A new insight into the cellular regulation of aqueous outflow: how trabecular meshwork endothelial cells drive a mechanism that regulates the permeability of Schlemm’s canal endothelial cells
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The conventional aqueous outflow pathway (CAOP) performs the dual functions of facilitating the egress of aqueous from the anterior chamber of the eye into the lumen of Schlemm’s canal and of preventing the reflux of blood from the venous circulation into the anterior chamber. In carrying out these two tasks the CAOP is endowed with a mechanism that regulates the permeability of Schlemm’s canal endothelial cells (SCEs) by actively releasing ligands that modulate the barrier properties of SCEs.

Aim: To test the hypothesis that trabecular meshwork endothelial cells (TMEs) increase the permeability of SCEs by actively releasing ligands that modulate the barrier properties of SCEs.

Methods: The TMEs were first irradiated with a laser light and allowed to condition the medium, which is then added to SCEs. The treatment response is determined by both measuring SCE permeability (flow rates) and the differential expression of genes (Affymetrix chips and quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR)). The cytokines secreted by the treated cells were identified using ELISA and the ability of these cytokines to increase permeability is tested directly after their addition to SCEs in perfusion experiments.

Results: SCEs exposed to medium conditioned by the light activated TMEs (TME-cm) respond by undergoing a differential expression (DE) of 1120 genes relative to controls. This response is intense relative to a DE of only 12 genes in lasered SCEs. The TME-cm treatment of SCEs increased the SCE permeability fourfold. The role of cytokines in these responses is supported by two findings: adding specific cytokines established to be secreted by lasered TMEs to SCEs increases permeability; and inactivating the TME-cm by boiling or diluting, abrogates these conditioned media permeability effects.

Conclusion: These experiments show that TMEs can regulate SCE permeability and that it is likely that TMEs have a major role in the regulation of aqueous outflow. This novel TME driven cellular mechanism has important implications for the pathogenesis of glaucoma and the mechanism of action of laser trabeculoplasty. Ligands identified as regulating SCE permeability have potential use for glaucoma therapy.

Abbreviations: CAOP, conventional aqueous outflow pathway; DE, differential expression; DEGs, differentially expressed genes; ELISA, enzyme linked immunosorbent assay; F-D N:Y, frequency doubled, Q switched Nd:YAG (F-D N:Y); IL, interleukin; IOP, intraocular pressure; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; POAG, primary open angle glaucoma; RMA, robust multiarray average; SCEs, Schlemm’s canal endothelial cells; TMEs, trabecular meshwork endothelial cells; TNF, tumour necrosis factor
manufacture's instructions for the indicated amount of starting RNA (5–100 ng) as previously reported. For ssCDNA microarrays, all samples were placed in standard Affymetrix hybridisation buffer and the arrays were stained with phycoerythrin-streptavidin according to manufacture's recommendations. Real time quantitative PCR was used to measure the expression of selected mRNAs using a fluorogenic nuclease assay on the ABI Prism 7900 following manufacturer's instructions (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) is used to identify secreted cytokines by disrupting the cells using a lyses buffer containing 1.0% NP-40, 150 mM NaCl, and 50 mM of TRIS at pH 8.0 following instructions from the ELISA kit manufacturer.

Statistical analysis for array data

The raw image data are analysed using GeneChip Expression Analysis Software (Affymetrix or afly) to extract perfect match and mismatch values, to which we apply the robust multiarray average (RMA) algorithm implemented in the Bioconductor/afly package under the free statistical computing environment R (www.r-project.org) to background correct, quantile normalise, and summarise values of 11 probe pairs for each gene (probe set) on each chip. This results in a $54,675 \times 32$ matrix of logarithm based 2 of gene expression measures, where columns correspond to different gene chips and rows correspond to the different genes (probe sets). For a typical gene (probe set), we have four replicate expression measures (from four chips) for each of the eight conditions as described above.

