



OPEN ACCESS

# Evolution of treatment paradigms in neovascular age-related macular degeneration: a review of real-world evidence

Vincent Daien ,<sup>1,2</sup> Robert P Finger,<sup>3</sup> James S Talks,<sup>4</sup> Paul Mitchell,<sup>5</sup> Tien Y Wong,<sup>6,7</sup> Taiji Sakamoto ,<sup>8</sup> Bora M Eldem,<sup>9</sup> Jean-François Korobelnik<sup>10,11</sup>

► Supplemental material is published online only. To view please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bjophthalmol-2020-317434>).

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

## Correspondence to

Vincent Daien, Department of Ophthalmology, Gui de Chauliac Hospital, Montpellier, France; [vincent.daien@gmail.com](mailto:vincent.daien@gmail.com)

Received 6 July 2020

Revised 27 August 2020

Accepted 3 October 2020

## ABSTRACT

The aim of this work was to evaluate the contribution of real-world evidence (RWE) in changing anti-vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) therapy treatment practices and improving real-world treatment strategies for neovascular age-related macular degeneration (nAMD). A PubMed literature search was performed to review the large number of English-language studies conducted to investigate the real-world effectiveness of anti-VEGF (aflibercept and ranibizumab) treatment paradigms available for nAMD.

The evidence for pro re nata (PRN), treat-and-extend (T&E) and fixed bimonthly dosing regimens for anti-VEGF treatment of nAMD were reviewed and findings are summarised. RWE demonstrated that T&E regimens optimise visual outcomes while reducing burden on patients, clinics and physicians, compared with both fixed-dose and PRN regimens.

RWE has helped to develop and improve real-world treatment strategies in nAMD, with the aim of optimising visual outcomes and reducing treatment burden in clinical practice. Of the various regimens, a T&E regimen is most likely to adequately balance clinical outcomes and treatment burden for patients with nAMD.

## INTRODUCTION

Age-related macular degeneration (AMD) is the leading cause of blindness in developed countries, affecting up to 18% of adults aged over 85 years.<sup>1–5</sup> Without treatment, the progressive loss of central visual acuity (VA) that characterises late-stage AMD leads to severe and permanent visual impairment and legal blindness. This can have a major impact on patients' quality of life, ability to perform day-to-day activities and functional independence, contributing to considerable emotional impact.<sup>5–8</sup> Delay in initiating nAMD treatment and poor patient adherence can contribute to suboptimal outcomes, highlighting the need for management approaches that incorporate timely and effective treatment at intervals to match the needs of each patient.<sup>9–10</sup> Prior to the early 2000s, treatment options for neovascular AMD (nAMD) were limited to laser photocoagulation and photodynamic therapy (PDT), but these are now redundant in current clinical practice in the context of newer treatment options because of the relatively poor visual outcomes and risk of adverse events.<sup>10–13</sup>

Confirmation that vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) is a key pathogenic factor in the development of nAMD<sup>12</sup> was a milestone that subsequently led to highly effective, novel anti-VEGF therapies (including the RNA oligonucleotide, pegaptanib and particularly the anti-VEGF monoclonal antibodies, ranibizumab and intravitreal aflibercept).<sup>10–11 13–17</sup> Ranibizumab, first approved in 2006, prevented vision loss and led to gains in VA compared with either PDT or sham injections in two key phase III clinical trials, ANCHOR and MARINA, with monthly intravitreal injections.<sup>15–16</sup> Intravitreal aflibercept, a soluble decoy VEGF receptor,<sup>18</sup> was approved in 2011 for the treatment of nAMD as a result of significant VA gains in the phase III VIEW 1 and 2 trials, using a regimen of three initial monthly injections followed by injections every 8 weeks in Year 1 and every 12 weeks or more in Year 2 and beyond, based on visual/anatomical outcomes evaluated monthly.<sup>13–19–20</sup>

Although randomised controlled trials (RCTs) such as ANCHOR, MARINA and VIEW are considered the 'gold standard' for establishing efficacy and safety, their relevance to real-life clinical practice is restricted by the selective trial populations and controlled environment.<sup>21</sup> Real-world evidence (RWE) generated in clinical practice can offer additional insights into the effectiveness, safety and practicality of treatments in heterogeneous patient populations, and can highlight the influence of treatment patterns on real-world adherence, persistence and outcomes.<sup>10–21</sup>

The aim of this article is to evaluate the contribution of RWE in changing treatment practices with anti-VEGF therapy in nAMD and to examine its role in optimising anti-VEGF treatment regimens to maintain the best long-term visual outcomes for patients, while reducing the burden of therapy.

