Probing glaucoma visual damage by rarebit perimetry
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Aim: To compare rarebit perimetry (RBP) with standard achromatic perimetry (SAP) in detecting early glaucomatous functional damage.

Methods: 43 patients with ocular hypertension (OH), 39 with early primary open angle glaucoma (POAG), and 41 controls were considered. Visual fields were assessed using the Humphrey field analyser (HFA) 30-2 and RBP tests. Differences among the groups were evaluated using Student-Newman-Keuls and $\chi^2$ tests. Correlation between HFA and RBP parameters was assessed using the Pearson's correlation coefficients and regression analysis. Sensitivity and specificity of RBP in detecting early glaucomatous visual damage were calculated with different algorithms.

Results: RBP-mean hit rate (MHR) was respectively 88.6% (SD 4.8%) in controls; 79.1% (10.9%) in the OH group; 64.3% (13.8%) in the POAG group (differences statistically significant). Good correlation in the POAG group was found between HFA-mean deviation and RBP-MHR. Largest AROC (0.95) and optimal sensitivity (97.4%) were obtained when an abnormal RBP test was defined as having (at least 1): MHR $<80$%; $>15$ areas with a non-hit rate of $>10$%; $>2$ areas with a non-hit rate of $>50$%; at least one area with a non-hit rate of $>70$%.

Conclusions: The RBP appeared to be a rapid, comfortable, and easily available perimetric test (requiring only a PC device), showing a high sensitivity and specificity in detecting early glaucomatous visual field defects.

Glaucoma is one of the most common eye diseases, affecting about 2% of the population older than 50 years. It is characterised by progressive optic nerve damage and retinal ganglion cell loss. Perimetry is considered the fundamental method in the diagnosis and follow up of glaucoma.

Previous studies have demonstrated that the standard achromatic perimetry (SAP), considered as the gold standard in visual field (VF) examination, cannot detect damage until about 20–50% of the ganglion cells have been lost, and that the optic disc and retinal nerve fibre layer (RNFL) alterations, caused by ganglion cell axons loss, commonly occur before the perimetric defects.

In order to detect VF damage before it can be seen with SAP, other perimetric techniques have been developed including frequency doubling technology (FDT), motion perimetry, flicker perimetry, high pass resolution perimetry (HRP), and short wavelength automated perimetry (SWAP).

Rarebit perimetry (RBP) is a new perimetric method, developed by Frisén, which has given promising preliminary results in the early detection of VF damages in patients with neurological disorders. As far as we know, RBP use on glaucomatous patients has yet to be reported in literature.

In this consecutive comparative observational study, the SAP and RBP data of patients with ocular hypertension (OH) or early primary open angle glaucoma (POAG), and of controls, were compared and discussed.
Reliable criteria for HFA tests included false positive and false negative responses of <33% and fixation losses of <20%. Glaucomatous VF defects were classified using the Glaucoma Staging System, which classifies severity in five stages. Stages 0, 1, and 2 were considered, which included tests having a mean deviation (MD) of >-9.0 and a pattern standard deviation (PSD) of <8.0 dB.14

Optic disc and RNFL appearance were analysed by an expert ophthalmologist with slit lamp biomicroscopy using a +78D Volk lens, and classified utilising the European Glaucoma Society (EGS) guidelines (table 1).

All eyes having both an IOP >21 mm Hg and normal SAP results were evaluated with a scanning laser polarimeter having a variable corneal compensator (GDx-VCC) (Laser Diagnostic Technologies, Inc, San Diego, CA, USA). The GDx images were judged by an expert ophthalmologist based on the software provided parameters.

The patients were classified into three groups according to the EGS criteria:

(1) OH group (43 eyes): IOP >21 mm Hg; optic disc and RNFL appearance, and SAP results all normal;
(2) POAG group (39 eyes): IOP >21 mm Hg before medications, typically glaucomatous optic disc or RNFL changes, either with reproducible glaucomatous SAP VF defect (perimetric POAG, 33 eyes) or without (pre-perimetric POAG, six eyes);
(3) control group (41 eyes): IOP, optic disc and RNFL appearance and SAP results all normal.

The RBP procedure has already been described elsewhere. In brief, the RBP test is performed on any standard PC with a liquid crystal display; the software (in Microsoft Windows format) is available free of charge from the author.

The test stimulus is composed of two microdots, having a diameter set at half of the minimum angle of resolution (approximately 1/100 the size of the SAP stimulus), separated by 4° of the visual angle and simultaneously exposed for 200 ms. Paired dots appear on the screen at random positions within a 5° circular diameter area centred on each of four central and 26 peripheral test locations. A total of 30 areas, having a mean deviation (MD) of 0.2 stages. Stages 0, 1, and 2 were considered, which included tests having a mean deviation (MD) of >-9.0 and a pattern standard deviation (PSD) of <8.0 dB.14

presentation (0, 1, or 2) by not clicking, clicking, or double clicking a mouse button, and to refrain from gazing at eccentric stimuli.

