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ABSTRACT

Background/aims To evaluate the effect of adjuvant intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide (ITA) for radiation maculopathy (RM) recalcitrant to high-dose bevacizumab in patients with choroidal melanoma after plaque radiotherapy.

Methods Eight eyes of eight patients with RM secondary to plaque radiotherapy for choroidal melanoma, recalcitrant to high-dose bevacizumab (3.0 mg) were retrospectively evaluated. Intravitreal injections of ITA (4 mg/0.1 mL) were performed at 4-week to 16-week intervals as an adjunct to continued bevacizumab therapy. Change in central foveal thickness (CFT) as measured by optical coherence tomography and change in visual acuity (VA) were the main outcome measures.

Results At the time of diagnosis of choroidal melanoma, VA was 20/20 to 20/50 in 88% (n=7) and 20/60 to 20/200 in 12% (n=1). The mean radiation dose to the fovea was 81 Gy (median 75.2 Gy; range: 22.72–132.8 Gy). The mean onset to RM was 25 months after plaque therapy (median 25 months; range 12–44 months). At the time of initiation of ITA, VA was 20/20 to 20/50 in 38% (3/8), and 20/60 to 20/200 in 62% (5/8). After initiation of ITA, VA was stable or improved in 100% of patients (n=8) at 3 months, 88% at 6 months, 88% at 9 months and 75% at 12 months. Mean CFT was 417 µm at ITA initiation, 339 µm at 1 month, 355 µm at 6 months, 339 µm at 9 months and 359 µm at 1 year.

Conclusion Intravitreal triamcinolone can be added to preserve vision and decrease macular oedema in patients with RM recalcitrant to high-dose anti-vascular endothelial growth factor agents.

INTRODUCTION

Radiation maculopathy (RM) is the most common cause of irreversible vision loss in patients treated with plaque or charged particle radiotherapy for choroidal melanoma.1–4 The risk of RM has been related to total radiation dose, dose rate, the presence of synchronous systemic disease and radiation sensitisers.2–7 Signs of RM are similar to diabetic retinopathy including oedema, exudates, haemorrhages, cotton wool spots and capillary non-perfusion.2–9 Radiation-related vision loss can be devastating, resulting in visual acuity (VA) worse than 20/200 in as many as 43% of patients at 5 years and 68% of patients at 10 years after plaque therapy.3

Treatment for RM has been challenging. Initially, laser photoagulation was used to treat RM with varying degrees of success.3 Periocular and intravitreal steroids have also been used to prevent and treat RM-associated macular oedema.10–11 However, the most lasting success has been attributed to the use of periodic, intravitreal anti-vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) medications.12–14 In 2016, Finger et al reported that escalating doses of intravitreal anti-VEGF therapy (bevacizumab, ranibizumab) preserved vision (within two lines of their initial VA) for 80% of patients for a mean 38 months (range 6–108).6–15

Investigators have explored polypharmacy for RM. Seibel et al11 demonstrated no difference in VA or central foveal thickness (CFT) when comparing treatment with intravitreal bevacizumab (IVB), solid dexamethasone and aqueous triamcinolone acetonide (ITA). In cases recalcitrant to anti-VEGF agents, reports have shown success in maintaining VA by using dexamethasone implant.16 Shah et al17 recently presented a case series of RM recalcitrant to low dose IVB (1.25 mg) successfully stabilised with ITA.

However, despite suppressing RM and maintaining vision with escalating doses of IVA as high as 3.0 mg, there developed a subset of recalcitrant cases. This study evaluated the efficacy of periodic adjunctive intravitreal steroid therapy in patients with RM recalcitrant to high-dose IVB injections.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

This study adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and the Health Insurance Portability and Privacy Act of 1996 and was approved by the Institutional Review Board of The New York Eye Cancer Center. Data for all patients with the diagnosis of uveal melanoma treated with palladium-103 (103Pd) or iodine-125 (125I) plaque radiotherapy and that subsequently received both anti-VEGF intravitreal injections and ITA between 2005 and 2016 were retrospectively reviewed. Of these, we found that our first ITA patient was treated in 2014.

