Extraocular surgery for implantation of an active subretinal visual prosthesis with external connections: feasibility and outcome in seven patients
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ABSTRACT
Background: Due to low energy levels in microphodioe-based subretinal visual prostheses, an external power supply is mandatory. We report on the surgical feasibility and the functional outcome of the extracocular part of an approach to connect a subretinal prosthesis to an extracorporeal connector in the retro-aurocular space via a trans-scleral, transchoroidal cable.

Methods: Seven volunteers with retinitis pigmentosa received an active subretinal implant; energy was supplied by gold wires on a trans-sclerally, transchoroidally implanted polyimide foil leading to the lateral orbital rim where it was fixated and connected to a silicone cable. The cable was implanted subperiostially beneath the temporal muscle using a trocar to the retro-aurocular space where it penetrated the skin for connection to a stimulator. To avoid subretinal movement of the implant, three tension relief points have been introduced.

Results: All implantations were performed as planned without complications, and no serious adverse events occurred in the postoperative period. Fixation of the implants was stable throughout the entire study duration of 4 weeks; permanent skin penetration proved to be uncomplicated. Motility was minimally reduced in downgaze and ab/adduction. Explantation was uneventful.

Conclusion: The above-described procedure provides a method for stable fixation of a subretinal device with a trans-scleral, transchoroidal cable connection to an extracorporeal connector.

Retinal implants elicit visual perceptions by electrical stimulation of retinal cells.1,2 They are primarily designed for patients suffering from degenerative retinal disease such as retinitis pigmentosa (RP) where outer retinal cells deteriorate while inner retinal cells stay intact a longer time1,4 and can be used to transmit electronically generated signals to the brain. Our group has proposed a device based on microphotodiodes which are implanted into the subretinal space and deliver electrical pulses by transforming light energy into electrical energy.5–8 However, this energy is insufficient to excite retinal cells even under bright light.9–12 Therefore, additional energy has to be supplied to such implants, for example via a cable connection. To this purpose, our group has developed a compound visual prosthesis with connections from a subretinal microphotodiode array (MPDA) to an extracorporeal connector in the retro-aurocular space via a transchoroidally, trans-sclerally implanted polyimide foil. A transcutaneous cable passage to an extracorporeal connector can certainly only be a transitional step, but for the limited duration of our pilot study (implantation was granted for 4 weeks) a direct cable connection offered us several important advantages. More importantly, this allowed us to directly assess parameters such as charge transfer and impedance of individual subretinal electrodes, which are paramount for the understanding of the interaction of electrodes and neural tissue. To our knowledge, these data have not been gathered before from subretinal electrical stimulation in long-term experiments. In addition to that, several control parameters of MPDA function were directly measurable and adjustable in these first experiments which proved to be crucial for optimisation of these functions.

For implantation of a prosthesis with a transchoroidal, trans-scleral connection, our group has established a surgical approach in animal tests.15–18 The experience gained from these successful experiments led to optimisation of the technique that would be suitable for humans. Of high importance were tension relief points along the implant’s extraocular course to prevent transduction of movements of the extraocular to the subretinal parts which had resulted in frequent retinal tears and subsequent detachments in research animals.

We report here on the success of the extraocular surgical approach to connect the subretinal device via a transchoroidal and transcutaneous cable to an extracorporeal connector in seven human volunteers suffering from RP.

MATERIAL AND METHODS
Patients
Seven male patients with end-stage RP were included. Remaining vision was required to be “of no use in daily life” by the local ethics committee. Diagnosis was established by family history, electroretinography, fluorescein angiography, optical coherence tomography, slit-lamp examination and funduscopy. In all subjects, electrical excitability was ensured by prior transcorneal electrical stimulation with DTL electrodes.19 All experiments were undertaken with the understanding and written consent of each subject.
respecting the Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki) and in accordance with the European Communities Council Directive of 24 November 1986 (86/609/EEC). The study was approved by the local university’s ethics committee which granted permission for a study period of 4 weeks.

**Subretinal prosthesis**

The prosthesis consisted of three parts (figs 1A, 2).

