cultivated limbal grafts used in clinical studies have been limited primarily to studies of K3 expression. Our study is therefore the first to document the expression of p63 in conjunction with keratins 3, 14, and 19, during production of a cultivated limbal graft.

Abbreviations: EDTA, ethylene diamine tetra-acetic acid; FBS, fetal bovine serum; K3, keratin 3; PBS, phosphate buffered saline.
Zealand herds (Trace, Melbourne, Australia). Recovered cells were passaged twice in the presence of gamma-irradiated mouse 3T3 fibroblasts (5 × 10^5/cm^2) over a period of 2 weeks resulting in approximately 10^7 cells. The initial culture (P0) was established in a 35 mm diameter culture dish and the secondary culture (P1) was grown in a 75 cm^2 flask. A sample of these cells was subcultivated (2 × 10^5/cm^2) in a 24 well culture plate containing 13 mm diameter glass cover slips for a further 3 days in the presence of 3T3 fibroblasts (5 × 10^5/cm^2) and processed for immunostaining (fig 2). Two additional tertiary cultures (P2) were established at this time on cryopreserved amniotic membrane at a density of 2 × 10^5 cells/cm^2 and cultured for 2 weeks in the presence of 10% FBS. The amniotic epithelium was removed before seeding limbal cells using 0.25% trypsin/1 mM EDTA (37°C for 10 minutes) and a plastic cell scraper. One P2 culture on amniotic membrane was processed for immunohistochemistry (fig 3), and the other grafted onto the patient's injured eye as follows. Surface scar tissue was removed by scraping and the conjunctival epithelium recessed 3–4 mm back beyond the limbal boundary. The cultivated graft was then applied face up across affected regions of ocular surface from limbus to limbus, with sutures placed into the abutting recessed conjunctiva and underlying sclera, and covered for 2 months with a contact lens. The outcome of this initial grafting is shown in figure 1B. A second graft of cells on amniotic membrane was prepared and applied approximately 5 months later to two quadrants with residual conjunctival overgrowth (outcome shown in fig 1C). The second graft was prepared from the patient's own excess passaged cells (P2) that had been cryopreserved in 90% FBS/10% dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO). These cells were thawed and passaged once in the presence of 3T3 cells to ensure viability before seeding onto amniotic membrane (that is, P3 cells were grafted). Penetrating keratoplasty was performed 7 months after the second cultivated graft to treat stromal scarring (outcome shown in fig 1D) and the excised corneal button retained for immunostaining. Immunostaining of limbal cultures and sectioned material was performed as described previously. Antibodies to keratins 3 (AE5), 14 (LL002), and 19 (BA17) were obtained from Research Diagnostics (Flanders, NJ, USA). The 4A4 monoclonal antibody to p63 was purchased from Labvision Neomarkers (Edward Keller Pty Ltd, Brisbane, Australia). An Alexa-fluor 488 goat-antimouse secondary antibody was used (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, USA). Each marker was examined separately in replicate cultures and serial sections.

## RESULTS

During the first few days of coculture, islands of small and tightly packed limbal epithelial cells emerge amid the near confluent layer of 3T3 fibroblasts. In time, the limbal cell islands begin to merge and a degree of stratification is observed towards the centre. After approximately one week, the majority of 3T3 fibroblasts are displaced from the culture dish and the patient cells are ready for passaging in the presence of freshly irradiated 3T3 fibroblasts. Approximately 10 million patient cells are produced by the time the secondary culture reaches confluency. We have examined the phenotype of these cultures after reseeding for 3 days in the continued presence of freshly irradiated 3T3 fibroblasts. The resulting pattern of growth is similar to that seen in primary and secondary cultures with small islands of limbal cells once more being apparent (fig 2A).

Immunocytochemistry indicates that the majority of cells in these tertiary cultures (>95%) express the putative keratinocyte stem cell marker p63 (fig 2C), as well as keratins 14 (fig 2D) and 19 (fig 2E). Some immunoreactivity towards the AE5 antibody to K3 is also observed (approximately 85% of

---

**Figure 1** Condition of patient's injured eye before and after treatments. (A) Appearance on presentation 10 years after injury; (B) 4 months after application of the first cultivated graft; (C) 2 months after application of the second cultivated graft, and (D) 3 months after penetrating keratoplasty. The time between applying the initial cultivated graft and the final photograph is approximately 15 months.
islands), but is restricted to stratifying cells near the centre of each island (fig 2F). A similar profile of staining is observed for cells derived from the same secondary culture when subcultured for 2 weeks on donor amniotic membrane. In brief, an epithelium of one to two layers is observed with most cells reacting with antibodies to p63, keratin 14, and keratin 19. In contrast, reactivity towards the AE5 antibody to K3 is poor (data not shown). Two similar cultures of cells on amniotic membrane were grafted. The first was grown in duplicate to the culture examined by immunostaining, and the second was prepared 5 months later using excess cells from the patient’s secondary culture that had been stored in liquid nitrogen. The fate of these grafted cultures was investigated following subsequent penetrating keratoplasty. Sections were obtained from across the widest part of the excised corneal button to ensure sampling of tissue originally covered by conjunctiva. Two observations were made during this analysis. Firstly, the surface of the excised button is covered by a stratified epithelium of approximately six layers that stains positively for keratin 3 (fig 3H), but not keratin 14, keratin 19, or p63 (not shown). Reactivity to the keratin 3 antibody AE5 is greatest in the superficial layers and extends across the width of the excised corneal button. Secondly, subepithelial material is observed that resembles the thick basement membrane of the amniotic membrane.

