Topical diquafosol for patients with obstructive meibomian gland dysfunction
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ABSTRACT

Aims To evaluate the effect of topical diquafosol in patients with meibomian gland dysfunction (MGD) using tear film parameters and quantitatively analyse the meibomian gland morphology.

Subjects and Methods The subjects were 19 eyes of 10 patients diagnosed with obstructive MGD. All subjects were given 3% diquafosol ophthalmic solution with instructions to use one drop four times a day. Ocular symptoms were scored from 0 to 14. Lid margin abnormalities were scored from 0 to 4. Changes in the meibomian glands were scored using non-contact meibography (meiboscore). Superficial punctate keratopathy (SPK) was scored from 0 to 3. Meibum was graded from 0 to 3. Tear film production was evaluated by Schirmer’s test. Quantitative image analysis of the meibomian glands was performed using the original software.

Results 10 patients completed more than 4 months of therapy. Ocular symptoms, lid margin abnormalities, SPK score and meibum grade were decreased. Break-up time and tear film meniscus were increased. Mean ratio of the meibomian gland area was significantly increased after treatment (p<0.0001).

Conclusions Quantitative image analysis was useful for evaluating the morphological changes of the meibomian glands. Topical diquafosol therapy was effective for patients with obstructive MGD.

INTRODUCTION

Meibomian glands are important structures that secrete lipid into the tear film, which prevents excessive evaporation of the tear film by forming a thin oily layer on the tear film.¹ Obstructive meibomian gland dysfunction (MGD) is characterised by the condensation of meibomian lipids, resulting in the hyposcretion of lipid in the tears.² Obstructive MGD is a major cause of lipid layer deficiency and evaporative dry eye.³,⁴ and may result in unstable tear film, damage to the ocular surface epithelium, chronic blepharitis, contact lens intolerance and giant papillary conjunctivitis.⁷–¹²

Conventional therapy for MGD includes warm compresses, lid hygiene, artificial tear eye drops and systemic tetracycline and doxycycline. Such therapeutic measures, however, are often unsatisfactory or poorly tolerated.¹³ Topical azithromycin, a macrolide antibiotic with presumed anti-inflammatory effects, was very recently reported to be effective for MGD.¹⁴,¹⁵ Other studies have reported the use of topical cyclosporine for MGD.¹⁶-¹⁷ Diquafosol, which is commercially available in Japan as a novel dry eye therapy, is a P2Y2 purinergic receptor agonist that activates P2Y2 receptors on the ocular surface,¹⁸,¹⁹ leading to rehydration through activation of the fluid pump of the accessory lacrimal glands on the conjunctival tissue and conjunctival goblet cell secretion of ocular mucins. The ability of the P2Y2 receptor activation to increase ocular lipid production (the outermost layer of the tear film) is less well established, but animal experiments have revealed the presence of P2Y2 receptors in the meibomian glands.²⁰

The present study was designed to evaluate the effect of diquafosol 3% ophthalmic solution (Diquas, Santen, Osaka, Japan) when applied to the eye and lid margin for the treatment of MGD for more than 4 months. Here, we evaluated the symptoms and clinical signs of MGD, including a quantitative morphological analysis of the meibomian glands using a non-invasive meibography system and original image analysis software.

METHODS

Subjects
The study analysed 19 eyes of 10 patients (3 men and 7 women; mean±SD of age, 72.7±4.8 years) diagnosed with obstructive MGD. The diagnosis of MGD was based on the presence of ocular symptoms, lid margin abnormalities (irregular lid margin, vascular engorgement, plugged meibomian gland orifices and anterior or posterior replacement of the mucuscaneous junction), poor meibum expression and the loss of meibomian glands based on non-invasive meibography.¹¹ Exclusion criteria included ocular allergies, contact lens wear, continuous use of eye drops, history of eye surgery and systemic or ocular diseases that might interfere with tear film production or function. Patients with excessive meibomian lipid secretion were also excluded.

Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects before examination. This study was approved by the institutional review board of the University of Tokyo and adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Treatment protocol
All subjects received 3% diquafosol ophthalmic solution (Diquas; Santen Pharmaceuticals, Osaka, Japan) with instructions to use one drop four times a day. Diquas eye drops contains 0.002% benzalkonium chloride as a preservative.

Examinations
Examinations were performed sequentially as follows. All patients were questioned regarding the presence of 14 ocular symptoms: ocular fatigue, discharge, foreign body sensation, dryness, uncomfortable sensation, sticky sensation, pain, epiphora,
itching, redness, heavy sensation, glare, too much blinking and history of chalazion or hordeolum. Symptoms were scored from 0 through 14 according to the number of these symptoms present, as described before.22

Four lid margin abnormalities (irregular lid margin, vascular engorgement, plugging of meibomian gland orifices and anterior or posterior replacement of the mucocutaneous junction) were scored from 0 through 4 according to the number of these abnormalities present in each eye. Superficial punctate keratopathy (SPK) in the cornea was scored from 0 to 3. The break-up time (BUT) of the tear film was measured three times consecutively after the instillation of fluorescein, and the mean value was used for analysis.

The upper and lower eyelids were everted, and the meibomian glands were observed using a non-contact meibography system (BG-4M, TOPCON, Tokyo, Japan). Partial or complete meibomian gland loss was scored using the following grades (meiboscore) for each eyelid as previously described: grade 0 (no meibomian gland loss), grade 1 (area of meibomian gland loss less than 1/3 of the total meibomian gland area), grade 2 (area of meibomian gland loss between 1/3 and 2/3 of the total area) and grade 3 (area of meibomian gland loss over 2/3 of the total area).22 Meiboscores for the upper and lower eyelids were summed to obtain a score from 0 through 6 for each eye. Tear film production was evaluated by Schirmer’s test.

Digital pressure was applied to the upper tarsus, and the degree of ease in expressing meibomian secretion (meibum) was evaluated semiquantitatively as follows23: grade 0, clear meibum easily expressed; grade 1, cloudy meibum expressed with mild pressure; grade 2, cloudy meibum expressed with more than moderate pressure; and grade 3, no meibum expression, even with hard pressure. All examinations were completed on the same day, usually within 10 min.

**Quantitative evaluation of meibomian gland area**

The border of the total analysis area was manually determined. Each separate meibomian gland within the total analysis area was also manually determined (figure 1). After the binarised meibomian glands were separated as independent closed curves, our original software labelled each meibomian gland and calculated the area of the closed meibomian glands. The ratio of the meibomian gland area relative to the total analysis area was then calculated.

**Statistical analysis**

Mean ratios of the meibomian gland area relative to the total analysis area were compared between pre-treatment and post-treatment using a paired t test. Mean increases in the ratio of meibomian gland area relative to the total analysis area were compared between the upper and lower eyelids using a paired t test. A p value of <0.05 was considered significant. Data are shown as mean±SD unless otherwise specified.

**RESULTS**

Ten patients completed more than 4 months of therapy (4–16 months). Patient characteristics and changes in tear film parameters are shown in table 1.

**Changes in tear film parameters following treatment**

In 19 eyes of 10 patients, ocular symptoms, lid margin abnormalities, SPK score and meibum grade were decreased (table 1 and figure 2). BUT and tear film meniscus were increased. Schirmer’s test value did not change. In 13 eyes of 9 patients, the meiboscore was decreased.

**Quantitative evaluation of meibomian gland area**

Quantitative analysis indicated that the meibomian gland areas were increased in all the 19 eyelids (upper/lower) of 10 patients (figures 3 and 4). The mean ratio of the meibomian gland area relative to the total analysis area in all 19 eyelids was 36.9 ±10.1% before treatment and 41.5±9.2% after treatment (P<0.00000001). The mean increase in the ratio of the meibomian gland area relative to the total analysis area was 4.6±4.0% (range 0.1%–15.2%). The mean increase in the ratio of meibomian gland area relative to the total analysis area in the upper and lower eyelids was 2.6±1.7% and 6.7±4.6%, respectively (p=0.0000026).