## METHODS

This narrative review was informed by a structured literature search to include evidence in an unbiased manner. A search of the PubMed database was performed on 5 July 2018, using the following search terms: (long-term OR real-life OR longitudinal OR cohort OR clinical experience OR open-label OR real-world OR database OR non-interventional OR observational) NOT (randomised OR randomised OR tumour OR tumour) AND (ranibizumab OR Lucentis OR aflibercept OR Eylea OR bevacizumab OR Avastin) AND age-related macular degeneration



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2020. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

**To cite:** Daien V, Finger RP, Talks JS, et al. *Br J Ophthalmol* Epub ahead of print: [please include Day Month Year]. doi:10.1136/bjophthalmol-2020-317434

NOT (Review[ptyp]) NOT diabetic macular oedema, and was limited to English language. The literature search results were reviewed, and relevant articles identified based on their titles. For any articles where the relevance was unclear, abstracts and full manuscripts were reviewed to ensure that data regarding long-term VA and treatment regimens over 12-month periods were included. Additionally, reference lists from studies identified during the literature search were reviewed and any further relevant articles and conference abstracts were included (online supplemental tables 1 and 2 provide a full summary of relevant data from the identified literature).

Articles identified during the literature search were selected for discussion in this review based on the relevance to changes in clinical practice and weight of the evidence described. As such, this review is intended to provide a narrative description of the evolutions of treatment paradigms in nAMD, rather than serve as a systematic summary of all available data on the topic.

To support analysis of the outcomes reported in the identified articles, and place the findings in the context of the current nAMD landscape, two further papers were considered, which report comparisons between data for current anti-VEGF therapies and those in development<sup>22</sup> and RWE from nearly 50 000 eyes with nAMD.<sup>23</sup>

## RESULTS

Since the approval of ranibizumab and intravitreal aflibercept for the treatment of nAMD, a growing body of evidence has demonstrated the real-world effectiveness of anti-VEGF therapy, supporting the optimisation of treatment regimens.

### Anti-VEGF treatment in pro re nata (PRN; as needed) regimens

The initial approval of ranibizumab in a fixed monthly regimen was based on the phase III ANCHOR and MARINA trials that enrolled >1000 patients and demonstrated gains in VA of +7.2 and +11.3 letters after 1 year with 0.5 mg ranibizumab monthly dosing.<sup>15 16</sup> However, the requirement for monthly dosing was associated with a high treatment burden for patients and healthcare systems, resulting in difficulty adhering to treatment in real-world settings and driving the move towards PRN regimens.<sup>24</sup> With PRN treatment, patients are monitored frequently (typically monthly), but only treated when disease reactivation, or worsening, is detected (decrease in VA and/or anatomical outcomes as measured by optical coherence tomography or fluorescein angiography).<sup>10</sup> PRN regimens may be initiated after initial fixed doses have achieved maximum VA gains and disease stability.<sup>20 25</sup>

Subsequent RCTs evaluated the efficacy of PRN regimens compared with monthly injections for maintaining VA gains, with the aim of reducing treatment burden.<sup>26 27</sup> The largest were the phase III CATT and HARBOR trials, in which clinically meaningful increases in VA were observed after 12 months of ranibizumab PRN treatment (+6.8 and +8.2 letters, respectively). However, these findings were statistically non-inferior to the letter changes in the monthly dosing arms in the CATT trial, and did not reach the prespecified non-inferiority comparison in the HARBOR trial (+8.5 and +10.1 letters, respectively).<sup>26 28</sup> Since initial approval, the ranibizumab label has been updated to monthly injections initially, until maximum VA is achieved and/or there are no signs of disease activity, followed by PRN with monitoring and injections at the physician's discretion, based on disease activity. The ranibizumab label also provides guidance on use as part of a treat-and-extend (T&E) regimen and, once maximum VA is achieved and/or there are no

signs of disease activity, treatment intervals can be extended stepwise (by no more than 2 weeks at a time for nAMD) until signs of disease activity or visual impairment recur.<sup>25</sup>

The 62 publications describing outcomes of anti-VEGF PRN regimens in routine clinical practice are outlined in online supplemental table 1. Of note, two meta-analyses examining the effectiveness of ranibizumab in real-world studies were identified. Guo *et al* reported an improvement of +4.85 letters after 1 year in 37 observational studies with ranibizumab treatment (which included fixed-dose and PRN regimens).<sup>29</sup> Similarly, Kim *et al* reported an increase of +3.5 letters at Year 1 with ranibizumab PRN regimens (N=20 247); however, this was not maintained, reducing to +1.3 letters at Year 2 and -1.9 letters by Year 3 (N=14 408 and 11 714, respectively).<sup>30</sup>

Three articles reported outcomes for studies including >1000 patients on PRN regimens (AURA, LUMINOUS and IRIS) and, in all, improvements in VA were observed with ranibizumab PRN treatment at 1 year compared with baseline.<sup>31–33</sup> However, in AURA, which continued beyond 1 year of treatment, the initial gains in VA observed after 4 months with initial ranibizumab monthly injections, were not maintained at Year 1 and continued to decline towards the end of Year 2.<sup>31</sup> In studies continuing beyond 3 years, declining VA with anti-VEGF PRN regimens was observed over the long term.<sup>34–42</sup> Furthermore, in a small study in which initial VA gains were maintained for up to 5 years, the number of injections administered annually increased in later years (median of seven injections in Year 5 vs four injections in Years 2–4).<sup>43</sup> This observation is consistent with other studies that indicated that, despite treatment being administered on a PRN basis, a higher number of injections is independently correlated with improved VA for patients with nAMD treated with ranibizumab.<sup>33 44–47</sup>

RWE has highlighted the challenges associated with matching the outcomes observed in RCTs with PRN regimens in routine clinical practice, including physician preference, under-treatment and patient adherence.<sup>10 22 31 32</sup> This drove efforts to investigate alternative anti-VEGF regimens, to maximise and maintain VA gains for all patients.

