28 000 Cases of age related macular degeneration causing visual loss in people aged 75 years and above in the United Kingdom may be attributable to smoking
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Background: Age related macular degeneration (AMD) causing visual impairment is common in older people. Previous studies have identified smoking as a risk factor for AMD. However, there is limited information for the older population in Britain.

Methods: Population based cross sectional analytical study based in 49 practices selected to be representative of the population of Britain. Cases were people aged 75 years and above who were visually impaired (binocular acuity <6/18) as a result of AMD. Controls were people with normal vision (6/6 or better). Smoking history was ascertained using an interviewer administered questionnaire.

Results: After controlling for potentially confounding factors, current smokers were twice as likely to have AMD compared to non-smokers (odds ratio 2.15, 95% CI 1.42 to 3.26). Ex-smokers were at intermediate risk (odds ratio 1.13, 0.86 to 1.47). People who stopped smoking more than 20 years previously were not at increased risk of AMD causing visual loss. Approximately 28 000 cases of AMD in older people in the United Kingdom may be attributable to smoking.

Conclusion: This is the largest study of the association of smoking and AMD in the British population. Smoking is associated with a twofold increased risk of developing AMD. An increased risk of AMD, which is the most commonly occurring cause of blindness in the United Kingdom, is yet another reason for people to stop smoking and governments to develop public health campaigns against this hazard.
causes and people not visually impaired. The second option considered was to compare people visually impaired due to AMD with people with good vision (that is, visual acuity of 6/6 or better).

The signs and symptoms of AMD form a continuous spectrum. Dichotomising the disease, as in many other conditions, is essentially arbitrary. In this study, relatively severe AMD cases were selected because a cut-off point of visual acuity worse than 6/18 was used to identify them. It is likely that a small proportion of people with vision worse than 6/6 and better than, or equal to, 6/18 will have AMD and a larger proportion will have early age related maculopathy (ARM)—that is, drusen and pigmentary changes putting them at increased risk of developing AMD. For this reason, in order to minimise the number of controls who have AMD or ARM, a control group of people with good vision—that is, binocular visual acuity of 6/6 or better, was selected.

Smoking history was ascertained using an interviewer administered questionnaire. The questions used came from the Whitehall study. Participants were asked whether they smoked currently. For people who responded no, they were asked whether they had ever smoked cigarettes. Age smoking started and stopped was also elicited and the number of cigarettes (ounces (g) tobacco) smoked a day. One ounce (28 g) of tobacco was assumed to correspond to 30 cigarettes.

The following variables were created:

- Smoking status 1 = never smoked 2 = ex-smoker 3 = current smoker.
- Pack years were calculated from the number of years participants had smoked, multiplied by the usual daily cigarette equivalent intake, and divided by 20. This gives a measure of the lifetime exposure dose received.
- The number of years since stopping smoking was calculated from the current age minus the age stopped smoking. People who were still smoking had a value of 0; people who had never smoked were excluded from this variable.

The following confounding factors were considered because they have been reported in other studies as putative risk factors for AMD: socioeconomic status, alcohol consumption, cardiovascular disease and its risk factors. Data were not available on antioxidant micronutrient intake, exposure to light (visible or ultraviolet), and family history of AMD.

All regression analyses took account of the extra variation introduced by the cluster design of the study using the “svy” commands in Stata version 8.0 (Stata Corporation, TX, USA). “Svy” commands calculate robust standard errors using the “linearisation” variance estimator (based on a first order Taylor series linear approximation).

RESULTS

Controls were younger and less likely to be women (table 1; 9.0% of the study population were current smokers. Smoking decreased with increasing age (p<0.001) and was higher in men (11.2%) than women (7.3%) (p<0.001). Table 2 shows the association between current smoking and risk of AMD causing visual impairment by age.

After controlling for potentially confounding factors, current smokers were twice as likely to be a case compared to non-smokers (odds ratio 2.15, 95% CI 1.42 to 3.26) (table 3). There was little or no association for ex-smokers (odds ratio 1.13, 0.86 to 1.47). There was an increased chance of AMD causing visual loss with increasing pack years of smoking, however, this increase was not seen consistently after controlling for confounding factors.

There was an increased association of AMD with decreasing years since stopping smoking (test for linear trend p<0.001). People who stopped smoking more than 20 years previously did not have increased odds of AMD causing visual loss. There was a moderately increased odds ratio for AMD for people who stopped smoking 10–20 years ago. The adjusted odds ratio comparing people who had stopped smoking 10–<20 years ago with those who had stopped smoking 20 years ago or more or who had never smoked was 1.46 (95% CI 1.03 to 2.07). Comparing people who were current smokers, or who had stopped smoking less than 10 years ago, with people who had stopped smoking 20 years ago or more, or who had never smoked, gave an adjusted odds ratio of 2.29 (95% CI 1.69 to 3.10).

Based on current estimates of the number of people with AMD causing visual loss in the United Kingdom, this equates to approximately 28 000 people (95% CI 17 000 to 40 000) of the study population were current smokers. Smoking decreased with increasing age (p<0.001) and was higher in men (11.2%) than women (7.3%) (p<0.001). Table 2 shows the association between current smoking and risk of AMD causing visual impairment by age.

