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Distribution of intraocular pressure in healthy Iranian individuals: the Tehran Eye Study
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Aim: To provide data on the distribution of intraocular pressure (IOP) in an Iranian population.

Methods: Through a population based, cross sectional study, 4565 Tehran citizens were studied in the Tehran Eye Study. The findings from the participants (n = 3834) aged 10 years and older free of glaucoma diagnosis or suspicion who had undergone applanation tonometry examination are presented. All participants received a standardised protocol including applanation tonometry, fundus examination, demographic data, and an interview. IOP measurement was used to evaluate its distribution by age, sex, and some eye parameters.

Results: Mean (SD) IOP was 14.5 (2.6) mm Hg in the total population, 14.4 (2.7) in men, and 14.5 (2.5) in women. Mean (SD) IOP in people ≥40 years was 15.1 (2.9) mm Hg. IOP increased significantly with age and cup/disc ratio except for a fall in old age. This relation was also observed when individuals without diabetes or hypertension history were analysed. IOP increased with darker eye pigmentation except for blue/grey eyes. There was a non-linear increase in IOP from emmetropic to high myopic eyes. Conclusion: Age, iris colour, and cup:disc ratio were related to IOP but not to sex. The distribution of IOP in this study was different from various other studies in different geographical regions and it seems advisable to employ a geographical approach to normal IOP interpretation.

Intraocular pressure (IOP) is known to be a glaucoma risk factor. Most published studies on the association between glaucoma and IOP with risk factors have focused on European and American populations and a few on south east Asians. The results show a clear association of IOP and glaucoma prevalence with ethnicity. Furthermore, studies on similar ethnic populations have not given consistent results. For example, mean IOP in a Japanese survey was reported to be 13.3 mm Hg for normal people aged over 40 years while in a Korean study despite enrolling younger people (over 20 years) mean IOP was reported to be 15.5 mm Hg. With respect to the differences in IOP assessments in different ethnic populations, and considering IOP as a traditional still in-use screening means of glaucoma detection, it would be necessary to implement studies on IOP distribution of different populations to determine its normal range and distribution.

Determining IOP distribution in different ethnic populations and factors associated with it can also be helpful in understanding the difference in glaucoma prevalence and its associated factors in different areas of the world. Many factors have been reported to influence IOP. Age, sex, iris colour, diabetes, and blood pressure are the most frequent reported factors, but the results have been inconsistent.

To our knowledge, there have been few studies on the assessment of IOP in a central Asian or Middle Eastern population. This study evaluates IOP distribution in an Iranian population.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Participants’ enrolment
The Tehran Eye Study (TES) is a population based cross sectional study. Detailed descriptions of the methods of this study have been published previously and are summarised here. The sampling strategy of the study followed a stratified cluster sampling procedure with proportional allocation within strata. The survey target population comprised non-institutionalised urban citizens of all ages, who resided in Tehran city in 2002.

Eye examination protocol
The standardised protocol for all participants in the study included an interview, height and weight measurement, and an extensive eye examination. The standardised interview obtained demographic details, medical and family history, and information about eye diseases risk factors.

Refraction was measured by optometrists for all participants using a Topcon automated refractometer (Topcon KR 8000, Topcon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan), according to the instruction manual. For practical purposes of this article myopia and hyperopia were defined as spherical equivalents of less than −0.5 dioptre and more than 0.5 dioptre. Low, moderate, and high hyperopia was defined as spherical equivalents more than 0.5 dioptres, more than 3 dioptres, and more than 5 dioptres. A similar definition was used for myopia. Iris colour was determined by viewing the participant’s iris with the pupil undilated using a penlight. The colour was compared to a colour standard developed on the basis of the standards used in the Beaver Dam Eye Study. IOP was measured using a Goldmann applanation tonometer. A drop of tetracaine was instilled in each eye of the participant and tear was stained with fluorescein. The tonometer was swung into place on the slit lamp, the blue filter put into place, and the beam width opened to its fullest height. The beam angle was about 45–60 degrees to the side of the tonometer and illuminated the end of the prism head. If IOP was <30 mm Hg, it was rechecked after dilatation. If IOP was >40 mm Hg, the ophthalmologist prescribed appropriate treatment for the participant and informed him/her about the disease. Then all participants underwent a retinal examination first using direct ophthalmoscopy followed by indirect ophthalmoscopy. The retina was examined systematically to ensure that no lesions are missed. The examiner
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inspected the optic disc assessing disc size, colour, vascularity, and degree of cupping.