1. The subretinal part, with (A) the MPDA with 1550 photodiodes and titanium-nitride electrodes (this is driven by light falling onto it through the optics of the eye and powered by external energy transferred via golden connection lanes on the polyimide foil strip) and (B) 16 titanium-nitride electrodes in a 4 x 4 array for direct, light-independent electrical stimulation; each electrode is connected to an outside stimulus generator via golden connection lanes on the trans-sclerally, transchoroidally implanted polyimide foil strip.

2. The extraocular part. After choroidal and scleral penetration, the foil strip is flipped to lie episclerally. It then leads under the lid to the lateral orbital rim. For fixation, a patch of polytetrafluoroethylene glued to the foil is sutured onto the sclera. At the end of the foil strip, a silicone patch is fixated by sutures in two holes through the lateral orbital rim.

3. The subdermal part. The foil strip connects in the temporal fossa to a silicone cable with spirally twisted, isolated wires, which leads subperiostally beneath the temporal muscle to the retro-auricular space where it penetrates the skin and ends in a plug. There, the device is fixed to the bone by a stainless steel clamp (figs 1B, 2).

**Surgical technique**

**Implantation**

The implantation procedure was carried out sequentially in the following steps (fig 3).

After subcutaneous injection of mepivacaine/epinephrine in the retro-auricular space the skin was incised in arcuate form at a length of 5 cm. At the height of the external acoustic meatus approximately 2 cm by 2 cm of bone were exposed by use of a raspatory. Two holes were drilled and the base plate of the
auricular space were removed and the silicone cable pulled temporalis fossa. The attachment screws of the clamp (fig 1B) fixed with osteosynthesis screws. Surgery was then continued in the periorbital region with a prolonged brow incision to expose the lateral orbital rim. Two holes were drilled 2 mm superior and inferior to the sutura zygomatico-frontalis, and Vicryl 3-0 threads were inserted. Preparation proceeded laterally into the fossa temporalis elevating the temporalis muscle and the periosteum. Following that, a 360° peritomy of the conjunctiva was performed at the limbus. From the subconjunctival space in the upper temporal quadrant, a tunnel was bluntly prepared through the septum to the brow incision and was kept patent by a small silicone tube. A specially made trocar (fig 1C) was advanced from the periorbital region subperiosteally until it reached the retro-auricular area. The implant could then be advanced inside in anterior direction and the trocar be removed leaving the implant in place. The tip of the implant was placed inside of the silicone tube which held the subconjunctival tunnel open. The tube protected the implant’s tip when it was pulled through the tunnel to the subconjunctival space and placed aside securely during the following intraocular surgical procedure. In brief, the intraocular surgery comprised a pars-plana-vitrectomy, creation of a subretinal bubble and transchoroidal penetration in the upper lateral quadrant of the eye. Then, the fixation pad was sutured onto the sclera and the retina re-attached by perfluorocarbon liquids and filled with silicone oil for endotamponade.

Subjects received the following postoperative medication: dexamethasone (250 mg intravenously on the day of the surgery and thereafter; up to 100 mg orally for 2 weeks), 500 mg of ciprofloxacinhydrochloride orally twice daily for 10 days, dexamethasone/gentamycin eye-drops four times daily. The area of skin penetration in the retro-auricular space was treated daily with povidone iodine solution and covered by medical tape. The skin sutures at the orbital rim were treated for 1 week with gentamycin ointment.

Explantation
Skin wounds were reopened in the retro-auricular space and in the brow region to expose the implant. The silicone cable was dissected at the junction with the polyimide foil in the fossa temporalis. The attachment screws of the clamp in the retro-auricular space were removed and the silicone cable pulled posteriorly; the basal plate of the clamp and the bone screws were removed. Intraocular parts of the implant were removed by loosening the scleral fixation pad, and the scleral access was reopened to pull out the intraocular parts. No intraocular procedure was required. All wounds were finally closed in layers. Silicone oil was removed approximately 3 months later, depending on the individual situation.

RESULTS
Feasibility of extraocular surgery
All prostheses could be safely implanted using the above described procedure. No intraoperative complications were encountered. Surgery was performed in all cases without damaging the prosthesis. Figure 3 shows the decisive surgical steps in one patient.