The test was first performed at 0.5 metres of distance, to test the 26 peripheral areas, and repeated at a distance of 1 metre, for the four central locations.

Total refractive error and proper test distance were corrected with the spherical equivalent. The RBP results are provided as a hit rate, expressing the total number of dots seen divided by the total number of dots shown, calculated as a percentage. The printout provides a mean hit rate (MHR), which is calculated over all the tested locations (except for the one closest to the blind spot). 30 non-hit rate values, plotted separately for each of the tested areas, are also provided.

The error statistic value represents the sum of the responses to control presentations, and it should come close to 0. Reliability of RBP testing was defined as an error statistic value of <2. Left eye results were converted in a right eye format for the results analysis.

The following parameters were considered:

- for the HFA test: MD, PSD, testing time;
- for the RBP test: MHR, number of tested areas with a non-hit rate of 10 groups of misses (one group for each 10% miss level), testing time, percentage of eyes having the following patterns of distribution of missed hit rates:

Table 1 Glaucomatous characteristics of optic disc and retinal nerve fibre layer (RNFL) as stated by the European Glaucoma Society (at least 1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-hit rate miss level (%)</th>
<th>1-10</th>
<th>11-20</th>
<th>21-30</th>
<th>31-40</th>
<th>41-50</th>
<th>51-60</th>
<th>61-70</th>
<th>71-80</th>
<th>81-90</th>
<th>91-100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POAG</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1 Representation of size and distribution of the 30 test areas in rarebit perimetry with the corresponding test points used in the Humphrey field analyser program 30-2.
RESULTS

The control subjects were significantly younger than patients with both OH and POAG (Kruskal-Wallis test, p<0.02, table 2).

The average HFA-MD and HFA-PSD values were significantly higher in the POAG than in control and OH eyes (S-N-K test, p<0.01, table 2). The mean RBP-MHR of control, OH, and POAG groups was respectively 88.6% (SD 4.8%) (range 78–98%), 79.1% (10.9%) (range 44–95%), and 64.3% (13.8%) (range 37–96%) (and 71.2% (13.8%), range 44–95%, and 64.3% (13.8%) (range 37–96%) (and 71.2% (13.8%)), in the pre-perimetric-POAG subgroup), with statistically significant differences among the groups (S-N-K test, p<0.01). Significant reduction of the RBP-MHR was observed as age increased in controls, with a mean age decline of 0.15% per year.

As shown in figure 2, in individual cases one or more misses >10% occurred on average in 9.0 (4.2) tested areas (range 1–21) in controls; 14.2 (5.5) areas (range 2–29) in the OH group; and 21.2 (5.7) areas (range 3–29) in the POAG group (19.8 (5.2), range 14–28, in the pre-perimetric POAG patients). Differences among the groups were statistically significant (S-N-K test, p<0.01).

Areas with a non-hit rate between 11% and 30% were equally distributed within the groups; areas with a non-hit rate between 31% and 60% were significantly more frequent in the POAG group; areas with a non-hit rate of >60% appeared significantly less in the controls and more in the POAG group (S-N-K test, p<0.01). The percentage of eyes having at least one of the patterns of distribution of missed hit rates were the lowest in the controls (9.8%), intermediate in the OH (48.8%), and the highest in the POAG eyes (84.6%), showing significant differences among the groups for all of the pattern types (χ² test, p<0.01, fig 3).

Areas with a non-hit rate between 11% and 30% were equally distributed within the groups; areas with a non-hit rate between 31% and 60% were significantly more frequent in the POAG group; areas with a non-hit rate of >60% appeared significantly less in the controls and more in the POAG group (S-N-K test, p<0.01).

The percentage of eyes having at least one of the patterns of distribution of missed hit rates were the lowest in the controls (9.8%), intermediate in the OH (48.8%), and the highest in the POAG eyes (84.6%), showing significant differences among the groups for all of the pattern types (χ² test, p<0.01, fig 3).

Significant correlation was found between HFA-MD and RBP-MHR in the POAG groups (Pearson’s r correlation coefficient of 0.38, p<0.02). The scatter plot comparing the HFA-MD with the RBP-MHR for each eye of the POAG group, with an adapted regression curve, showed a trend towards a greater abnormality in the HFA-MD as RBP-MHR decreased, and a tendency of obtaining higher measured values using RBP (fig 4).

As listed in table 3, the Se of the RBP using the different algorithms ranged from 82.1% to 97.4%. Sp ranged from 90.2% to 100%. The AROC values ranged from 0.89 to 0.95 (differences not statistically significant, Hanley and McNeil method).