In order to perform analysis on healthy eyes with no suspicion of or evidence of glaucoma, participants with a history of self reported glaucoma, glaucomatous eye surgery, people who were using glaucoma medication in either eye, those with cup:disc ratio more than 5, or cup:disc asymmetry more than 2 were excluded from analysis.

The research and ethics committee of the Noor Vision Correction Centre and ethics committee of the National Research Centre for Medical Sciences approved the study. All subjects included in this study were informed about the project and the procedures in their native language before being enrolled. The participants’ agreement for examination was obtained verbally.

RESULTS

Between August and December 2002, 4565 of the 6497 eligible individuals in the sample completed the interview and participated in ophthalmic examinations (a participation rate of 70.3%). Applying criteria to healthy people, mentioned in the methods, excluded 41 individuals from study. Furthermore, as IOP measurement was difficult in young children and this group had mostly high missing rates on IOP measurements, we have presented data on individuals over 10 years. This resulted in the additional exclusion of 555 children from analysis. IOP data were not measured in 136 participants. Data on the remaining 3833 people are presented. Mean (SD) age was 33.6 (17.3) years and 2267 were women (59.1%). Diabetes, high blood pressure, and heart attack history were present in 183 (4.8%), 259 (6.8%), and 140 (3.7%) individuals, respectively. In all, 118 participants (3.1%) smoked cigars or pipes and 390 (10.2%) were current cigarette smokers. IOP distribution in right and left eyes is shown in figure 1. IOP >21 mm Hg was observed in 0.3% and 0.4% of people in their right and left eyes respectively. There was no statistically significant difference between mean IOP of right and left eyes (14.47 mm Hg and 14.48 mm Hg, respectively, p value >0.05). The Pearson correlation coefficient for right and left eye measurements was 0.85 (p value <0.001). As there was no significant difference between right and left eye measurements, data in the following sections are based on right eye measurements.

Table 1 Age and sex specific distribution of IOP (mm Hg) in all healthy Tehran Eye Study participants (n = 3833) and in healthy individuals without history of diabetes or hypertension (n = 3457)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group (years)</th>
<th>Women Mean (SD) [n]</th>
<th>Men Mean (SD) [n]</th>
<th>All Mean (SD) [n]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All healthy individuals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–19 9</td>
<td>14.1 (2.2) [566]</td>
<td>13.6 (2.2) [503]</td>
<td>13.9 (2.2) [1069]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–29 9</td>
<td>14.1 (2.1) [490]</td>
<td>14.1 (2.5) [236]</td>
<td>14.1 (2.2) [726]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–39 9</td>
<td>14.6 (2.3) [413]</td>
<td>14.4 (2.5) [246]</td>
<td>14.6 (2.4) [659]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–49 9</td>
<td>15.1 (2.0) [398]</td>
<td>15.1 (2.7) [212]</td>
<td>15.1 (2.7) [610]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–59 9</td>
<td>15.0 (3.1) [233]</td>
<td>14.8 (3.1) [175]</td>
<td>14.9 (3.1) [408]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–69 9</td>
<td>15.4 (2.9) [102]</td>
<td>15.5 (3.3) [112]</td>
<td>15.5 (3.1) [214]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; = 70</td>
<td>14.9 (3.2) [65]</td>
<td>15.3 (2.7) [82]</td>
<td>15.1 (2.9) [147]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>14.5 (2.5) [2267]</td>
<td>14.4 (2.7) [1566]</td>
<td>14.5 (2.6) [3833]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy individuals without diabetes or hypertension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–19 9</td>
<td>14.1 (2.2) [562]</td>
<td>13.6 (2.2) [501]</td>
<td>13.9 (2.2) [1063]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–29 9</td>
<td>14.0 (2.1) [487]</td>
<td>14.1 (2.5) [234]</td>
<td>14.1 (2.3) [721]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–39 9</td>
<td>14.6 (2.3) [393]</td>
<td>14.4 (2.5) [236]</td>
<td>14.5 (2.4) [629]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–49 9</td>
<td>15.2 (2.0) [334]</td>
<td>14.9 (2.8) [190]</td>
<td>15.1 (2.7) [524]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–59 9</td>
<td>14.7 (2.8) [172]</td>
<td>14.8 (3.0) [142]</td>
<td>14.8 (2.9) [314]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–69 9</td>
<td>15.1 (2.6) [46]</td>
<td>15.1 (3.1) [71]</td>
<td>15.1 (2.9) [117]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; = 70</td>
<td>14.2 (3.1) [26]</td>
<td>15.4 (2.7) [63]</td>
<td>15.1 (2.9) [89]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>14.4 (2.4) [2020]</td>
<td>14.3 (2.6) [1437]</td>
<td>14.4 (2.5) [3457]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of myopia and hyperopia separately in men and women. As iris colour and eye spherical equivalents were both related to IOP and hypertension or diabetes, the figures were restricted to people without diabetes or hypertension. Table 2 represents IOP data with respect to increasing values of cup to disc ratio in people older than 50 years and in younger individuals.