Due to the experience from surgical trials in animals and human cadavers, the surgical procedure provided no major difficulties. The procedure of subperiostal implantation from the retro-auricular space to the orbital rim using the trocar proved to be easily controllable in all cases. In general, the most challenging part was the protection of the implant during all steps of the surgery; touching the MPDA or the electrodes was not possible with any instrument, and even fine threads could easily tear the polyimide foil and lead to disruption of the gold wires (besides constituting a predetermined breaking point). An especially delicate part turned out to be pulling the polyimide foil with the subretinal parts through the opening from the lateral orbital rim under the lateral lid to the subconjunctival space: first, because the fairly large protecting silicone tube exerted considerable pressure onto the globe leading to a marked increase in intraocular pressure requiring fast manoeuvres; second, because the delicate subretinal parts had to be protected against mechanical injury by placement inside the silicone tube while pulling the tube through the iatrogenic access. To facilitate this and provide better protection for the globe and the implant, a metal cartridge has been used in the last three patients. The cartridge was smaller in diameter, and a thread could be attached to pull it through the iatrogenic access (fig 1D); it considerably reduced pressure increases on the globe and helped to protect the implant’s tip. Coagulation by monopolar or bipolar diathermia was to be avoided in the proximity of the implant because high-frequency electrical fields could damage the MPDA which acted as an antenna. To protect the implant, a large spatula (touching the sclera to conduct any currents) was placed between it and the coagulating tip. During the following intraocular surgery, the implant tip was kept away inside the silicone/metal tube taped to the surgical drape.

The time for extraocular surgery was 1.5–2.5 h; the whole surgery lasted 6–7 h.

Surgical outcome
During the first postoperative days moderate oedema and haematoma in the periorbital region were noticeable (fig 4). The subdermal passage to the retro-auricular space proved to be unproblematic in all cases, leading to only minimal swelling (fig 4) and almost no pain. Two patients complained about a mild jaw closure blockade noticed during chewing which had disappeared 1 week postoperatively. A possible cause is an irritation of the temporal muscle or some fibres of the facial nerve in this region of the cable passage. Conjunctival chemosis and injection were observed in all patients comparable with other intraocular procedures such as pars-plana-vitrectomy. After approximately 5 days, conjunctival chemosis, oedema and
haematomas had almost completely resolved, and patients were discharged. All wounds healed properly, and no signs of infections or wound dehiscence were noticed. The skin penetration of the silicone cable proved to be tolerable and unproblematic over the whole examination period.

The retina was attached immediately postoperatively until explantation in all cases (fig 6 shows fundus photographs before, 1 week after, and 4 weeks after implantation). In the early postoperative days, some retinal oedema over the MPDA was present in optical coherence tomography (fig 7A). The amount of oedema decreased during the first postoperative week. Fluorescein angiography (fig 7B) showed capillaries in fine detail over the MPDA because the opaque MPDA totally blocked background fluorescence from the choroid. In the periphery (upper temporal part) of the MPDA capillary rarefaction was noticeable, which could be due to either mechanical obstruction from the thickness of the soldering points of the MPDA in this region or pre-existent changes in RP retinas.20

**Influence on ocular motility**

Before surgery, eye movements were normal in all patients, but various degrees of consecutive exotropia were noted in some patients. Directly after surgery (eg, in the patient in fig 5A), downgaze was restricted moderately, and a slight adduction and abduction deficit could be observed. Just before explantation, eye movements had improved (fig 5B).

**Explantation**

Prostheses were explanted after 4 weeks in patients 2, 3 and 4; and after 5 weeks in patients 5, 6 and 7. The elongation of the
study period was permitted by the local ethics committee. Patient 1 refused to have the device explanted.

At explantation, the implant was surrounded by scar tissue, which, due to the inert nature of the polyimide, silicone and expanded polytetrafluoroethylene materials, was not connected to any of the implant’s parts. Therefore, after careful dissection of this tissue using microscissors and removal of episcleral and bone sutures, the device could simply be pulled out as described above. Explantation was uneventful in all six cases, and patients could be discharged on the following day.

**DISCUSSION**

The study has proven that the surgical access developed for this study is feasible to implant a retinal prosthesis with extraocular parts for a period of at least 4 weeks. Surgery was successful in all cases and led to stable placement of the prosthesis over the entire study period. No postoperative complications were encountered. Patients felt astonishingly very little irritation with the surgical procedure and the presence of the device. Complaints were minimal and comparable with standard intraocular procedures. Patients did not feel restricted by the

---

**Figure 4** Photographic aspects at various time points after implantation. (A) Frontal aspect 6 days after implantation. (B, C) Frontal and retro-auricular aspects, respectively, 28 days after implantation. (D, E) Temporal and retro-auricular aspects, respectively, 2 weeks after explantation.

