Results of 2 years of treatment with as-needed ranibizumab reinjection for polypoidal choroidal vasculopathy
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ABSTRACT
Purpose To investigate the 2-year outcomes of three monthly intravitreal ranibizumab injections followed by as-needed reinjections to treat polypoidal choroidal vasculopathy (PCV).

Methods Seventy-five consecutive eyes with naïve symptomatic PCV with 2 years of follow-up after treatment were studied prospectively.

Results The mean (±SD) numbers of injections were 4.2±1.3 that included three monthly injections in the loading phase and 1.6±1.7 during years 1 and 2, respectively (mean 2-year total, 5.6±1.9). The baseline logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution visual acuity (VA) was 0.59±0.51 that improved significantly (p=0.001 for both comparisons) to 0.37±0.33 and 0.41±0.40 at 1 and 2 years, respectively, after the first injection. Although no significant difference was found between years 1 and 2 after the first injection, the VA tended to decrease slightly during year 2. The improved foveal thickness was maintained during year 2. Thirty (40%) eyes and 19 (25%) eyes, respectively, at years 1 and 2 after the first injection had no polypoidal lesions on indocyanine green angiography. A branching vascular network (BVN) remained in all eyes 2 years after the first injection and tended to increase in size during year 2.

Conclusions The 2-year outcomes showed significant VA and foveal thickness improvements in eyes with PCV. During year 2, the magnitude of the improvement was lower compared with year 1. An as-needed reinjection schedule might not prevent polypoidal lesions or BVNs from regrowing. Further investigations should establish a treatment strategy for PCV.

Polypoidal choroidal vasculopathy (PCV), a distinct clinically relevant exudative macular disorder, is characterised by a network of vessels with two distinct components: a complex of branching vessels and multiple, terminal, reddish-orange polypoidal lesions.1–4 Half the number of eyes had a relatively favourable natural outcome, but the other half had persistent leakage or repeated bleeding and poor visual outcomes.5

The 1-year results of photodynamic therapy (PDT) for PCV showed that PDT maintained or improved vision.5–12 Although in most eyes with PCV, the polypoidal lesions initially resolve after PDT, indocyanine green angiography (ICGA) has shown that the branching vascular networks (BVNs) persist in most eyes.11 The long-term visual outcomes after PDT were not good because of the high frequency of recurrent polypoidal lesions and enlargement and neovascular changes involving the BVNs.13–17

We18 previously reported that monthly intravitreal injections of ranibizumab for 3 months followed by an as-needed reinjection schedule for eyes with PCV in Japanese patients resulted in continued visual acuity (VA) improvement that was maintained throughout 12 months of follow-up, and the mean VA improved more than 0.2 logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution (logMAR) unit in 81 eyes of 78 patients with PCV. Considering the outcomes of PDT for PCV, since long-term follow-up is essential for evaluation of ranibizumab therapy for PCV, those patients were then followed for 2 years after the first ranibizumab injection. The current study reports the full 2-year results of monthly intravitreal injections of 0.5 mg of ranibizumab for 3 months followed by a reinjection schedule based on need for treating PCV in Japanese patients.

METHODS
This prospective, consecutive study investigated the 2-year outcomes of one intravitreal 0.5 mg injection of ranibizumab monthly for 3 months followed by an as-needed reinjection schedule to treat PCV. After 13 March 2009, when ranibizumab was approved for use to treat age-related macular degeneration (AMD) in Japan, all patients with AMD, including PCV, were treated with a 0.5 mg intravitreal injection of ranibizumab for 3 months followed by a reinjection schedule based on need at the Ohtsuka Eye Hospital. Eighty-five consecutive eyes of 82 prospective, treatment-naïve Japanese patients with symptomatic PCV received ranibizumab therapy. These patients had intraretinal fluid, subretinal fluid, and pigment epithelial detachment (PED). There were no exclusion criteria regarding the baseline VA or lesion size. Although PCV was diagnosed based on the fundus or ICGA findings or both, and the diagnostic criteria of the Japanese Study Group of PCV,19 PCV was diagnosed in all eyes after the presence of polypoidal lesions was confirmed on ICGA. All eyes in the current study were included in our previous study,18 which reported the 1-year outcomes of ranibizumab monotherapy for PCV. The current research followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki; all subjects provided informed consent after they received an explanation of the study protocol. The institutional review board at Ohtsuka Eye Hospital prospectively approved the study.
At the visit at which the first injection of ranibizumab was administered, a complete ophthalmic examination was undertaken including VA measurements with the Landolt ring chart, digital simultaneous fluorescein angiography (FA), ICGA using confocal scanning laser ophthalmoscopy (Heidelberg Retina Angiograph II, Heidelberg Engineering, Dossenheim, Germany), and time-domain optical coherence tomography (OCT) (OCT 3000, Zeiss Humphrey Instruments, Dublin, California, USA). Six radial line scans through the centre of the foveal lesions were used to determine the presence of fluid in the macula. The foveal thickness was determined based on the average foveal thickness on the vertical and horizontal scans. The foveal thickness on the vertical and horizontal scans was measured manually from the inner retinal surface to the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) line. The foveal thickness in eyes with a PED was defined as the length of the line from the inner retinal surface to the point at right angles to the line between the edges of the elevated RPE.