### Fixed bimonthly dosing

Intravitreal aflibercept was initially approved in a bimonthly regimen after three initial loading doses, supported by the phase III VIEW 1 and 2 trials, in which similar mean gains in VA were observed with 4-weekly and 8-weekly dosing (9.3 letter gain with 4-weekly dosing and 8.4 with 8-weekly dosing of intravitreal aflibercept 2 mg).<sup>19</sup>

Nine articles describing outcomes of bimonthly fixed-dose anti-VEGF regimens in routine clinical practice were identified, all of which investigated the effectiveness of intravitreal aflibercept in a bimonthly dosing regimen following three initial loading doses (online supplemental table 1). No RWE on the effectiveness of fixed bimonthly dosing with ranibizumab was identified.

Regardless of study type, intravitreal aflibercept administered in a bimonthly regimen following three initial monthly doses was consistently associated with gains in VA at Year 1, with improvements in vision ranging from +3.0 to +8.0 letters from baseline.<sup>48–56</sup> Talks *et al* concluded that the VA gains observed were comparable to those reported in the VIEW 1 and 2 phase III trials.<sup>48</sup>

Several real-world studies have compared the effectiveness of intravitreal aflibercept bimonthly treatment with intravitreal aflibercept and ranibizumab PRN regimens. In PERSEUS, when both treatment-naïve and -experienced patients were considered, those receiving regular bimonthly intravitreal aflibercept achieved significantly

greater improvements in VA than those in the irregular treatment cohort (+6.1 letters vs +1.5 letters, respectively, at Year 1;  $p=0.008$ ).<sup>49</sup> Similar observations were made in the RAINBOW study; however, in both studies, the irregular cohorts did not have a strict definition and, although a PRN regimen was assumed by the authors, the number of injections was lower than is recommended by EURETINA guidelines.<sup>13 49 56</sup> In a retrospective analysis of electronic medical records in the UK, patients receiving intravitreal aflibercept (initial loading doses followed by fixed bimonthly dosing) achieved gains of +5.93 letters at Year 1, compared with +2.55 letters for those receiving ranibizumab (initial loading doses, then PRN), despite lower baseline VA for patients initiating ranibizumab.<sup>57</sup>

The effectiveness of fixed bimonthly dosing has been demonstrated in routine clinical practice, with evidence indicating improved outcomes compared with PRN regimens. However, fixed treatment is still associated with considerable treatment burden.<sup>10 48–56</sup>

### T&E regimens

T&E regimens aim to personalise treatment, further reducing the burden on patients and healthcare systems, by allowing variation in treatment interval based on the individual patient's disease activity, while optimising anti-VEGF efficacy compared with PRN regimens. Although specific dosing schedules may vary according to drug, after initial loading doses, T&E regimens typically involve treatment administration at every scheduled clinic visit, with incremental increase or decrease in the interval between each visit according to anatomic outcomes and VA—the objective being to maximise the interval between injections without disease recurrence.<sup>10 20 25</sup>

RCTs have demonstrated good visual outcomes for patients receiving T&E regimens with anti-VEGF therapies. T&E regimens with ranibizumab were shown to be non-inferior to monthly dosing in the phase III TREND and TREX-AMD RCTs, with +6.2 vs +8.1 letter in TREND (Year 1) and +8.7 vs +10.5 letter in TREX (Year 2) gains, respectively.<sup>58 59</sup> Similarly, the second year of the phase III RCTs, VIEW 1 and 2, and the 2-year prospective, open-label ATLAS study provided evidence for the ability to extend intravitreal aflibercept beyond bimonthly dosing to 12-week intervals.<sup>60 61</sup> Patients receiving intravitreal aflibercept in a T&E regimen in the RCT ALTAIR achieved gains in VA of +9.0 and +8.4 letters at Year 1 for 2-week and 4-week adjustment periods, respectively.<sup>62</sup>

Twenty-five articles describing outcomes with T&E regimens with ranibizumab, intravitreal aflibercept and bevacizumab in routine clinical practice were identified (online supplemental table 2). Although T&E dosing, according to the aflibercept label, was not strictly adhered to in all studies, together, they confirm that a real-world, T&E protocol with anti-VEGFs can improve VA over periods of up to 3 years in patients with nAMD.<sup>50 61 63–78</sup>