DISCUSSION
The role of IOP and its relation to glaucoma has been the focus of scientific inquiry during past decades. While glaucoma definition now relies more on structural and functional damage, IOP is still in use as a simple, accessible, and inexpensive method for suspects’ referral by general practitioners. Many studies have documented increasing frequency of glaucoma cases or glaucomatous visual field defects with increasing IOP values and especially values over 20–23 mm Hg; however, a recent study on Hispanic subjects has attributed this relation as weak and specific to IOP over 30 mm Hg.

IOP distribution
IOP distribution in left and right eyes has been shown in figure 1. It is like the well known Gaussian-like distribution with little right skewness found in other studies. Some researchers have proposed that IOP has a normal distribution up to the age of 20–30 years and then is skewed because of the small number of people who will develop high IOP. According to our study, the standard deviation of IOP distribution and its skewness changes slightly from 10–19 years up to 60–69 years (data not shown). In fact, IOP values in ages over 60 were associated with least skewness. The previous observation might be a consequence of adding definite or suspected glaucoma cases to normal people.

Mean and normal range of IOP
Table 3 summarises results of different studies on IOP in various geographic areas and racial groups. There is substantial discrepancy between different studies and even when these studies were performed on populations within similar geographic locations or racial groups. For example, studies by Lee et al and Shiose et al performed on Korean and Japanese populations present considerable variations in their results in terms of mean IOP values and IOP associations. The different prevalences of high IOP/glaucoma risk factors may be an explanation that needs proper reports of their frequency, which is lacking in most of the literature.

If we use the conventional approach of mean (+2 SD) in defining the upper limit of normal IOP; then according to the study by Shiose et al, this cut off would be 19.64 mm Hg, while according to the Baltimore Eye Study it would be 23.87 mm Hg (or 24.36 mm Hg for a black population). In our study, the upper limit of normal IOP was 19.7 mm Hg for people >10 years and 20.8 mm Hg for people >40 years.

Given the fact that IOP distribution is different in different races and residents of different locations then we propose a geographical or racial approach for defining the normal IOP range.