Ophthalmic examinations that included measurement of VA and OCT were performed monthly. The best-corrected VA was obtained in an examination room with 200-lux lighting with the patient seated 5 m from a Landolt’s ring chart. When measuring the VA in patients with poor vision, the chart was moved closer to the patients to determine the smallest letter that they could see at a shorter distance. FA and ICGA were performed 3, 6, 12 and 24 months after the first ranibizumab injection. The presence or absence of polypoidal lesions was determined based on the ICGA findings. If no apparent polypoidal lesions were observed, they were considered to have resolved. The planimetric size of the BVNs was calculated by manual measurement using National Institutes of Health Image software. The borders of the BVNs were outlined manually on the ICGA image in the software.

After intravitreal injection of ranibizumab monthly for 3 months, additional injections were administered if any of the following occurred:19 22 (1) VA loss of at least 0.1 logMAR unit (equivalent to 5 letters of the Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study chart) judged from logMAR converted from VA, with evidence of fluid at the macula on OCT images. If necessary, the chart was moved closer to the patients to determine whether the converted logMAR unit changed at least 0.1; (2) any qualitative change in the appearance of the OCT images that suggested recurrent fluid in the macula including enlargement of a PED or (3) persistent fluid excluding persistent PED on OCT 1 month after the previous injection. All criteria were based on comparisons with the previous month’s examination. If any criterion for reinjection was fulfilled, the intravitreal injection was administered. One clinician (TH) examined all patients and determined the need for reinjection during the 2-year follow-up period.

The VA results were converted to logMAR for analysis. Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS V11.5.1 for Windows software package (SPSS, Chicago, Illinois, USA).

RESULTS

Of 85 consecutive eyes with PCV, 4 (5%) eyes were lost to follow-up during the first year as reported previously.18 In another six eyes of five patients, the follow-up examinations were interrupted during the second year, the reasons for interruption of the examination being poor physical condition in three eyes of two patients and stable fundus findings and VA in three eyes. Thus, 75 eyes of 73 patients (51 men, 22 women) were followed for more than 2 years after the first injection, and analysed (table 1). The mean (±SD) numbers of reinjections were 1.2±1.3 during the first year and 1.6±1.7 during the second year. The mean (±SD) total number of injections, including three monthly injections (the loading phase), during 2 years was 5.6±1.9. Of 75 eyes, 24 (32%) eyes did not require additional injections during the 2nd year, whereas 17 (23%) eyes required 1, 19 (25%) eyes required 2, 4 (5%) eyes required 3, 6 (8%) eyes required 4, 1 (1%) eye required 5, and 4 (5%) eyes required 6 during the 2nd year. During the 2-year follow-up period, the total numbers of ranibizumab injections were three in 11 (15%) eyes, four in 11 (15%) eyes, five in 17 (23%) eyes, six in 13 (17%) eyes, seven in 10 (13%) eyes, eight in 4 (5%) eyes, and nine in 9 (12%) eyes. No significant correlation was found between the numbers of injections administered at year 1 and year 2 (r=0.09, p=0.53, Pearson’s correlation analysis).

Figure 1 shows the changes in the mean (±SD) logMAR VA during the 2-year follow-up period after the first ranibizumab injection. The baseline logMAR VA was 0.59±0.51, which significantly improved 1 month after the third intravitreal injection (0.40±0.35), and the improved VA was maintained thereafter (0.37±0.33 1 year after the first injection). The VAs 1 month after the third injection, and 1 year after the first injection were significantly (p=0.001, for both comparisons by the Student t test) better than the baseline VA. Although the significant improvement in the VA 2 years after the first injection (0.41±0.40, p=0.001, Student t test) was maintained compared with the baseline VA, the VA tended to decrease slightly during the year.
second year. However, no significant (p=0.37, Student t test) difference was found in the VAs between years 1 and 2 after the first injection.

Figure 2 shows the changes in the mean (±SD) foveal thickness for the 2-year follow-up period after the first injection. The mean foveal thickness 1 month after the third intravitreal injection (203±37 μm) decreased significantly compared with the mean foveal thickness at baseline (324±80 μm, p=0.001, Student t test) and was maintained thereafter (211±45 μm at 1 year after the first injection, p=0.001, Student t test). During the second year, the improvement in the foveal thickness was maintained, and the mean foveal thickness 2 years after the first injection (213±42, p=0.001, Student t test) improved significantly compared with the baseline foveal thickness.