Meta-analyses have supported initial observations that better visual outcomes are achieved with T&E regimens while reducing the burden on patients, clinics and physicians.<sup>30</sup> Furthermore, a meta-analysis of four studies comparing PRN, T&E and fixed monthly dosing with ranibizumab indicated that T&E dosing offers similar visual outcomes to monthly dosing, while reducing injection burden.<sup>79</sup> In contrast, improvements in VA over 12 months were significantly lower with PRN dosing than with a T&E regimen.<sup>79</sup>

It is important to note that while good visual outcomes can be achieved with extended injection intervals, recent findings indicate that there is a positive and clinically meaningful correlation between the number of injections and VA outcomes<sup>22</sup> and that injection frequency in the first year is an important contributor to VA gains.<sup>22 23</sup>

### Initial loading doses

Regardless of treatment regimen, RWE has demonstrated the importance of receiving initial loading doses for achieving optimal outcomes for patients with nAMD. Typically, gains in VA are observed in the first 3 months of treatment with initial loading doses, and the aim of subsequent treatment (regardless of regimen) is to maximise and maintain initial gains.<sup>80</sup> In the large, observational RAINBOW study, treatment-naïve patients receiving full initial intravitreal aflibercept loading doses achieved significant gains in VA compared with baseline at Year 1, with no improvement in those who did not receive the initial loading doses (+7.1 letters in regular cohort vs –1.1 letters in irregular cohort who did not receive initial loading doses, at 12 months).<sup>56</sup>

### DISCUSSION

The discovery of anti-VEGF therapies led to a paradigm shift in the management of nAMD, as these became the first treatment option associated with improvements in VA in RCTs.<sup>15 16</sup> Since their initial approval, anti-VEGF treatment regimens have been adapted by physicians to meet patients' needs and healthcare resource availability. Regimens have evolved in parallel with emerging RWE, with the aim of optimising visual outcomes and reducing treatment burden. Here, we identify and describe the growing body of evidence supporting the effectiveness of anti-VEGF therapy for the treatment of nAMD in routine clinical practice.

Initial fixed monthly ranibizumab regimens were associated with good efficacy and tolerability in RCTs.<sup>15 16</sup> However, these outcomes were not mirrored in early real-world studies due to under-treatment resulting from treatment burden. PRN regimens offered the opportunity to achieve visual gains at Year 1, with a reduced number of injections, but visual outcomes were suboptimal and monthly appointments were required, even when an injection was not needed, which compromised the reduction in treatment burden.<sup>29 30</sup> In contrast, intravitreal aflibercept in a bimonthly regimen after three initial loading doses was associated with good visual outcomes and tolerability in both RCTs and real-world studies.<sup>19 48–56</sup>

The opportunity to reduce patient, physician and clinic burden and optimise visual outcomes, led to the investigation of anti-VEGF T&E regimens. Recently, a growing body of evidence has emerged from RCTs and real-world studies supporting the effectiveness of T&E regimens with anti-VEGFs.<sup>58 62 81</sup> Evidence so far indicates that T&E regimens are associated with improved visual outcomes compared with PRN regimens at Year 1 and beyond, with potentially fewer clinic visits than fixed monthly or PRN dosing.<sup>30 79</sup> These findings demonstrate the clinical and quality of life benefits T&E regimens offer to patients, clinics and physicians, compared with PRN regimens.

Our comprehensive review included more than 100 articles describing treatment regimens and outcomes with anti-VEGF treatment for nAMD in real-world clinical practice and reflects the currently available body of evidence. A limitation to the analysis may arise from heterogeneity in the methods and populations of the included studies, and the relatively small sample size and retrospective nature of many of the studies, which may make generalisation inappropriate and limit definitive comparisons. Although a number of network meta-analyses have been conducted using clinical trial data from RCTs to assess the efficacy and safety of anti-VEGF treatments,<sup>82 83</sup> the present literature review differs in that it offers insights into the use of anti-VEGF treatment of nAMD in a real-world setting and how RWE has actively informed new, pragmatic, treatment strategies.

In conclusion, RWE has helped to shape and improve treatment strategies in nAMD in clinical practice, and demonstrates that T&E regimens can optimise visual outcomes and reduce treatment burden for patients with nAMD.

#### Author affiliations

- <sup>1</sup>Department of Ophthalmology, Gui de Chauliac Hospital, Montpellier, France  
<sup>2</sup>The Save Sight Institute, Sydney Medical School, The University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia  
<sup>3</sup>Department of Ophthalmology, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany  
<sup>4</sup>Department of Ophthalmology, Royal Victoria Infirmary, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK  
<sup>5</sup>Centre for Vision Research, Westmead Institute for Medical Research, The University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia  
<sup>6</sup>Singapore Eye Research Institute, Singapore National Eye Centre, Singapore  
<sup>7</sup>Duke-NUS Medical School, Singapore  
<sup>8</sup>Department of Ophthalmology, Kagoshima University Graduate School of Medical and Dental Sciences, Kagoshima, Japan  
<sup>9</sup>Faculty of Medicine, Ophthalmology Department, Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey  
<sup>10</sup>Service D'ophtalmologie, CHU de Bordeaux, Bordeaux, France  
<sup>11</sup>Bordeaux Population Health Research Center, University of Bordeaux, Talence, France

**Funding** Bayer Consumer Health AG, Pharmaceuticals, Basel organised the author meetings and provided funding for medical writing support. Medical writing and editorial support for the preparation of this manuscript (under the guidance of the authors) were provided by Katie McLaughlin (ApotheCom, UK). Katie McLaughlin has no conflict of interest to declare.