---

Table 2 Patient demographics and Humphrey field analyser (HFA) data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>M/F</th>
<th>MD (Mean (SD))</th>
<th>PSD (Mean (SD))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Controls (41)</td>
<td>54 (9.0) (range 35–72)</td>
<td>13/28</td>
<td>-0.4 (1.2)</td>
<td>1.6 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH (43)</td>
<td>61 (8.1) (range 36–72)</td>
<td>15/28</td>
<td>-0.8 (1.2)</td>
<td>1.6 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POAG (39)</td>
<td>61 (10.1) (range 36–72)</td>
<td>19/20</td>
<td>-2.0 (1.6)</td>
<td>3.1 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OH, ocular hypertension; POAG, primary open angle glaucoma; SD, standard deviation; MD, mean deviation; PSD, pattern standard deviation.
Optimal Sp was found when the presence of “at least one area with a non-hit rate of ≥70%” was used as cut-off criterion.

Largest AROC and optimal Se were obtained when RBP tests were defined as abnormal if having at least one of the following: MHR <80%; >15 areas with a non-hit rate of >10%; ≥2 areas with a non-hit rate of >50%; at least one area with a non-hit rate of >70%.

The percentage of abnormal eyes tested with RBP in the OH group ranged from 44.2 to 65.1%. The average RBP testing time was slightly, but not significantly, less (405 (49.3) seconds/patient) than SAP testing time (416 (54.8) seconds/patient).

DISCUSSION

The detection of early glaucomatous VF damage is of great clinical interest. Many new perimetric techniques have been developed with this purpose, and one of the newest being the RBP. RBP utilises a spatially and temporally minimised test stimulus in order to avoid the simultaneous stimulation of many retinal receptive fields, with the risk of underestimating a defect.

The purpose of the RBP is to calculate the percentage of the visual system integrity by analysing the proportion of observed responses to microdots presentations. The basic concept that lies behind the RBP is that all normal eyes, although differing in the total number of ganglion cells, have a complete neuroretinal architecture, permitting the detection of pairs of dots of opportune size, contrast and separation, everywhere in the VF. A depleted neural matrix may give rise to the detection of just one or none of the target dots. Some misses can be expected on physiological grounds (the blind spot, angioscotomata, age related neuroretinal architecture depletion, blinks, attention lapses).

In the present study, differences in MHR and non-hit rates within control, OH, and POAG groups were evaluated in order to establish if these parameters could effectively reflect the functional integrity of the retinal ganglion cells; the pattern of distribution of areas with high non-hit rate was also investigated to find an abnormality criterion for RBP testing. The results obtained by our controls (mean RBP-MHR of 88.61% (4.8%), with a decline with age averaging 0.15%/year; one or more misses occurred in a mean of 9.0 (4.2) test areas (fig 3)), were slightly worse than those reported by Frisen in a cohort of slightly younger patients. The RBP-MHR progressively significantly decreased from the controls to the POAG group. Our controls were significantly younger than the glaucomatous patients. We believe, however, that this difference would not practically affect our results, since the age related reduction of RBP-MHR was very small compared to the decrease induced by glaucoma.

The number and magnitude of missed hit rates appeared significantly lower in the controls and higher in the POAG group (fig 2). Adjacent areas having a high non-hit rate were more frequently observed in the OH and POAG groups rather than in the controls (fig 3). These results are interesting because our POAG group comprised only patients having either an early or pre-perimetric POAG.

The high number of abnormal RBP tests found in the OH (table 3) and in pre-perimetric POAG eyes, coupled with the tendency of observed higher values using RBP as opposed to SAP (fig 4), strongly suggests that RBP may depict a more advanced stage of glaucoma, improving the detection of low degrees of damage. This advantage in RBP can be attributed to various causes: the simplification of the target content; the replacement of the threshold procedure with a probe of the percentage of the visual system integrity; the possible lower inter-individual variability in the test results.

The good correlation between HFA-MD and RBP-MHR in the glaucomatous eyes (fig 4) suggests that both values are probably related to the number of functioning retinal ganglion cells, and that counting the misses on the RBP test may determine the presence and severity of glaucoma.

Our best AROC value, of 0.95, showing a Se and Sp respectively of 97.4% and 92.7% (table 3), indicates that the ability of RBP in differentiating between normal and early POAG is comparable, if not better, than that obtained by other non-conventional perimetry: in a cohort of mild to moderate glaucomatous patients, FDT showed a Se and Sp respectively of 93% and 100%; the HRP performances were respectively 82.5% and 85%; and decreased to 61% and 90% with SWAP.

Our patients did not experience any problem in performing RBP, except for the advanced elderly, who were not familiar with the PC mouse; moreover, the RBP test appeared slightly faster than the HFA 30-2 SITA test. In conclusion, RBP appeared to be a rapid and easily administered perimetric test, able to detect early glaucomatous VF defects. With some improvements (a more accurate screen calibration, a designed patient head rest, a better fixation control), we think that RBP may become useful in both the screening and assessment of glaucoma and other neuro-ophthalmological pathologies, providing a quantitative VF testing method which is easily available in every medical office (since it only requires a PC), even when ordinary perimetry is impracticable—for example, at the bedside.
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