Of the 75 eyes, the logMAR VA 2 years after the first injection improved or decreased 0.3 logMAR unit or more from the baseline logMAR VA in 25 (33%) eyes or 7 (10%) eyes, respectively. The remaining 43 (57%) eyes had less than a 0.3 unit change in the logMAR VA. The percentage of eyes with improved VA decreased slightly, and the percentage of eyes with decreased VA increased slightly at 2 years after the first injection compared with (57%, 28 eyes; 7%, five eyes, respectively) 1 year after the first injection. Of the seven eyes with decreased VA, four eyes had already had a decrease in VA 1 year after the first injection; in the remaining three eyes, a retinal and subretinal haemorrhage that included the fovea developed during the second year, which was thought to cause a serious decrease in the VA. A retinal and subretinal haemorrhage not associated with deterioration of visual function was found in three eyes during the second year. The numbers (mean±SD) of injections during the 2-year follow-up period after the first injection were 13% (9 eyes), 4% (3 eyes), and 84% (59 eyes), respectively. The percentages in years 1 and 2 did not differ significantly after the first injection (p=0.330, χ² test), but the planimetric size of the BVNs tended to increase.

At baseline, 16 (21%) of 75 eyes were pseudophakic. No eyes underwent cataract surgery during the first year. Two eyes required surgery during the second year, but the decimal VA was unchanged in the eyes. There were no apparent ocular adverse events attributable to the ranibizumab injections. No episodes of endophthalmitis, uveitis, lens damage, or prolonged intraocular pressure elevation occurred.

**DISCUSSION**

Our results showed that three monthly intravitreal injections of ranibizumab followed by an as-needed reinjection schedule improved VA and reduced the amount of macular fluid in patients with PCV, and maintained the improved VA and macular findings throughout 2 years. However, the following outcomes differed between 1 year and 2 years after the first ranibizumab injection. The first finding was that the increase in the mean logMAR VA from baseline decreased by 0.04 2 years after the first injection (0.18) compared with 1 year after the first injection (0.22). The percentage of eyes with improved VA of 0.3 or more logMAR unit decreased slightly 2 years after the first injection compared with 1 year after the first injection. The Comparison of Age-Related Macular Degeneration Treatment Trials (CATT) study, a randomised clinical trial that compared the AMD treatment among four groups by drug (ranibizumab or bevacizumab (Avastin, Genentech, south San Francisco, California, USA)) and dosing regimen (monthly or as-needed treatment), also found that the VA outcomes tended to be slightly worse 2 years after the first injection compared with 1 year after the first injection. As-needed treatment immediately addresses recurrent fluid and may minimise the deterioration of visual function, thus preventing a decline in the improved VA but not eliminating it completely. This seems to be a limitation of an as-needed reinjection schedule. In this study, a retinal and subretinal haemorrhage developed during the second year caused a serious decrease in the VA. Ranibizumab therapy continued even after development of the haemorrhage in those patients. Although deterioration of the RPE was associated with decrease in the VA during the second year in some patients as previously reported, the decreased logMAR units were less than 0.3.

The second finding was worsening of the PCV components, i.e., an increased percentage of eyes with polypoidal lesions on ICGA and increased size of the BVN during the second year. In the as-needed treatment group of the CATT study, a tendency for lesion growth was found during the second year. In as-needed treatment, lesion growth might occur without being detected on OCT, which might result in no retreatment in some eyes. To avoid missing silent lesion growth, i.e., the undetectable changes on OCT, angiography occasionally might be required to evaluate lesions. In a study of the long-term efficacy of intravitreal bevacizumab for recurrent leakage due to residual BVNs in PCV after PDT, Wakabayashi and associates reported that the BVNs enlarged in 44% eyes despite repeated injections, and
explained that this expansion might lead to more mature and less vascular endothelial growth factor-dependent vessels with increased treatment resistance.

Polypoidal lesions might be associated with exudative macular changes.6–10 13 In the current study, polypoidal lesions were not detected on ICGA in 30 (40%) eyes 1 year after the first injection; however, that percentage decreased to 25% (19/75) 2 years after the first injection. The presence of polypoidal lesions might be associated with risk of development of retinal and subretinal haemorrhages.3 4 During the second year of the current study, haemorrhagic events developed in six eyes, three of the six eyes had a 0.3 logMAR unit or greater decrease in VA, and the haemorrhages involved the fovea and were thought to cause a serious decrease in VA.

The limitations of the current study were the lack of a control group, and that the Early Treatment of Diabetic Retinopathy Study chart was not used to measure the VA, and the eyes underwent time-domain OCT, which is inferior to spectral-domain OCT in resolution of images,26 during the follow-up period. The strengths included the prospective design, longer follow-up, and a relatively high rate of adherence to the protocol. We speculated that an as-needed reinjection schedule might be limited in its ability to prevent regrowth of polypoidal lesions and BVNs. Since the long-term visual outcomes of PDT were not good owing to the high frequency of recurrent polypoidal lesions resulting from residual BVNs,13–17 the limitations of an as-needed reinjection schedule require further observations, and a future study comparing as-needed therapy to a more frequent regimen, such as an injection every two or three months, or treat and extend is warranted.
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