**Competing interests** The authors report consulting fees, travel support and financial and non-financial support outside the submitted work: VD: Alcon, Bayer, Horus, Novartis and Thea; BME: Alcon, Allergan Novartis, Bayer, Bausch & Lomb, Ophotech, Pfizer, Roche, Sanofi, Santen, Servier and Thea; JST: Allergan, Bayer and Novartis; J-FK: Alcon, Allergan, Bayer, Kanghong, Novartis, Novo Nordisk, Roche, Thea and Zeiss; PM: Abbott, Allergan, Bayer, Genentech, Novartis and Roche; RPF: Bayer, Novartis, Roche, Allergan, Alimera, Santhera, Opthea, Inositec, Ellex, Oxford Innovation, Zeiss, Heidelberg Engineering and CentreVue; TS: Alcon, Bayer, Novartis, Santen, Senju and Wakamoto; TYW: Allergan, Bayer, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Genentech, Merck, Novartis, Oxurion (formerly ThromboGenics), Roche and Samsung.

**Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

**Supplemental material** This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

**Open access** This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

#### ORCID iDs

Vincent Daien <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5675-0861>  
 Taiji Sakamoto <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0287-3801>

#### REFERENCES

- Bourne RR, Jonas JB, Flaxman SR, *et al.* Prevalence and causes of vision loss in high-income countries and in Eastern and Central Europe: 1990–2010. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2014;98:629–38.
- Kawasaki R, Yasuda M, Song SJ, *et al.* The prevalence of age-related macular degeneration in Asians: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ophthalmology* 2010;117:921–7.
- Colijn JM, Buitendijk GHS, Prokofyeva E, *et al.* Prevalence of age-related macular degeneration in Europe: the past and the future. *Ophthalmology* 2017;124:1753–63.
- Wong WL, Su X, Li X, *et al.* Global prevalence of age-related macular degeneration and disease burden projection for 2020 and 2040: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Glob Health* 2014;2:e106–16.
- Mitchell P, Liew G, Gopinath B, *et al.* Age-related macular degeneration. *Lancet* 2018;392:1147–59.
- Varano M, Eter N, Winyard S, *et al.* The emotional and physical impact of wet age-related macular degeneration: findings from the wAMD patient and caregiver survey. *Clin Ophthalmol* 2016;10:257–67.
- Fenwick EK, Cheung CMG, Ong PG, *et al.* The impact of typical neovascular age-related macular degeneration and polypoidal choroidal vasculopathy on vision-related quality of life in Asian patients. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2017;101:591–6.
- Hassell JB, Lamoureux EL, Keeffe JE. Impact of age related macular degeneration on quality of life. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2006;90:593–6.
- Wong TY, Chakravarthy U, Klein R, *et al.* The natural history and prognosis of neovascular age-related macular degeneration: a systematic review of the literature and meta-analysis. *Ophthalmology* 2008;115:116–26.
- Lanzetta P, Loewenstein A, Vision Academy Steering C. Fundamental principles of an anti-VEGF treatment regimen: optimal application of intravitreal anti-vascular endothelial growth factor therapy of macular diseases. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 2017;255:1259–73.
- Lim LS, Mitchell P, Seddon JM, *et al.* Age-related macular degeneration. *Lancet* 2012;379:1728–38.
- Wong TY, Liew G, Mitchell P. Clinical update: new treatments for age-related macular degeneration. *Lancet* 2007;370:204–6.
- Schmidt-Erfurth U, Chong V, Loewenstein A, *et al.* Guidelines for the management of neovascular age-related macular degeneration by the European society of retina specialists (EURETINA). *Br J Ophthalmol* 2014;98:1144–67.
- Spilbury K, Garrett KL, Shen WY, *et al.* Overexpression of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) in the retinal pigment epithelium leads to the development of choroidal neovascularization. *Am J Pathol* 2000;157:135–44.