**Figure 5** Motility charts of one exemplary patient. (A) Six days after implantation. Downgaze was restricted moderately, and a very slight adduction and abduction deficit could be observed, accompanied by a mild tension during eye movements. The restriction was noticed by all patients, but no discomfort or subjective disturbance was reported. Various degrees of pre-existing consecutive exotropia were observed in this and all other patients. (B) Thirty days after implantation, immediately before explantation, eye movements were improved, and tension had disappeared.
device except for some eye movements, but in no cases was this described as disturbing. The transcutaneous connection proved to be uncomplicated and usable for repeated connection and disconnection of the stimulator. Patients were not required to take special care of the wound and cable; they were able to shower and perform all other tasks of daily life.

In previous animal experiments, small dislocations of the subretinal parts of the implant have led to retinal tears and subsequently to retinal detachments. Therefore, techniques have been deployed by our group to ensure stable placement of the subretinal stimulation parts. For this purpose, multiple tension relief points were introduced along the course of the implant: flexible pads for fixation on the sclera, two sutures through holes in the bone of the lateral orbital rim and a metal clamp on the skull bone in the retro-auricular space for the

Figure 6  Fundus photography in one patient before implantation (A), 1 week after (B) and 4 weeks after implantation (C). The tip of the subretinal polyimide foil which carries the electrode array for direct stimulation and the microphotodiode array is located parafoveally. The foil extends peripherally in the subretinal space to the transchoroidal penetration. The subretinal implant remained stable for the entire examination period. Retinas remained attached. Reflexes are from the silicone oil–retina interface.

Figure 7  (A) Optical coherence tomography scan of the retina overlying the microphotodiode-array (MPDA) and the direct stimulation (DS) electrode-array 1 week postoperatively. The MPDA is the thick red line of high signal intensity on the right-hand side; the MPDA causes total extinction of the scan beam behind it. The DS array is the thinner red line on the left side. The retina over this part is normal in thickness and structure. The retina is attached over the entire subretinal implant and follows its curvature even at the site of the step from the thinner DS array to the thicker MPDA (20 μm and 100 μm, respectively). (B) Fluorescein angiography 1 week postoperatively. Retinal vasculature is visible in high detail over the MPDA since background fluorescence is totally blocked in this region. There is no dye leakage over the DS or over the MPDA area. However, capillary drop-out in the upper part over the MPDA is visible. This is the region where the thick soldering joints of the implant could represent a mechanical barrier leading to obstruction of vessels which are already impaired by the underlying disease.
silicone cable. Consequently, no retinal detachments or dislocations were observed in this human trial.

As mentioned, the greatest surgical challenge proved to be the intraoperative handling of the delicate structures of the implant. Since the implant could not be manufactured in separate connectible parts, the device had to be implanted in toto in the posterior–anterior direction requiring passage of the fine intraocular parts through the subdural canal. At various steps during the procedure, scissors, knives and coagulator forceps had to be used in closest proximity to the implant, which required great attention from the whole surgical team. However, the implant was not damaged in any case.

Humayun et al have reported on an epiretinal implant with a cable connection to a subdermal wireless receiver in the retroauricular region. According to the very brief description in the publication, a bone well was created to take up the cable from the lateral orbit to the retroauricular space. There is no mention of the procedure from the vitreous cavity to the lateral orbit. From our experience, the creation of the bone well unnecessarily complicated the procedure and could be avoided in future procedures.

For this pilot trial, we have made use of an extracorporeal connector through a transcutaneous cable in order to be able to directly measure charge transfer and impedance of individual electrodes. This gave us valuable insight into the interface of electrodes and neural tissue from the subretinal space which has not been available from previous experiments, in particular not in semichronic experiments. Using a direct connection, we were also able to optimise the control settings for the MPDA, which will be used for the next implantations with a wirelessly controlled implant. It is clear that an extracorporeal connection does not qualify for permanent and routine use in patients. After the positive results of this study and the valuable information gathered for future implant generations, we feel, however, that this step was justified in this first human pilot trial with an active subretinal implant. This step of the surgery could, in addition, also be of potential interest under differing circumstances in experimental ophthalmic surgery.

