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ABSTRACT

Purpose To compare the measurements and failure rates obtained with a new swept source optical coherence tomography (OCT)-based biometry to IOLMaster 500.

Setting Eye Clinic, Baskent University Faculty of Medicine, Ankara, Turkey.

Design Observational cross-sectional study and evaluation of a new diagnostic technology.

Methods 188 eyes of 101 subjects were included in the study. Measurements of axial length (AL), anterior chamber depth (ACD), corneal power (K1 and K2) and the measurement failure rate with the new Zeiss IOLMaster 700 were compared with those obtained with the IOLMaster 500. The results were evaluated using Bland–Altman analyses. The differences between both methods were assessed using the paired samples t test, and their correlation was evaluated by intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC).

Results The mean age was 68.32±12.71 years and the male/female ratio was 29/72. The agreements between two devices were outstanding regarding AL (ICC=1.0), ACD (ICC=0.920), K1 (ICC=0.992) and K2 (ICC=0.989) values. IOLMaster 700 was able to measure ACD AL, K1 and K2 in all eyes within high-quality SD limits of the manufacturer. IOLMaster 500 was able to measure ACD in 175 eyes, whereas measurements were not possible in the remaining 13 eyes. AL measurements were not possible for 17 eyes with IOLMaster 500. Nine of these eyes had posterior subcapsular cataracts and eight had dense nuclear cataracts.

Conclusions Although the agreement between the two devices was excellent, the IOLMaster 700 was more effective in obtaining biometric measurements in eyes with posterior subcapsular and dense nuclear cataracts.

INTRODUCTION

The advancement of new technologies in intraocular lens (IOL) design and surgical techniques has increased the expectations of patients for exceptional postoperative vision without any refractive correction. The correct measurement of axial length (AL) and corneal power are crucial for the calculation of IOL power and achievement of the desired postoperative refraction.1 Modern optical biometry devices use either partial coherence interferometry (PCI) or optical low coherence reflectometry (OLCR) to measure several variables including AL. In addition they can measure keratometry (K), anterior chamber depth (ACD), lens thickness (LT) and horizontal white-to-white (WTW) corneal diameter using different approaches.2 Moreover, the built-in software in these devices provides more accurate IOL power calculation and multiple choices of IOL formulas.3 The IOLMaster (Carl Zeiss Meditec AG, Jena, Germany) is the gold standard of modern optical biometry devices. It uses the principle of PCI to obtain AL with high precision.4,5 Several reports have shown the accuracy of IOLMaster (IOLMaster or IOLMaster 500) for IOL calculation in routine and complicated cataract cases.6–9 However, measurements can be difficult or unreliable with corneal opacities, macular diseases, advanced and posterior subcapsular (PSC) cataracts, vitrectomised eyes or poor fixation for PCI or OLCR based devices.10–11

The IOLMaster 700 (Carl Zeiss Meditec AG, Jena, Germany), which is the first swept source optical coherence tomography (OCT) based biometry, was introduced recently. It enables OCT imaging and visualisation across the entire length of the eye. It provides an image-based measurement, allowing the surgeon to view the complete longitudinal section of the eye. Therefore, it may identify irregular eye geometries, such as lens tilt. Additionally, imaging of the fovea may alert the observer to insufficient fixation during measurements. It also uses telecentric keratometry for corneal power measurements, similar to the IOLMaster 500. Briefly, it was introduced as an advantageous diagnostic device in an attempt to improve refractive results after cataract surgery.

The purpose of this study was to compare the agreement between IOLMaster 500 and IOLMaster 700 values for ACD, AL and keratometry. Measurement failure rates with both devices were also recorded and compared.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

This observational cross-sectional study comprising patients with cataract was performed at the Baskent University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Ophthalmology, Ankara, Turkey. The study was performed according to the Declaration of Helsinki. The University’s ethics committee approved the study design and protocol. The patients were fully informed about the purpose of the study, after which they provided informed consent.