RISKS AND BENEFITS

As part of informed consent, risks related to intravitreal steroid injection were discussed with patients including cataract, steroid-induced glaucoma, retinal detachment and endophthalmitis. The potential benefit of vision preservation was discussed within the framework of prior intravitreal steroid studies.
**Clinical science**

**ITA ENTRY CRITERIA**

Only patients who were failing maximal IVB therapy were included in this study. Treatment failure (for both IVB and ITA) was defined as deteriorating VA or worsening clinical features (optical coherence tomography (OCT), VA or fluorescein angiography (FA)) on two consecutive monthly examinations despite maximum IVB (3.0 mg).

Patients with follow-up of at least 1 year after starting ITA were included. Patient characteristics collected included age, race and medical problems. Best-corrected ETDRS (Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study) VA (in standardised examination rooms) was recorded at the time of diagnosis and at each examination thereafter. Tumour data included largest basal diameter, largest thickness and tumour proximity to optic nerve and fovea. Radiation data included total radiation dose (Gray) to the tumour apex, optic disc and fovea. Follow-up examinations were performed at 3-month to 4-month intervals until RM was diagnosed and then every 4 weeks for treatment. In addition to clinical examination, fundus photography, and/or FA, and CFT on OCT using the Spectrals OCT2 Module (Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany) were regularly performed at follow-up visits. RM was defined as macular haemorrhages, cotton-wool spots, microaneurysms or progressive macular oedema.

**METHODS OF INTRAVITREAL INJECTION**

Methods of intravitreal injection (aseptic technique) have evolved. Currently, we anaesthetise with a drop of topical proparacaine followed by betadine 5%. After 30 s, these are followed by two additional drops of topical viscous lidocaine applied 2 to 5 min apart. Lastly, an additional drop of betadine 5% is placed 30 s prior to injection. To minimise secondary corneal abrasions, eyelid speculums are only used for those who could not allow digital retraction. In this series, intravitreal injections were introduced at an angle through the pars plana using a 30 G needle. Optic nerve perfusion and VA were normalised prior to injection. Follow-up injections were performed at 3-month to 4-month intervals until RM was diagnosed and then every 4 weeks for treatment. In addition to clinical examination, fundus photography, and/or FA, and CFT on OCT using the Spectrals OCT2 Module (Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany) were regularly performed at follow-up visits. RM was defined as macular haemorrhages, cotton-wool spots, microaneurysms or progressive macular oedema.

**RESULTS**

Here we report on eight eyes with RM recalcitrant to high-dose IVB (table 1). Their ophthalmic findings and radiation treatment parameters (at diagnosis) are listed (table 2). In sum, their mean $^{103}$Pd radiation doses to the apex and fovea were 69 Gy and 82 Gy, respectively. The mean onset to RM was 25 months after plaque therapy.

Their initial RM treatment is summarised in table 3. Dose escalations (IVB) prior to the introduction of ITA were defined as increased dose in milligrams or shortened intervals between doses. With that definition, 88% (n=7) of patients had received four escalations of IVB dose and 12% (n=1) received five escalations. The mean duration of anti-VEGF therapy prior to initiation of ITA was 55 months. In all cases, anti-VEGF therapy had been escalated to 3.0 mg of monthly IVB prior to the initiation of ITA. There had been a mean number of 10 injections at this dose over mean duration of 6 months prior to polypharmacy with ITA. After introduction of ITA, mean number of ITA injections was 7 (range 3–11) and mean follow-up period was 21 months (range 15–28).

One patient was deemed an ITA non-responder, showing no improvement in VA and progressively increasing CFT after 3 monthly ITA injections. ITA was discontinued and the patient received focal laser on the next visit. Despite all interventions, her vision and CFT progressively deteriorated.