After controlling for potentially confounding factors, current smokers were twice as likely to be a case compared to non-smokers (odds ratio 2.15, 95% CI 1.42 to 3.26) (table 3). There was little or no association for ex-smokers (odds ratio 1.13, 0.86 to 1.47). There was an increased chance of AMD causing visual loss with increasing pack years of smoking, however, this increase was not seen consistently after controlling for confounding factors.

There was an increased association of AMD with decreasing years since stopping smoking (test for linear trend p<0.001). People who stopped smoking more than 20 years previously did not have increased odds of AMD causing visual loss. There was a moderately increased odds ratio for AMD for people who stopped smoking 10–20 years ago. The adjusted odds ratio comparing people who had stopped smoking 10–<20 years ago with those who had stopped smoking 20 years ago or more or who had never smoked was 1.46 (95% CI 1.03 to 2.07). Comparing people who were current smokers, or who had stopped smoking less than 10 years ago, with people who had stopped smoking 20 years ago or more, or who had never smoked, gave an adjusted odds ratio of 2.29 (95% CI 1.69 to 3.10).

Based on current estimates of the number of people with AMD causing visual loss in the United Kingdom, this equates to approximately 28 000 people (95% CI 17 000 to 40 000).
older rather than 70 years plus). In addition, our age range was more restricted (75 years and older) compared to conservative estimates of the population burden of AMD. In 2002, smoking was associated with a twofold increased risk (twofold instead of threefold) and we used more conservative estimates of the population burden of AMD.6 12–20 Smoking is associated with a twofold increased risk of AMD, which is the most commonly occurring cause of blindness in the United Kingdom, is yet another reason for people to stop smoking and governments to develop public health campaigns against this hazard.

### DISCUSSION

This is the largest study of the association of smoking and AMD in the British population and one of the largest reported to date internationally. The results fit in remarkably well with results seen in studies from other countries with different designs, ages studied, and methods of ascertainment of AMD.6 12–20 Smoking is associated with a twofold increased risk of developing AMD. The benefit for stopping smoking is seen after 10 years with reductions in risk although risks do not return to that of never smokers till 20 years after stopping smoking. The result for smoking is also consistent with the results seen in studies from other countries with different reporting heart attack or stroke or angina as assessed by Rose questionnaire), hypertension (reported high blood pressure or current systolic<160 mm Hg or current diastolic<90 mm Hg).

We estimate that approximately 28,000 cases of AMD in older people in the United Kingdom may be attributable to smoking. This is lower than estimates published in a recent editorial (54,000).23 Our study provided a lower estimate of the proportion exposed among cases.

In contrast with other studies, we did not find a consistent dose-response relation with pack years of smoking. This may be the result of the difficulty of remembering and assessing smoking exposure over a lifetime in this elderly population.

The current study was limited by not having information on antioxidant intake. One alternative explanation is that smokers eat less fruit and vegetables and thereby increase their risk of AMD. However, other studies have been able to adjust for plasma levels of antioxidant micronutrients and have reported similar size effects for smoking.24

An increased risk of AMD, which is the most commonly occurring cause of blindness in the United Kingdom, is yet another reason for people to stop smoking and governments to develop public health campaigns against this hazard.
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### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factor</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Odds ratio adjusted for age and sex</th>
<th>Odds ratio adjusted for confounders</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>Wald test</td>
<td>OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never smoked</td>
<td>1698</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>1.13 0.88 to 1.44 0.382 1.13 0.86 to 1.47 0.376</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex-smokers</td>
<td>2251</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>2.33 1.61 to 3.77 &lt;0.001 2.15 1.42 to 3.26 &lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.41 0.96 to 2.05 0.757 1.24 0.80 to 1.93 0.336</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never smoked</td>
<td>1698</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;20 pack years</td>
<td>1286</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>1.18 0.90 to 1.55 0.214 1.17 0.86 to 1.58 0.303</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–40 pack years</td>
<td>619</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>1.31 0.90 to 1.92 0.160 1.37 0.93 to 2.01 0.108</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40+ pack years</td>
<td>627</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>1.41 0.96 to 2.05 0.757 1.24 0.80 to 1.93 0.336</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never smoked</td>
<td>1698</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stopped 20+ years</td>
<td>1588</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>0.89 0.69 to 1.17 0.399 0.86 0.65 to 1.14 0.295</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stopped 15–20 years</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.33 0.85 to 1.29 0.213 1.23 0.82 to 1.48 0.313</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stopped 10–15 years</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.42 0.87 to 2.34 0.160 1.41 0.80 to 2.48 0.233</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stopped 5–10 years</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2.39 1.29 to 5.00 0.015 2.46 1.28 to 4.72 0.008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stopped &lt;5 years</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2.54 1.55 to 3.20 0.008 2.24 1.04 to 4.96 0.047</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.37 1.63 to 3.45 &lt;0.001 2.02 1.36 to 2.99 &lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data from 4364 controls and 516 cases in the 49 practices taking part in the vision add-on study in the MRC Trial of the Assessment and Management of Older People in the Community. Confidence intervals adjusted for clustered design of the study. Confounders: age (75–79, 80–84, 85–89, and 90+), sex, housing (owner, non-owner, sheltered), body mass index (quintiles), alcohol consumption (never, ex, current below median, current above median), cardiovascular disease, hypertension (reported high blood pressure or current systolic<160 mm Hg or current diastolic<90 mm Hg).
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