- Rosenfeld PJ, Brown DM, Heier JS, *et al.* Ranibizumab for neovascular age-related macular degeneration. *N Engl J Med* 2006;355:1419–31.
- Brown DM, Kaiser PK, Michels M, *et al.* Ranibizumab versus verteporfin for neovascular age-related macular degeneration. *N Engl J Med* 2006;355:1432–44.
- Gragoudas ES, Adamis AP, Cunningham ET, *et al.* Pegaptanib for neovascular age-related macular degeneration. *N Engl J Med* 2004;351:2805–16.
- Papadopoulos N, Martin J, Ruan Q, *et al.* Binding and neutralization of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and related ligands by VEGF trap, ranibizumab and bevacizumab. *Angiogenesis* 2012;15:171–85.
- Heier JS, Brown DM, Chong V, *et al.* Intravitreal aflibercept (VEGF trap-eye) in wet age-related macular degeneration. *Ophthalmology* 2012;119:2537–48.
- Aflibercept SmPC. Aflibercept 40 mg/ml for intravitreal use. Available [https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/eylea-epar-product-information\\_en.pdf](https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/eylea-epar-product-information_en.pdf) (accessed June 2020)
- Annemans L, Aristides M, Kubin M Real-life data: a growing need. ISPOR Connections. Available <http://www.ispor.org/news/articles/oct07/rld.asp> (Last accessed Jul 2018)
- Khanna S, Komati R, Eichenbaum DA, *et al.* Current and upcoming anti-VEGF therapies and dosing strategies for the treatment of neovascular AMD: a comparative review. *BMJ Open Ophthalmol* 2019;4:e000398.
- Ciulla TA, Hussain RM, Pollack JS, *et al.* Visual acuity outcomes and anti-vascular endothelial growth factor therapy intensity in neovascular age-related macular degeneration patients: a real-world analysis of 49 485 eyes. *Ophthalmol Retina* 2020;4:19–30.
- Chong V. Ranibizumab for the treatment of wet AMD: a summary of real-world studies. *Eye (Lond)* 2016;30:270–86.
- Ranibizumab SmPC. Ranibizumab 10 mg/ml for intravitreal use. Available [https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/lucentis-epar-product-information\\_en.pdf](https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/lucentis-epar-product-information_en.pdf) (accessed Jun 2020)
- Busbee BG, Ho AC, Brown DM, *et al.* Twelve-month efficacy and safety of 0.5 mg or 2.0 mg ranibizumab in patients with subfoveal neovascular age-related macular degeneration. *Ophthalmology* 2013;120:1046–56.
- Arias L, Roman I, Masuet-Aumatell C, *et al.* One-year results of a flexible regimen with ranibizumab therapy in macular degeneration: relationship with the number of injections. *Retina* 2011;31:1261–7.
- Martin DF, Maguire MG, Ying GS, *et al.* Ranibizumab and bevacizumab for neovascular age-related macular degeneration. *N Engl J Med* 2011;364:1897–908.
- Guo MY, Etninan M, Cheng JZ, *et al.* One-year effectiveness study of intravitreal ranibizumab in wet (neovascular) age-related macular degeneration: a meta-analysis. *Pharmacotherapy* 2018;38:197–204.
- Kim LN, Mehta H, Barthelmes D, *et al.* Meta-analysis of real-world outcomes of intravitreal ranibizumab for the treatment of neovascular age-related macular degeneration. *Retina* 2016;36:1418–31.
- Holz FG, Tadayoni R, Beatty S, *et al.* Multi-country real-life experience of anti-vascular endothelial growth factor therapy for wet age-related macular degeneration. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2015;99:220–6.
- Rao P, Lum F, Wood K, *et al.* Real-world vision in age-related macular degeneration patients treated with single anti-VEGF drug type for 1 year in the IRIS registry. *Ophthalmology* 2018;125:522–8.
- Souied E, Clemens A, Macfadden W. Ranibizumab in patients with neovascular age-related macular degeneration: results from the real-world LUMINOUS study. *Acta Ophthalmol* 2017;95.