To overcome this transcutaneous cable connection, the receiver of a wireless system has been either placed subcutaneously in the retroauricular space,21 episcerally22 or even intraocularly as a modified intraocular lens in the capsular bag.23 Even when using up-to-date technology, a totally intraocularly implantable device is still large, and the trauma inferred for implantation is considerable, since it requires a large limbal opening and combined anterior and posterior segment surgery with a wired connection, for example from the capsular bag to the episcleral surface,23 or possibly even to the subretinal space. The easier surgical access and the additional room in the extraocular space give more freedom in choice for the receiver coils and control circuitry. In our opinion, therefore, a system where the receiver and control units of a wireless system are placed extraocularly has significant advantages. In particular, in regard to the unproblematic transchordal, trans-scleral penetration with a wired connection in our cases and in the literature14 16 18 21 22 a totally intraocularly implantable system does not seem essential. Several steps of the extraocular surgery presented in our study can contribute to implantation of such a prosthesis and also under other circumstances in ophthalmic research, for example when intraocular technical devices have to receive or transmit signals, such as intraocular pressure24 or temperature sensors,25 epi- or subretinal prostheses and also optic nerve head prosthesis.12
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ANSWERS
From questions on page 1311

1. How can an astigmatically neutral wound be achieved in DSAEK?
The creation of a self-sealing scleral tunnel in DSAEK using an “anti-smile” incision, as described in this surgical technique, does not require application of sutures, making the wound astigmatically neutral.

2. How can the surgeon ensure an easy and atraumatic insertion of the donor lenticule into the recipient’s AC?
The use of the Busin glide eliminates folding of the lenticule. The graft can be easily pulled from the glide inside the AC of the host using forces. Also, the leading edge of the donor lenticule can be stained with Gentian Violet to facilitate its visualisation while attempting to grasp it with crocodile forces. Furthermore, reducing the radial distance between the Busin glide and forces to 110–120° avoids obstruction to the movement of the surgeon’s hand caused by the lower orbital rim and helps improve manipulation of forces.

3. What are the advantages of creation of fenestration wounds, prior to insertion of donor lenticule?
Creation of stab incisions at the end of surgery can cause a large amount of pressure on the donor lenticule and may displace it. Creation of these stab incisions before the insertion of the graft can eliminate the occurrence of such a complication.

DISCUSSION

DSAEK is a preferred technique of corneal transplantation for corneal endothelial dysfunction. We made certain modifications in the standard surgical technique in an endeavour to minimise complications and make the surgery more reproducible.

Surgical wound
One of the modifications is the creation of a scleral tunnel using an “anti-smile” incision. This type of surgical wound is self-sealing and does not require application of sutures, therefore making it astigmatically neutral.

Marking the edge of donor lenticule
We observed that in eyes with postcataract surgery superior corneal scar, superior corneal pannus or preoperative corneal oedema, the translucent margin of donor lenticule was not clearly visible. Staining the leading edge of the donor lenticule with Gentian Violet made its visualisation easier inside the clear corneal part of the tunnel.

Distance between main wound and side port for forces
The recommended radial distance between glide and forces is 180°. We observed that reducing this distance facilitated easy pulling of the donor lenticule inside the recipient’s AC.

Use of the Busin glide
Prevention of endothelial cell loss has been a key issue associated with DSAEK due to folding of donor lenticule. The use of the Busin glide eliminates folding of the lenticule and facilitates pulling of the graft from the glide inside the AC of the host using forces.

Creation of stained fenestration wounds for drainage before the insertion of donor lenticule
Drainage of interface fluid using the “fenestration technique” has significantly reduced the incidence of postoperative graft dislocations. We created four full-thickness stab incisions prior to insertion of the donor lenticule to avoid putting pressure on the donor lenticule. We also marked these with Gentian Violet. Staining of stab wounds can help in identifying these easily in case the fluid needs to be drained during the early postoperative period.

We believe that these small modifications, particularly the use of the Busin glide, are a useful addition to the present technique and would help alleviate the learning curve associated with DSAEK.
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