DISCUSSION

An increasing number of papers have shown the effectiveness of cultivated limbal grafts for reconstructing the corneal-conjunctival boundary.1–7 This opinion is further supported by the present study in which two cultivated grafts were applied in an effort to stabilise the ocular surface prior to penetrating keratoplasty (fig 1). The future for cultivated limbal grafts as an adjunct therapy to corneal allografts therefore appears promising. Nevertheless, advancement of the technology beyond its present experimental status will require cultivated
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**Figure 2** Phenotypic analysis of limbal epithelial cells co-cultured with mouse 3T3 cells. A sample of excess patient cells from the secondary culture was immunostained for p63 and cytokeratins after culturing for an additional 3 days in the presence of freshly irradiated mouse 3T3 cells. (A) Phase contrast image displaying compact morphology of limbal islands amid 3T3 cells. (B) Negative control for all primary antibodies used, incubated with secondary antibody alone. (C) Island stained with 4A4 monoclonal antibody to p63. (D) Island stained with LL002 monoclonal antibody to keratin 14. (E) Islands stained with BA17 monoclonal antibody to keratin 19. (F) Islands stained with AE5 antibody to keratin 3. Each photographed island is representative of the majority of islands present in each immunostained sample.
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**Figure 3** Phenotypic analysis of cultures grown on amniotic membrane, and corneal button recovered during subsequent keratoplasty. Patient cells derived from the same culture as those used in figure 2 were cultivated for 2 weeks on two pieces of denuded amniotic membrane. (A–C) Sections obtained from the non-grafted duplicate culture. A piece of backing paper remains attached to the section in part C. (D) A section obtained from the patient’s excised corneal button obtained following keratoplasty. Note the presence of material resembling the amniotic basement membrane between the corneal stroma and regenerated epithelium. (E–G) The same sections of cultivated graft as in parts A–C but stained for p63, keratin 14, and keratin 19 respectively. (H) The same section of excised corneal button as in part D stained for keratin 3.
limbal grafts to comply with regulatory guidelines for cell based treatments that are currently under review in many countries including Australia. In essence, the emerging legislation is aimed at ensuring adequate control over the manufacturing process and validation of the product before release for clinical use. To this end, during the present study we chose to investigate the expression of a novel combination of markers that might be used to validate the phenotype of cultivated limbal grafts—namely cytokeratins 3, 14, and 19, and p63. Moreover, we followed the expression of each protein at critical stages during culture and following engraftment to the ocular surface.

Keratin 3 (K3) is absent from the basal layers of the limbal epithelium, but expressed in the mature corneal epithelium.8 Earlier analyses of cultivated limbal grafts have therefore used the AE5 antibody to K3 in order to validate growth of the correct cell type in vitro and following grafting.1,5,7 Nevertheless, the discovery of K3 in a patient’s cultivated graft presents a dilemma as high levels of keratin 3 expression theoretically indicate that cultures are more differentiated and therefore less likely to retain proliferative properties once applied to the ocular surface. The low levels of K3 observed in the present study are therefore consistent with a poorly differentiated population of progenitor cells for the corneal epithelium. Furthermore, the widespread expression of K3 in the corneal button retrieved following keratoplasty is useful for confirming recovery of a normal ocular surface. The presence of material resembling amniotic membrane beneath the reconstituted epithelium suggests that grafted cells are responsible for the recovery, but it remains possible that existing cells may have emigrated from the superior temporal quadrant which was less injured. In either case, the grafted cells may create an environment that encourages existing progenitor cells to aid repair of the ocular surface.

Keratins 14 and 19 (K14 and K19) are found in many epithelial tissues including skin and conjunctiva and thus have limited potential as markers for limbal cells and their progeny. Nevertheless, K14 is associated with hemidesmosome formation and thus has been used to study the attachment of limbal cell cultures to sheets of amniotic membrane.10 The expression of K14 observed in monolayers of limbal cells either grown in the presence of 3T3 cells or on amniotic membrane is therefore consistent with the normal behaviour of basal epithelial cells. The parallel expression of K19 is likewise significant as this protein is more closely associated with the location of progenitor cells in both skin and the limbus.11,12 The co-expression of K14 and K19 is therefore useful as a means for identifying epithelial progenitor cells with basal cell activities. The absence of K14 and K19 in the excised corneal button can be considered in several ways. Firstly, the result is consistent with the hypothesis that the grafted cells differentiated following application to the ocular surface. Secondly, the absence of K19 confirms that conjunctival cells have not re-emigrated across the ocular surface.7 Keratin 19 expression in the original 3T3 co-cultures is unlikely to be due to contaminating conjunctival cells as these grow poorly in culture, and the majority of islands contain K3 positive cells at their centre.

In summary, our study documents for the first time the co-expression of cytokeratins and p63 in the course of producing a cultivated autograft of limbal epithelial cells. The results of this analysis are consistent with the hypothesis that cultures grown in the presence of 3T3 cells or on amniotic membrane contain predominantly poorly differentiated progenitor cells for the corneal epithelium. Nevertheless, consideration must be given to the limited specificity of markers for cytokeratins and p63 when validating the phenotype of limbal cultures.
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