**Table 2**  Choroidal melanoma characteristics, plaque radiotherapy parameters and initial RM treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Visual acuity prior to plaque, n (%)</th>
<th>Tumour size: mean (median, range)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apex</td>
<td>7 (88)</td>
<td>Basal dimension: 11.1 (11.9, 7.1–13.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optic disc</td>
<td>56.2 (9.0–125.1)</td>
<td>Thickness: 4.3 (2.8, 2.3–10.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fovea</td>
<td>81.5 (75.2, 22.7–138.2)</td>
<td>Proximity to optic disc: 3.2 (2.5, 0.5–9.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AJCC stage: stage (n)</td>
<td>T1(4), T2(2), T3(2)</td>
<td>Proximity to fovea: 1.8 (1.5, 0–5.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Months to onset of RM: mean (median, range)</td>
<td>26 (25, 12–44)</td>
<td>Isotope: mean (%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AJCC, American Joint Committee on Cancer; RM, radiation maculopathy.

**Table 3**  Treatment details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prior to ITA initiation</th>
<th>No of IVB injections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IOP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>12 (12, 10–18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After ITA initiation</td>
<td>No of ITA injections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>12 (12, 9–19)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All values listed as mean (median, range). IOP, intraocular pressure; ITA, intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide; IVB, intravitreal bevacizumab.
CENTRAL FOVEAL THICKNESS

Decreased CFT by optical coherence tomography was noted in 88% (n=7) of patients at 12 months (table 4, figure 1). At 18 (n=4) and 24 (n=3) months, CFT remained reduced from ITA initiation in 75% and 67% of patients, respectively. A representative case is shown in figure 2. The aforementioned ITA non-responder (n=1) experienced 55%, 112% and 87% increases in CFT at 12, 18 and 24 months, respectively.

VISUAL ACUITY

A significant change in VA was defined as a gain or loss of two ETDRS lines of vision. Resultant VA within two ETDRS lines of their ITA-entry initial VA was measured in 100% of patients (n=8) at 3 months, 88% of patients at 6 months, 88% of patients at 9 months and 75% of patients at 12 months (table 4, figure 3). Of these, 29% and 33% (n=2) had improved by at least two lines at 12 and 18 months, respectively. At 18 months, 75% (n=3) remained within two lines of their initial VA, while 25% (n=1) worsened. At 24 months, 67% (n=2) remained within two lines of their initial VA, while 33% (n=1) worsened. It is important to note that this one failure was a significant outlier that affected the mean VA outcome (her vision decreased from 20/100 to 20/650 at 12 months).

COMPLICATIONS

In this study, two patients (25%) developed medication-related ocular hypertension. In both cases, intraocular pressure returned to pre-ITA levels with topical therapy alone. One patient (12%) developed advancement of cataract requiring extraction. There were no cases of endophthalmitis or retinal detachment.

DISCUSSION

In this series, ITA was found to be a safe and promising treatment for RM recalcitrant to high-dose periodic anti-VEGF therapy. Stabilisation or improvement of vision was achieved for 100% of patients at 3 months, 88% of patients at 9 months, and 75% of patients at 12 months. Decreased CFT on OCT was achieved in 75% of patients at months 1 to 6 and for 88% at 12 months. These findings stand in stark contrast to our findings at the initiation of ITA, where all patients were receiving bevacizumab 3.0 mg at 4-week intervals with worsening CFTs or VA on two consecutive visits.

LASER, VEGF AND RM

Uveal melanomas and their chronic exudative retinal detachments produce VEGF. Then, radiation induces ocular ischaemic tissue that, in turn, produces VEGF. Laser photocoagulation was first used to treat RM and neovascular glaucoma. Though anti-VEGF drugs had not been discovered at the time, Finger, Hykin, Materin and others unknowingly achieved an anti-VEGF effect by directly treating radiation-induced chorioretinal ischaemia and by laser demarcation (devitalisation of posterior uveal melanomas). Though it is less likely to effect a permanent cure, laser is still used as a single RM treatment alternative to long-term periodic intravitreal injections.
Steroids for RM