Patients who were either diagnosed for the first time or who were under follow-up for cataract were included in the study. Patients were recruited during a 3-month period between April and June 2015. All patients were examined by the same physician (AA) and in addition to routine ophthalmological examinations, cataract types were recorded as nuclear, cortical or PSC according to Lens Opacities Classification III scoring system (LOCS III).12 Biometric measurements were...
performed before pupillary dilatation in all patients. Patients who were unable to cooperate and fixate adequately during the measurements, with advanced macular problems like cystoid macular oedema or elevated scars, additionally whom had previous ocular surgery and irregular corneal surfaces were not included in the study. Corneal surface was investigated by Scheimplug system (WaveLight Allegro Oculyzer; WaveLight AG, Erlangen, Germany). AL, ACD and corneal power (K1, K2) measurements are not available on IOLMaster 500. With this device, five consecutive measurements of AL and ACD, and three consecutive keratometry measurements were performed. A signal to noise ratio (SNR) value for AL readings is calculated. The manufacturer does not recommend the use of the measurements with SNR value less than two. Quality of keratometry testing can be verified by visually checking the quality of the readings.

IOLMaster 700 automatically takes swept source OCT scans and measures AL, along with CCT, ACD and LT. AL measurements are the average values of three scans in each of six meridians. For K readings, three average Ks, each of five single measurements are taken and the final average K readings are calculated. On the IOLMaster 700, the operator can see the whole scan image and visually check the eye geometry and axis of the measurements; also the foveal scan checks the correct fixation by the patient. SD values of the ACD, LT and AL measurements are calculated and the device warns the operator of low-quality results if the SD for ACD >0.021 mm, for LT >0.038 mm and for AL >0.027 mm.

Biometry measurement technique

Biometric measurements were performed using IOLMaster 500 and IOLMaster 700 consecutively by the same technician for the first 100 eyes and the order was reversed for the remaining eyes. The quality control criteria for both devices were used in accordance with the above-mentioned manufacturer recommendations. If measurements were not possible in accordance with limits, they were recorded as measurement failures for either device. The same examiner, who was trained according to the manufacturer’s recommendations, performed all tests.

All IOLMaster 700 scans were checked by the same surgeon (AA) for foveal scans to ensure the correct axis measurements and the scan was repeated if the patient was not fixating correctly.

Statistical analysis

The required sample size was calculated using the following formula: \[ n = \frac{\left(2 \times z_{0.05} \times SD\right)^2}{\alpha^2} \] with \( t_{n-1,1-\alpha/2} = 1.7 \) (quantile of \( t \) distribution for expected sample size \( n \geq 50 \) and \( \alpha = 0.05 \); SD; CI). The anticipated SDs were based on the results from preliminary study data for initial power analysis. The results were evaluated using intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs), Bland–Altman plots and paired samples \( t \) tests. Left and right eyes were included in the subsequent analysis, as most of the variation in the ocular variables considered in this study is found within rather than between the left and right eyes.
Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software (V.22.0 for Mac, SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois, USA).

RESULTS

Data from 188 eyes of 101 patients were included in the study (male/female: 29/72). The sample size proved statistically to be sufficient to determine and prove the data for ACD, AL, K1, K2 with desired precision and probability. The mean age of the patients was 68.32±12.71 (range 24–81) years. One hundred and thirty-five eyes (71.8%) had nuclear cataracts, 18 eyes (9.5%) had cortical cataracts and 35 eyes (18.6%) had PSC cataracts. Best corrected Snellen visual acuity ranged from finger counting to 0.9 (0.51±0.23).

Table 1 summarises the ACD, AL, K1, K2 results for IOLMaster 500 and IOLMaster 700. Bland–Altman plots for comparisons between IOLMaster 500 and IOLMaster 700 are presented in figures 1–4.

Although the agreements between the two devices were outstanding regarding AL, ACD, K1 and K2 values, paired sample t tests showed statistically significant differences among ACD, K1 and K2 measurements. These differences are quite small for clinical relevance and table 2 summarises the differences between two measurements for clinically acceptable values for ACD, AL, K1 and K2.

IOLMaster 700 was able to measure ACD, AL, K1 and K2 in all eyes within high-quality SD limits of the manufacturer. IOLMaster 500 was able to measure ACD in 175 eyes, whereas measurements were not possible in the remaining 13 eyes. Nine of these 13 eyes had ACD values longer than 4.1 mm (IOLMaster 700 measurement data). AL measurements were not possible for 17 phakic eyes with IOLMaster 500. Nine of these eyes had PSC and eight had dense nuclear cataracts.