RESULTS

Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in treated/untreated preparations

Genes with a twofold or greater expression relative to controls are considered differentially expressed (DEGs). DEGs are shown in figures 1 and 2, which are MA ratio intensity scatter plots where “A” represents the average of log intensities across the 32 chips in each experiment, and “M” represents the ranking of genes according to the strength of evidence of differential expression. In both figures upregulated DEGs are shown as red dots and downregulated genes as green dots. Figure 1 shows the elicited response relative to the respective controls for irradiated TMEs (fig 1A), for medium prepared by irradiated TMEs when added to naive TMEs (fig 1B), or when added to SCEs (fig 1C). Figure 2 shows the response elicited for irradiated SCEs (fig 2A), for medium prepared by irradiated SCEs when added to naive SCEs (fig 2B), or when added to TMEs (2C).

Comparing both figures shows that the red and green dots are far more numerous in figure 1 than in figure 2. The actual counts of the number of DEGs for the six functional categories in both figures, shown below each panel in these figures, support this impression. In figure 1 the DEG counts are approximately three orders of magnitude for all three conditions, whereas in figure 2 the counts are one or two orders of magnitude for the same three conditions. Thus, across all functional categories, we find the number of DEGs is much greater for TMEs than for SCEs. Not only are TMEs more responsive to the laser treatment than are SCEs, but also media conditioned by TMEs are more effective for...
stimulating both SCEs and TMEs than are media conditioned by SCEs.

Conductivity in treated/untreated preparations

Figure 3A reports the means (SD) of the conductivity (C) responses measured for the eight experimental conditions tested for both TMEs and SCEs. The means for the six treatment conditions differ significantly from the control means (p < 0.001). Figure 3B depicts the fold changes in these C responses after correcting for baseline differences. It can be seen that for both TMEs and SCEs, the largest increases in C occur when the cells are treated by exposure to medium conditioned by lasered TMEs (TME-cm). These C responses are congruous, condition by condition, with the results of the gene expression experiments showing the TME-cm to be a potent inducer of DEGs. These results are consistent with our original hypothesis that medium conditioned by TMEs increases SCE permeability. In fact, the permeability increases fourfold in SCEs exposed to the TME-cm.

Controls

The media conditioned by laser activated TMEs (TME-cm) was boiled for 5 minutes or diluted five times by the addition of fresh cell culture media to inactivate any factors released into the extracellular environment. When these “control” media, or medium prepared by TMEs without previous lasering, were added to TMEs, C remains at baseline level, while that from the laser activated TMEs produces the expected increase in C, as shown in figure 4.

Identification of cytokines secreted by TMEs

The gene for the chemokine interleukin 8 (IL-8) is DE relative to controls in lasered TMEs and SCEs (p < 0.01) and in SCEs treated with TME-cm (p < 0.001). The DE of IL-8 was verified using Q-PCR assays (fig 5A) which shows that the IL-8 gene is DE in lasered TMEs (p < 0.05), TMEs exposed to media conditioned by SCEs (p < 0.01), SCEs exposed to the TME-cm (p < 0.05), and SCEs exposed to the SCE-cm (p < 0.01). Figure 5B shows that the protein coded by the IL-8 gene is clearly secreted at higher levels relative to controls in five out of the six conditions tested (p < 0.01). Figure 5C presents the same data as in figure 3A in order to compare the conductivity data with those for the IL-8 protein synthesis in figure 5B. Similar responses are apparent for five of the six conditions tested in the conductivity and protein synthesis experiments. Lasered TMEs are activated at the gene level to express the appropriate transcripts for a given cytokine, signals that lead to the synthesis of the corresponding mRNAs and, ultimately, to the synthesis and release of the chemokine IL-8. Importantly, these responses occur in a manner congruous with the conductivity increases observed in the various experimental conditions. By noting DEGs among the 298 representing all known cytokines and chemokines, we should be able to identify those cytokines that are potentially synthesised by irradiated TMEs.

Other ELISA experiments (data not shown) indicate that lasered TMEs release interleukin 1α (IL-1α), interleukin 1β (IL-1β), and tumour necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) into the medium. The cytokine release process occurs in a dose-response relation: increasing the power from 0.1 mJ to 1.0 mJ, while holding the number of laser shots constant, induces lasered TMEs to respond by releasing increasing quantities of TNF-α.