- 34 Ozkaya A, Alkin Z, Togac M, *et al.* Five-year outcomes of ranibizumab in neovascular age-related macular degeneration: real life clinical experience. *Korean J Ophthalmol* 2017;31:424–30.
- 35 Rasmussen A, Bloch SB, Fuchs J, *et al.* A 4-year longitudinal study of 555 patients treated with ranibizumab for neovascular age-related macular degeneration. *Ophthalmology* 2013;120:2630–6.
- 36 Wecker T, Ehken C, Buhler A, *et al.* Five-year visual acuity outcomes and injection patterns in patients with pro-re-nata treatments for AMD, DME, RVO and myopic CNV. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2017;101:353–9.
- 37 Zhu M, Chew JK, Broadhead GK, *et al.* Intravitreal ranibizumab for neovascular age-related macular degeneration in clinical practice: five-year treatment outcomes. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 2015;253:1217–25.
- 38 Arevalo JF, Lasave AF, Wu L, *et al.* Intravitreal bevacizumab for choroidal neovascularization in age-related macular degeneration: 5-year results of the pan-American collaborative retina study group. *Retina* 2016;36:859–67.
- 39 Beykin G, Grunin M, Averbukh E, *et al.* Bevacizumab treatment for neovascular age-related macular degeneration in the setting of a clinic: “real life” long-term outcome. *BMC Ophthalmol* 2015;15:39.
- 40 Jacob J, Brie H, Leys A, *et al.* Six-year outcomes in neovascular age-related macular degeneration with ranibizumab. *Int J Ophthalmol* 2017;10:81–90.
- 41 Silva R, Axer-Siegel R, Eldem B, *et al.* The SECURE study: long-term safety of ranibizumab 0.5 mg in neovascular age-related macular degeneration. *Ophthalmology* 2013;120:130–9.
- 42 Singer MA, Awah CC, Sadda S, *et al.* HORIZON: an open-label extension trial of ranibizumab for choroidal neovascularization secondary to age-related macular degeneration. *Ophthalmology* 2012;119:1175–83.
- 43 Airody A, Venugopal D, Allgar V, *et al.* Clinical characteristics and outcomes after 5 years pro re nata treatment of neovascular age-related macular degeneration with ranibizumab. *Acta Ophthalmol* 2015;93:e511–2.
- 44 Holz FG, Tadayoni R, Beatty S, *et al.* Determinants of visual acuity outcomes in eyes with neovascular AMD treated with anti-VEGF agents: an instrumental variable analysis of the AURA study. *Eye (Lond)* 2016;30:1063–71.
- 45 Holz FG, Tadayoni R, Beatty S, *et al.* Key drivers of visual acuity gains in neovascular age-related macular degeneration in real life: findings from the AURA study. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2016;100:1623–8.
- 46 Holz FG. Effectiveness of ranibizumab for the treatment of neovascular age-related macular degeneration: twelve-month results from the final analysis of the real-world LUMINOUS study. *EURETINA* 2017.
- 47 Vogel RN, Davis DB, Kimura BH, *et al.* Neovascular age-related macular degeneration with advanced visual loss treated with anti-vascular endothelial growth factor therapy: clinical outcome and prognostic indicators. *Retina* 2017;37:257–64.
- 48 Talks JS, Lotery AJ, Ghanchi F, *et al.* First-year visual acuity outcomes of providing aflibercept according to the VIEW study protocol for age-related macular degeneration. *Ophthalmology* 2016;123:337–43.
- 49 Framme C. Impact of treatment interval in naïve nAMD patients: 12-month results of the PERSEUS and RAINBOW studies. *EURETINA* 2017.
- 50 Lee AY, Lee CS, Egan CA, *et al.* UK AMD/DR EMR REPORT IX: comparative effectiveness of predominantly as needed (PRN) ranibizumab versus continuous aflibercept in UK clinical practice. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2017;101:1683–8.
- 51 Almuhtaseb H, Johnston RL, Talks JS, *et al.* Second-year visual acuity outcomes of nAMD patients treated with aflibercept: data analysis from the UK aflibercept users group. *Eye (Lond)* 2017;31:1582–8.
- 52 Almuhtaseb H, Kanavati S, Rufai SR, *et al.* One-year real-world outcomes in patients receiving fixed-dosing aflibercept for neovascular age-related macular degeneration. *Eye (Lond)* 2017;31:878–83.
- 53 Ricci F, Parravano M, Regine F, *et al.* Aflibercept in persistent neovascular AMD: comparison of different treatment strategies in switching therapy. *Eye (Lond)* 2016;30:1077–83.
- 54 Sakamoto S, Takahashi H, Inoue Y, *et al.* Intravitreal aflibercept for exudative age-related macular degeneration with good visual acuity: 2-year results of a prospective study. *Clin Ophthalmol* 2018;12:1137–47.
- 55 Warwick AN, Leaver HH, Lotery AJ, *et al.* Fixed bimonthly aflibercept in naïve and switched neovascular age-related macular degeneration patients: one year outcomes. *Int J Ophthalmol* 2016;9:1156–62.
- 56 Faure C, Cohen SY, Coscas F, *et al.* Real-world effectiveness and safety of intravitreal aflibercept regimens in patients with wet age-related macular degeneration: updated 12-month outcomes of RAINBOW. *9th Congress Controversies Ophthalmol Europ* 2018.
- 57 Wagner S, Faslser K, Afshar F, *et al.* Ranibizumab and aflibercept: baseline and 12-month visual outcomes of patients at a large tertiary ophthalmic hospital. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2018;59:815.
- 58 Silva R, Berta A, Larsen M, *et al.* Treat-and-extend versus monthly regimen in neovascular age-related macular degeneration: results with ranibizumab from the TREND study. *Ophthalmology* 2018;125:57–65.