DISCUSSION

Satisfactory refractive results after IOL implantation depends on optimal biometry. Accurate AL, ACD and K readings are essential for all biometric formulas to calculate the IOL power required for the desired results. With the common usage of toric and multifocal IOLs, accurate biometry has become more important than ever to reach the refractory goals that patients expect. The most common preventable sources of error are incorrect AL measurements and keratometry readings. IOLMaster was the first optical biometer available for commercial usage and its accuracy and repeatability made it the gold standard of biometry for many years. Other optical biometry devices became available in the last few years. All these devices depend on patient fixation to a target. Poor fixation can be one of the reasons for AL measurement errors. Lens problems, dense vitreous opacities or foveal problems can also cause errors in optical biometry. In addition, with PSC and dense cataracts, the optical biometers available today can fail to take adequate measurements.

Swept source OCT based IOLMaster 700 provides an image-based measurement, allowing the surgeon to view the complete longitudinal section of the eye. Therefore, it may identify irregular eye geometries, such as lens tilt, and imaging of the
fovea may alert the observer to insufficient fixation during measurements. This may allow for a more accurate performance of IOL power calculations and better refractive outcomes. Furthermore, the swept source OCT technology has the additional advantage of extremely rapid data acquisition, including the ability to measure the AL along six different axes.

In this study, we aimed to compare the performance of IOLMaster 700 with the gold standard IOLMaster 500. Regarding the AL measurements, IOLMaster 700 showed an incredible correlation with IOLMaster 500 with a ICC value of 1. The mean measurement difference among the 188 eyes measured was 0.005 mm (SD=0.02). These results show that both devices measure the AL in nearly the same way.

In eyes with PSC, IOLMaster 500 and other optical biometers have higher failure rates and immersion ultrasound (US) biometry was the only option until today. Clinically, PSC cataracts have higher failure rates and immersion ultrasound (US) biometers measure the AL in nearly the same way. The mean measurement difference among the 188 eyes measured was 0.005 mm (SD=0.02). These results show that both devices measure the AL in nearly the same way.

Regarding the AL measurements, IOLMaster 700 showed an incredible correlation with IOLMaster 500 with a ICC value of 1. The mean measurement difference among the 188 eyes measured was 0.005 mm (SD=0.02). These results show that both devices measure the AL in nearly the same way.

Figure 4  Bland–Altman plot for K2 value comparing IOLMaster 700 with IOLMaster 500. The 95% limits of agreement were −0.61 to 0.45 D. Dotted lines, ±1.96 SD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anterior chamber depth (n=175)</th>
<th>Axial length (n=188)</th>
<th>Keratometry measurements (n=188)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>K1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth (mm)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>Keratometry measurements (D) n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;0.1 mm</td>
<td>90 (52)</td>
<td>0.5D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;0.2 mm</td>
<td>154 (88)</td>
<td>0.2 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;0.3 mm</td>
<td>175 (100)</td>
<td>0.03 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
eliminated in IOLMaster 700, since it uses swept source OCT images for the calculation of ACD.

Keratometric measurements are the second important source of error for refractive outcomes. Both devices use a distance-independent telecentric keratometry system. In our study, nearly all of the keratometric measurements with both instruments were within 0.5 (D) for steep and flat meridians. Besides, the correlation coefficients for flat meridian (ICC=0.992) and steep meridian (ICC=0.989) show that both instruments measure corneal power with similar accuracy. Although very important for toric IOL calculations, axis measurements are not used by most of the current biometric formulas for spheric IOL power calculations and so we did not include them in the current paper.

With ICC close to 1, both devices are expected to give very similar IOL measurements in eyes with good fixation and no additional ocular problems, which is the case for most of the routine cataract cases we observe in developed countries. The main advantage of swept source OCT-based biometry like IOLMaster 700 becomes evident in patients with poor fixation, irregular eye geometries and denser cataracts.

In this study we found that the IOL power for SRK/T, Holiday 1, Hoffer Q and Haigis derived by both devices were quite similar, and highly correlated. The mean difference was less than 0.10 D for all the formulas, less than the increment in the IOL power step (0.50 D). Although both devices did not have a clinically significant impact on IOL power, the chosen IOL formula should be considered. If the WTW measurement is required in a formula, such as in the Holladay 2, the result may be different.

As a result, the agreement between the two devices was outstanding regarding AL, ACD, K1 and K2 values. However, the IOLMaster 700 was more effective in obtaining biometric measurements in eyes with PSC and dense nuclear cataracts compared with IOLMaster 500. The ability of the new swept source OCT-based biometry to detect the fixation pattern, decentration or tilt of the lens and the tomographic visualisation of the complete longitudinal section of the eye including the fovea helps to eliminate potential sources of error and, therefore, to optimise refractive outcomes in cataract surgery.
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