Conductivity response of SCEs by adding four cytokines

Adding IL-1α, IL-1β, TNF-α, and IL-8 directly to monolayers of SCEs, we examined the ability of these cytokines to increase SCE permeability. Figure 6 shows that an increase in conductivity relative to controls was measured in all of the four factors tested added at a given concentration. Dose-response curves will be obtained in future studies to compare the potency of each agent. However, inspection of this graph shows that the four factors induce substantial increases in the SCE conductivity. These data support the concept that the conductivity effects induced by the TME-cm when added to SCEs are likely mediated by media borne factors as postulated in our hypothesis.
DISCUSSION

Our experiments have provided substantial support for the hypothesis that TMEs release factors into the media, and that these factors upon binding to SCEs increase the permeability of the SCE barrier. SCEs form the last cellular barrier traversed by aqueous as it exits from the eye and enters into the venous circulation. As such the SCE barrier is strategically located to act as a “control” site, so that increasing the permeability of this barrier augments the egress of aqueous from the eye. TMEs, according to our findings, drive a mechanism controlling the SCE permeability by releasing vasoactive cytokines and other factors that have the capacity to increase the permeability of the SCE barrier. In fact, the addition of media conditioned by the irradiated TMEs to monolayers of untreated SCEs results in a 400% increase in SCE conductivity. The involvement of media borne factors secreted by TMEs has been addressed directly in several ways. In one set of experiments we demonstrated that in irradiated TMEs the gene for IL-8 is upregulated, and that the corresponding mRNAs undergo a congruous induction, resulting in the synthesis of the IL-8 protein. In another set of experiments, we demonstrated that three other cytokines are released into the media by TMEs as a function of the number of laser pulses applied and the power used. When each of these four cytokines is added individually to SCEs, the conductivity increased as we have postulated. The role of media factors is also supported by control studies showing that boiling, diluting, or using medium from untreated TMEs, abrogates the TME medium effects on SCE permeability.

Figure 5  Intensity plot depicting the mRNA responses (means [SD]) measured using quantitative PCR (Q-PCR) in the eight experimental and control preparations (A), and at the protein level measured using ELISA (B). These responses are correlated with those measured in conductivity assays (C). The mRNA responses are measured as the mean intensity log ratio (base 2). The ELISA measurements are expressed in pg/ml, and the conductivity studies units in μl/min/mm Hg/cm².

Figure 6  Plot showing changes in conductivity induced by the direct addition to SCE monolayers of 10 ng of IL1-α, 5 ng of IL-8, 15 ng of TNF-α, and 10 ng of IL-β. Mean (SD) are shown.
Our findings highlight the importance of the well differentiated endothelial cells of the trabecular meshwork and Schlemm's canal as a system in which to study cell-cell interactions. These interactions are complex and proceed in both directions, involving TME-SCE and SCE-TME relations, as well as mutual exchanges concerned with TME-TME and SCE-SCE associations. For example, we have learned that the responses to light irradiation treatment are specific for each cell type, with irradiated TMEs undergoing a DE of 1570 genes compared to irradiated SCEs, which undergo a DE of only 40 genes. Similarly, the responses to the addition of media conditioned by irradiated cells are specific to each cell type. Treatment by the addition of media conditioned by irradiated TMEs to either untreated TMEs or SCEs induces the DE of 829 and 1120 genes respectively (total of 1949). In comparison, medium conditioned by laser activated SCEs when added to either SCEs or TMEs induces only 328 and 12 DE genes (total of 340). Thus, there is at least a 500% greater induction of DE genes by TME conditioned medium compared to SCE conditioned medium. These differences are reflected as well by the conductivity increases induced by each cell type: medium conditioned by the lasered TMEs induces a 200% greater increase in conductivity compared to medium conditioned by the lasered SCEs when added to TMEs and SCEs.

The finding that cytokines released by TMEs regulate the permeability of the SCE barrier is novel. It is not readily apparent how this TME driven mechanism contributes to the two functions of the conventional aqueous outflow pathway facilitating aqueous outflow and preventing the reflux of blood. We propose that a mechanism exists for TMEs, which is essentially similar to that described in the introduction driving the formation of giant vacuoles by SCEs. The trabecular meshwork and the lining TMEs, as well as Schlemm’s canal and the lining SCEs, undergo deformation and stretching with changes in intraocular pressure.21 Stretching TMEs by mechanical means or by increasing the IOP elicits a wide variety of important biochemical responses.22-25 Assuming that TMEs have stretch receptors, the increased tension makes the trabecular beams and cords taut, thus triggering the stretch receptors to activate TMEs to release vasoactive factors that will increase flow across SCEs. When the IOP is less than the venous pressure, the beams and cords become flaccid, thus increasing the resistance presented by SCEs.

