sight-threatening condition, for which there are no current therapeutic options. The size and the scope of our article were limited by the nature of a retrospective chart review, which only allows analysis of follow-up that occurred within the defined time frame. Additional factors limiting the scope and length of the study included (1) the logistical and financial complexity involved in following up patients in two geographically separated states; (2) the differences in available equipment in the two institutions; and (3) the importance of sharing a potential new treatment with the ophthalmic community sooner rather than later.
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