IOP and age
In this study, increasing age was associated with increasing IOP values in males and females, both in total population and in people without diabetes or hypertension.
Most European and American studies have reported a positive association of age with IOP,\textsuperscript{6, 8, 13, 20, 25} In some, this relation was not significant.\textsuperscript{11, 12, 27, 31} and recently an Australian study,\textsuperscript{10} have reported a negative association. In their article, Shiose\textsuperscript{15} in the article by Shiose\textsuperscript{et al} proposed two reasons to account for such an observation: (1) IOP increases with age longitudinally, and (2) even in European and American studies IOP is constant in most people and IOP decreases with age. Our study data suggest that IOP distribution skewness does not increase with age; however, there is a trend of increasing standard deviation with age\textsuperscript{11} (table 2). In fact, ages over 60 were associated with lower skewness. A longitudinal decrease of IOP with age indicated in the article by Shiose\textsuperscript{et al} is from two longitudinal studies on IOP values in patients with ocular hypertension and glaucoma without treatment. Decreasing mean IOP over time is expected according to the rule of regression towards mean and cannot be attributed to an IOP decrease with age. It can also be assumed to be a cohort effect, but further studies are needed to evaluate the latter theory. A recent longitudinal study by Hennis\textsuperscript{et al},\textsuperscript{7} on 2996 healthy people, reported a 2.5 mm Hg increase in mean IOP during 4 years of follow up, which favours the theory of an IOP increase with age.

In contrast with some European and American studies that attributed age related IOP increase to ages over 40 years,\textsuperscript{25, 28} the results of this study show that an IOP increase with age is evident from adolescence. This finding is compatible with results of other studies.\textsuperscript{32, 33} In our study, mean IOP increase from 10–19 years to 30–39 years is 0.8 mm Hg, while the increase from 30–39 years to 50–59 years is only 0.3 mm Hg.

### Table 3: Distribution of IOP and percentage of ocular hypertension in different studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Country, year</th>
<th>Setting</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Measurement tool</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>IOP (mean (SD))</th>
<th>OH (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shiose\textsuperscript{11}</td>
<td>Japan, 1988–9</td>
<td>Population based</td>
<td>&gt;40</td>
<td>NCT\textsuperscript{†}</td>
<td>M/F</td>
<td>13.1 (3.0); 13.4 (3.0); 13.3 (3.0)</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weih\textsuperscript{15–40}</td>
<td>Australia, 1992–6</td>
<td>Population based, cross sectional</td>
<td>&gt;40</td>
<td>Tono-Pen [+ Goldmann]</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>14.5 (3.1)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egna-Neumarkt\textsuperscript{8}</td>
<td>Italy, NR</td>
<td>Cross sectional, epidemiological</td>
<td>&gt;40</td>
<td>Goldmann</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>14.7 (3.5)</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee\textsuperscript{12}</td>
<td>Korea, 1997–2000</td>
<td>Healthy people examined at a health centre</td>
<td>20–84</td>
<td>NCT</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>16.1 (3.2)</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue Mountains\textsuperscript{9}</td>
<td>Australia, 1992–4</td>
<td>Population based</td>
<td>49–97</td>
<td>Goldmann</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>15.1 (2.9)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltimore\textsuperscript{5}</td>
<td>US, 1985–8</td>
<td>Population based</td>
<td>&gt;40</td>
<td>Goldmann</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>16.1 (2.9); 17.2 (3.3)</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotterdam\textsuperscript{26}</td>
<td>Netherlands, 1991–3</td>
<td>Population cohort</td>
<td>&gt;55</td>
<td>Goldmann</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>14.6 (NR); 15.3 (3.4); 15.5 (3.3)</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beaver Dam\textsuperscript{29}</td>
<td>US, NR</td>
<td>Population based</td>
<td>43–84</td>
<td>Goldmann</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>14.6 (NR); 15.3 (3.2); 15.3 (3.3)</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbados\textsuperscript{13}</td>
<td>Barbados, 1988–92</td>
<td>Population based</td>
<td>40–84</td>
<td>Goldmann</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>17.6 (3.4); 18.0 (3.6); 17.8 (3.5)</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wallace\textsuperscript{15}</td>
<td>Jamaica, 1965</td>
<td>Epidemiological study</td>
<td>35–74</td>
<td>Goldmann</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>16.8 (2.8); 16.5 (2.9); 18.1 (4.6)</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratchford\textsuperscript{24}</td>
<td>South Africa, NR</td>
<td>Population based, cross sectional</td>
<td>&gt;40</td>
<td>Goldmann + Tono-280/725</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>13.9 (3.4)</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Framingham\textsuperscript{29}</td>
<td>US, 1973–5</td>
<td>Population cohort</td>
<td>52–85</td>
<td>Goldmann</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>17.0 (4.0); 17.1 (4.1)</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TES Iran, 2002</td>
<td>Population based, cross sectional</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>Goldmann</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>14.4 (2.7); 14.5 (2.5); 14.5 (2.6)</td>
<td>0.4**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M/F: number of men/women; OH, ocular hypertension (IOP >21 mm Hg); M, male; F, female; Nl, healthy people/eyes; T, total sample; NCT, non-contact tonometer; NR, not reported; US, United States; TES, Tehran Eye Study (the current study).