Such a tension sensitive mechanism does in fact exist, it could provide a biological basis for the action of miotics during glaucoma therapy. For example, miotics like pilocarpine, upon inducing contraction of the ciliary muscle, also increase tension along the trabecular meshwork beams and cords, which in turn activates the stretch receptor to turn on the TMEs. TMEs, under the influence of the miotic mediated increase in tension, would then release the factors required to increase SCE conductivity, and thus the egress of aqueous. We propose that the interaction of mechanical effects generating tension and biological mechanisms releasing vasoactive factors work together during the miotic mediated increase in aqueous outflow, and perhaps even during accommodation.

In primary open angle glaucoma (POAG), trabecular meshwork endothelial cells are markedly decreased relative to those of age matched normals.26-30 This progressive decline in cell density results in a loss of ~0.58% cells/year, which is most pronounced in the inner layers of the trabecular meshwork’s filtration zone. The inner trabecular cells may be prone to injury by free oxygen radicals carried in the aqueous outflow.29 When we first noticed the loss of TMEs in POAG, it was difficult to comprehend how this cell loss could have a negative impact on the facility of aqueous outflow and the pathogenesis of glaucoma,26 particularly in view of the generally held concept that the greatest resistance to aqueous outflow is presented by the SCEs.31 Recent evidence demonstrates that there is extensive oxidative DNA damage involving the trabecular cells of patients with POAG, affecting the filtration zone and inner trabecular meshwork layers.32 Other studies report that incorporating a specific type of myocilin mutant known to be present in vivo in certain types of open angle glaucoma into TMEs in vitro (that is, Pro370Leu) results in “killing” of cultured human TMEs.33 The demise of TMEs is the result of misfolding, aggregation, and build up of this protein in the endoplasmic reticulum of trabecular cells.34 Whether caused by oxidative DNA damage or abnormal processing of protein folding by TMEs in POAG, there is significant evidence that the normal population of trabecular meshwork cells is affected by dysfunction and death. In view of our findings, it is clear how such a loss of trabecular meshwork cells, by reducing the quantity of cytokines released by a diminished population of TMEs, could have a negative impact on the homeostasis of aqueous outflow. The reduced load of cytokines and other factors may not maintain the porosity of SCEs necessary to facilitate aqueous outflow and the IOP may rise to the abnormal levels characteristic of many patients with glaucoma.

Our results provide a new understanding of the mechanism of action of glaucoma laser therapy using the F-D N:Y laser, based on the activities of TMEs and SCEs.35 In view of the fact that lasering effects appear to be cell specific, we suggest a more prominent role for TMEs, which are most intensely activated by F-D N:Y laser treatment. The laser treatment is proposed to function by intensely activating the TMEs, which release cytokines that flow downstream and bind to SCEs. The SCEs, by undergoing a decrease in resistance, allow the more rapid egress of aqueous from the eye. It is important to recall that TMEs release matrix metalloproteinas, which by promoting fluid flow across the extracellular matrix, also participate in facilitating the overall rate of aqueous outflow.36 The interactions between TMEs and SCEs proceed in both directions, and involve relations within cells of a given type. Interactions between TMEs allow for these cells to release factors that would affect the TMEs lining the outermost aqueous channels, which must be crossed before aqueous can pass into the juxtacanalicular tissues. The paracellular route of the outer TMEs is more porous than that of TMEs in other regions. Perhaps this widening of the paracellular route of TMEs lining the outermost trabecular meshwork is related to the cumulative effects of cytokines released by the entire population of TMEs becoming most concentrated, and having the greatest effect in TMEs near the juxtacanalicular tissues. Although SCEs are less activated, factors released by these cells are particularly potent in promoting transendothelial flow across SCEs, as demonstrated by our experiments. We conclude that the use of the F-D Nd:Y laser, and the in vitro methods described, has allowed us to identify four cytokines released by lasered TMEs. Completion of this survey of the known 298 cytokines/chemokines is a realistic goal and such knowledge may enhance our future ability to manipulate aqueous outflow during glaucoma therapy.
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