Radiation retinopathy exhibits a vaso-occlusive, vasoproliferative pathophysiology similar to diabetic retinopathy; they are both progressive and respond to anti-VEGF and anti-inflammatory therapies. For RM, anti-VEGF therapy has been shown to reduce macular oedema by reducing vascular permeability and the formation of new blood vessels by direct inhibition of the inflammatory cytokine VEGF. However, IVB has been associated with an increase of other intraocular cytokines, such as interleukin 8 (IL-8). In contrast, ITA’s anticytokine properties are thought to reduce the expression of hypoxia-induced VEGF. Another proposed mechanism of ITA is restoring the integrity of the inner retinal barrier by increasing tight junction proteins and thereby reducing vascular leakage. Finally, by upregulating adenosine, triamcinolone has been shown to reduce osmotic swelling of Muller cells. Jeon et al demonstrated ITA’s efficacy in managing diabetic macular oedema recalcitrant to IVB; they related elevated aqueous IL-8 levels to efficacy. These anti-inflammatory properties make ITA a promising adjunctive therapy for RM.

Seibel, Horgan and Shields’ clinical research on steroid therapy for RM suggest temporary improvement in radiation retinopathy. However, none of these efforts suggested or employed continuous periodic treatment. In contrast, our study showed that when ITA is used together with IVB, there is an additive effect. We suspect that cessation of ITA in our subset of recalcitrant cases would similarly lead to deterioration in functional and structural outcomes.

PRIOR ITA STUDIES

Shah et al presented 25 patients who had received ITA 4 mg in addition to 1.25 mg bevacizumab for either severe RM or RM refractory to IVB monotherapy. In that study, severity was based on macular oedema demonstrated on OCT and refractory was defined as minimal improvement in oedema after three injections. They found stability in mean VA and modest improvement in mean foveal thickness. It is unclear from the study how many patients were refractory to IVB at the initiation of ITA and a substantial percentage may have received ITA for severity alone. ITA was initiated after a mean of 23.7 months after RM was diagnosed, at a shorter duration than our study.

Horgan et al presented 55 patients with choroidal melanoma who were treated with primary 40 mg periocular triamcinolone at plaque application and again 4 and 8 months later,
with 24 months of follow-up. Patients in the intervention group had a reduced risk of macular oedema. However, there was a similar rate of moderate and severe vision loss between the intervention and control groups. Shields et al.11 also presented 31 patients with RM secondary to plaque therapy prospectively treated with primary ITA. They found that while 91% of patients’ vision stabilised or improved at 1 month, this percentage declined to 45% at an endpoint of 6 months. In contrast, our study found a similar effect at 1 month and a substantially higher percentage of patients with stable or improved VA at 6 months. These studies differ from ours in many ways; most importantly, in both studies patients were naive to prior RM treatments (including anti-VEGF therapy) and in the latter received only one ITA injection.

**INTRAVITREAL IVB**

Our experience with the long-term management of patients with RM found escalating doses of anti-VEGF are needed in most patients to maintain the functional and architectural benefit.15 This experience suggests that treated RM is progressive, much like treated hypertension or diabetes. In response to signs of RM progression, all the patients in this study had been given what we considered to be maximum doses of IVB. This approach is supported by prior studies showing that high-dose bevacizumab achieves increased concentrations in the vitreous, and that improvement can be achieved with high-dose ranibizumab for patients who fail lower dose therapy.16-27

This study also differs from Shah in that we only treated recalcitrant cases.25 Therefore, there was an extended time interval between the onset of RM and the initiation of ITA. Further, cases that had only failed low dose anti-VEGF therapy may have improved with higher doses and were thus not necessarily recalcitrant.

ITA has been associated with increased intraocular pressure, glaucoma and cataract in as many as half of patients after 1 year of therapy.26 In this study, two patients required topical glaucoma medications and one patient was referred for cataract surgery. While these complications should be considered before initiation of ITA, we have found that they are outweighed by the benefit of preserving vision in patients with no alternative. One patient failed to respond to ITA, and their significant effect on the mean CFT and VA results in this study should be noted. Limitations of our study include its retrospective nature and small sample size. It is possible that with a larger sample size more complications and treatment failures would be discovered. However, our study shows that ITA, added to high-dose IVB, can be used to preserve vision and decrease macular oedema in patients with RM recalcitrant to high-dose anti-VEGF agents. Larger, prospective, registry and confirmatory studies with longer follow-up and differing dose regimens should be performed.
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