*Figures were rounded to one decimal point.
†Right eyes IOP reported.
‡IOP measurements on healthy eyes excluding glaucomatous eyes.
§IOP >20 mm Hg.
∥In healthy non-hypertensive eyes.
\*1.5 ± (2.9) mm Hg for normal men, women, or people >40 years.
**0.9 for men, women, or people >40 years (and 1.8% IOP >20 mm Hg in men, women, or people >40 years).
Iris colour and IOP
A linear relation has been found between IOP and the amount of iris pigmentation in some studies but rejected in other studies. Another study has found this relation to be significant but not linear. Eyes with blue/grey/green colour had higher IOP in comparison with green/light brown eyes. Our data show a clear increasing pattern of IOP with iris pigmentation in yellow/green to dark brown eyes, but IOP values in grey/blue eyes were very high and around the values obtained for dark brown eyes (fig 2). High IOP values in blue/grey eyes were observed even after adjusting for age and sex. We could not find any prominent IOP risk factor in blue/grey eyes (including ethnicity) to account for the high observed IOP.

IOP and refraction
Figure 3 clearly shows a decreasing pattern of IOP with increasing refraction spherical equivalents. As age is related both to refraction and IOP, we evaluated the relation in separate age groups of 10–39, 40–59, and over 59 years. The aforementioned trend was still evident. In the literature spherical equivalent refraction was observed to have a significant negative relation with IOP in regression analysis.

IOP and cup:disc ratio
Table 2 provides data of IOP with respect to vertical cup:disc ratio. In the younger age group, there is a total increase of 0.9 mm Hg in IOP while cup:disc ratio increases from 1 to 5. In people older than 50 years, the total increase in IOP is 1.2 mm Hg for a cup:disc ratio increase from 1 to 5 which is 30% more in comparison with the younger age group. There are conflicting reports on the relation between cup:disc ratio and IOP. Many authors have reported a positive association of IOP with cup:disc ratio, but some have found no association. Insignificant results come from the WESDR project on diabetic eyes with the view that diabetic eyes are at a higher risk of glaucoma. In people older than 50 years. Two studies that implemented separate age groups of 10–39, 40–59, and over 59 years. The aforementioned trend was still evident. In the literature spherical equivalent refraction was observed to have a significant negative relation with IOP in regression analysis.

IOP and cup:disc ratio
Table 2 provides data of IOP with respect to vertical cup:disc ratio. In the younger age group, there is a total increase of 0.9 mm Hg in IOP while cup:disc ratio increases from 1 to 5. In people older than 50 years, the total increase in IOP is 1.2 mm Hg for a cup:disc ratio increase from 1 to 5 which is 30% more in comparison with the younger age group. There are conflicting reports on the relation between cup:disc ratio and IOP. Many authors have reported a positive association of IOP with cup:disc ratio, but some have found no association. Insignificant results come from the WESDR project on diabetic eyes with the view that diabetic eyes are at a higher risk of glaucoma. In people older than 50 years. Two studies that implemented separate age groups of 10–39, 40–59, and over 59 years. The aforementioned trend was still evident. In the literature spherical equivalent refraction was observed to have a significant negative relation with IOP in regression analysis.

Conclusion
IOP distribution is slightly skewed to the right. This skewness is evident from adolescence to old age. IOP values increase with advancing age and this increase is observed from adolescence. IOP values increase with increasing cup:disc ratios and iris pigmentation (except for blue eyes) and with decreasing corneal spherical equivalents but is not related to sex. Mean and distribution of IOP in our study is different from other studies in different geographical regions. We think it seems advisable to employ a geographical approach to normal IOP interpretation.
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