---

**Figure 1** Meibomian gland area measurement process. (A) Original meibography image. (B) Border for the analysis was manually determined. (C) Separate meibomian glands within the total analysis area were manually detected. (D) After the binarised meibomian glands were separated as independent closed curves, our original software labelled each meibomian gland and calculated the area of the closed meibomian glands. The ratio of the meibomian gland area relative to the total analysis area was then calculated.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Eye</th>
<th>FU (weeks)</th>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>Lid margin abnormalities</th>
<th>BUT (s)</th>
<th>SPK</th>
<th>Meniscus (mm)</th>
<th>Schirmer’s test (mm)</th>
<th>Meibum grade</th>
<th>Meiboscore</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Symptoms (0–14), lid margin abnormalities (0–4), m score (0–3), meiboscore (0–6).
BUT, break-up time; F, female; FU, follow-up duration; L, left eye; M, male; R, right eye; SPK, superficial punctate keratopathy (0–3).
DISCUSSION

Diquafosol therapy for more than 4 months was effective for the patients with obstructive MGD. The subjective symptoms and objective findings were improved after treatment. Diquafosol is a P2Y2 purinergic receptor agonist that activates P2Y2 receptors on the ocular surface, including the meibomian glands, to increase lipid production. These findings suggest that topical diquafosol activated some existing meibomian glands to produce meibomian lipid.

We evaluated the morphology of the meibomian glands using our originally developed quantitative image analysis software. This method was more objective and reproducible than the meiboscore. On the other hand, the objectivity and reproducibility of meibum scoring are low because the expression pressure on the eyelid is not defined. Moreover, meibum score is determined by the meibum expression solely at the centre of eyelids, although meibomian gland changes are diffuse in MGD. The originally developed quantitative analysis software was able to analyse the upper and lower eyelids from the nasal side to the temporal side. Moreover, we previously examined the repeatability of the image analysis using the software in five eyes of five patients with MGD, and the intraexaminer coefficients of variation for the quantitative analysis of the upper and lower meibomian gland area was 0.28 and 0.51%, respectively, indicating high repeatability of the quantitative analysis of the meibomian gland area. In the present study, the mean increase in the ratio of the meibomian gland area relative to the total analysis area in 19 eyelids was 4.6%, which is noteworthy given the high repeatability of the quantitative analysis method used in this current study.

The mean increase in the ratio of the meibomian gland area relative to the total analysis area in the lower eyelid was significantly greater than that in the upper eyelid, likely due to the fact that the eye drops remain longer in the lower eyelid than in the upper eyelid.

Diquafosol activates P2Y2 receptors on the ocular surface, leading to rehydration via activation of the fluid pump of the accessory lacrimal glands on the conjunctival tissue and conjunctival goblet cell secretion of ocular mucins. These mechanisms are thought to increase the BUT and the tear meniscus, and decrease SPK within a month in the patients of the present study. In contrast, Schirmer’s values have not been changed. A previous study showed that diquafosol did not change the Schirmer’s value in the normal rats and dry eye model rats. Moreover, another previous study showed that diquafosol increased tear volume in rats deprived of lacrimal glands. These results suggest that diquafosol increases tear volume not by increasing the secretion from the lacrimal glands but by increasing the fluid secretion via the stimulation of net ion transport across the conjunctival cells. Because it is assumed that Schirmer’s strip stimulates tear secretion mainly
from lacrimal gland, it seems reasonable that Schirmer’s value have not changed after the treatment with diquafosol in this study. Several months, however, were required to activate and reproduce meibomian lipids and to change the morphology of the mei-

bomian glands, perhaps because the alteration of lipid composition followed by morphological changes of meibomian glands takes much longer time than the rehydration of fluid and mucins.

There are a couple of limitations in this study. One is that this study had no control group treated with the conventional MGD treatment such as warm compress and lid hygiene. The other limitation is the small number of the subjects. Further studies are needed to fully investigate the effect of topical diquafosol on the ocular surface and in the meibomian glands of patients with MGD.

In conclusion, quantitative image analysis was useful to evaluate the morphological changes in the meibomian glands. Topical diquafosol therapy for more than 4 months was effective for patients with obstructive MGD.
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