- 59 Wykoff CC, Ou WC, Brown DM, *et al.* Randomized trial of treat-and-extend versus monthly dosing for neovascular age-related macular degeneration. *Ophthalmol Retina* 2017;1:314–21.
- 60 Schmidt-Erfurth U, Kaiser PK, Korobelnik JF, *et al.* Intravitreal aflibercept injection for neovascular age-related macular degeneration: ninety-six-week results of the VIEW studies. *Ophthalmology* 2014;121:193–201.
- 61 DeCroos FC, Reed D, Adam MK, *et al.* Treat-and-extend therapy using aflibercept for neovascular age-related macular degeneration: a prospective clinical trial. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2017;180:142–50.
- 62 Ohji M, Takahashi K, Okada AA, *et al.* Efficacy and safety of intravitreal aflibercept treat-and-extend regimens in exudative age-related macular degeneration: 52- and 96-week findings from ALTAIR: a randomized controlled trial. *Adv Ther* 2020;37:1173–87.
- 63 Castro-Navarro V, Cervera-Taulet E, Montero-Hernandez J, *et al.* Treat-and-extend approach with aflibercept: effects on different subtypes of age-related choroidal neovascularisation. *Arch Soc Esp Ophthalmol* 2017;92:112–19.
- 64 Gupta OP, Shienbaum G, Patel AH, *et al.* A treat and extend regimen using ranibizumab for neovascular age-related macular degeneration clinical and economic impact. *Ophthalmology* 2010;117:2134–40.
- 65 Ito A, Matsumoto H, Morimoto M, *et al.* Two-year outcomes of a treat-and-extend regimen using intravitreal aflibercept injections for typical age-related macular degeneration. *Ophthalmologica* 2017;238:236–42.
- 66 Mekjavic PJ, Zalelet Benda P. Outcome of 5-year treatment of neovascular age-related macular degeneration with intravitreal anti-VEGF using “treat and extend” regimen. *Front Med (Lausanne)* 2018;5:125.
- 67 Rayess N, Houston SK, Gupta OP, *et al.* Treatment outcomes after 3 years in neovascular age-related macular degeneration using a treat-and-extend regimen. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2015;159:3–8.e1.
- 68 Vardarinos A, Gupta N, Janjua R, *et al.* 24-month clinical outcomes of a treat-and-extend regimen with ranibizumab for wet age-related macular degeneration in a real life setting. *BMC Ophthalmol* 2017;17:58.
- 69 Yamamoto A, Okada AA, Nakayama M, *et al.* One-year outcomes of a treat-and-extend regimen of aflibercept for exudative age-related macular degeneration. *Ophthalmologica* 2017;237:139–44.
- 70 Oubraham H, Cohen SY, Samimi S, *et al.* Inject and extend dosing versus dosing as needed: a comparative retrospective study of ranibizumab in exudative age-related macular degeneration. *Retina* 2011;31:26–30.
- 71 Abedi F, Wickremasinghe S, Islam AF, *et al.* Anti-VEGF treatment in neovascular age-related macular degeneration: a treat-and-extend protocol over 2 years. *Retina* 2014;34:1531–8.
- 72 Hatz K, Prunte C. Treat and extend versus pro re nata regimens of ranibizumab in neovascular age-related macular degeneration: a comparative 12 month study. *Acta Ophthalmol* 2017;95:e67–e72.
- 73 Arnold JJ, Campaign A, Barthelmes D, *et al.* Two-year outcomes of “treat and extend” intravitreal therapy for neovascular age-related macular degeneration. *Ophthalmology* 2015;122:1212–19.
- 74 Barthelmes D, Nguyen V, Daien V, *et al.* Two year outcomes of “treat and extend” intravitreal therapy using aflibercept preferentially for neovascular age-related macular degeneration. *Retina* 2018;38:20–8.
- 75 Ohnaka M, Nagai Y, Sho K, *et al.* A modified treat-and-extend regimen of aflibercept for treatment-naïve patients with neovascular age-related macular degeneration. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 2017;255:657–64.
- 76 Rush RB, Simunovic MP, Vandiver L, *et al.* Treat-and-extend bevacizumab for neovascular age-related macular degeneration: the importance of baseline characteristics. *Retina* 2014;34:846–52.
- 77 Shienbaum G, Gupta OP, Fecarotta C, *et al.* Bevacizumab for neovascular age-related macular degeneration using a treat-and-extend regimen: clinical and economic impact. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2012;153:468–73.e1.
- 78 Eleftheriadou M, Gemenetzi M, M L, *et al.* Three-year outcomes of aflibercept treatment for neovascular age-related macular degeneration: evidence from a clinical setting. *Ophthalmol Ther* 2018;7:361–8.
- 79 Okada M, Kandasamy R, Chong EW, *et al.* The treat-and-extend injection regimen versus alternate dosing strategies in age-related macular degeneration: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2018;192:184–97.
- 80 Nguyen V, Daien V, Guymier R, *et al.* Projection of long-term visual acuity outcomes based on initial treatment response in neovascular age-related macular degeneration. *Ophthalmology* 2018;126:64–74.
- 81 Wykoff CC, Ou WC, Croft DE, *et al.* Neovascular age-related macular degeneration management in the third year: final results from the TREX-AMD randomised trial. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2018;102:460–4.
- 82 Schmid MK, Bachmann LM, Fas L, *et al.* Efficacy and adverse events of aflibercept, ranibizumab and bevacizumab in age-related macular degeneration: a trade-off analysis. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2015;99:141–6.
- 83 Szabo SM, Hedegaard M, Chan K, *et al.* Ranibizumab vs. aflibercept for wet age-related macular degeneration: network meta-analysis to understand the value of reduced frequency dosing. *Curr Med Res Opin* 2015;31:2031–42.