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ABSTRACT

Background/Aim This study evaluated real-life adalimumab impact in patients with active non-infectious intermediate, posterior, or panuveitis (NIIPPU).

Methods Adults with active NIIPPU received adalimumab in this prospective, observational study (06/2017–04/2020). Patients were evaluated at baseline (V0) and four follow-up visits over 12 months (V1–V4). Primary endpoint: proportion of patients achieving quiescence (anterior chamber (AC) cells grade and vitreous haze (VH) grades 0.5– in both eyes, no new active chorioretinal lesions) at any follow-up visit. Secondary endpoints: proportion of patients achieving quiescence at each visit; proportion of patients maintaining response; and proportion of patients with flares. Workability, visual function, healthcare resource utilisation, and safety were evaluated.

Results Full analysis set included 149 patients. Quiescence at any follow-up visit was achieved by 129/149 (88%) patients. Quiescence at individual visits was achieved by 99/145 (68%), 110/142 (77%), 102/131 (77%), and 99/128 (77%) patients at V1–V4, respectively. Number of patients in corticosteroid-free quiescence increased from 51/147 (35%; V1) to 67/128 (52%; V4; p<0.05). Proportion of patients with maintained response increased from 89/141 (63%; V2) to 92/121 (76%; V4; p<0.05) and proportion of patients with flare decreased from 25/145 (17%; V1) to 13/128 (10%; V4; p=0.092). Workability and visual function improved throughout the study. Proportion of patients with medical visits for uveitis decreased from 132/149 (89%; V0) to 27/127 (21%; V4). No new safety signals were observed.

Conclusion These results demonstrated adalimumab effectiveness in improving quality of life while reducing economic burden of active NIIPPU.

WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC

- Clinical studies found that adalimumab is effective and safe in patients with non-infectious intermediate, posterior, and panuveitis (NIIPPU).

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS

- This is the first study to date to demonstrate real-life effectiveness of adalimumab in patients with active NIIPPU. Adalimumab improved inflammation, quality of life, and workability, as well as reduced the economic burden of NIIPPU.

HOW THIS STUDY MIGHT AFFECT RESEARCH, PRACTICE OR POLICY

- This real-world study assessed effectiveness of adalimumab in a large international cohort of patients; the results of this study suggest that adalimumab can be safely and effectively used in a clinical practice.

INTRODUCTION

Non-infectious uveitis is an immune-mediated intraocular inflammatory disease that can lead to vision impairment and blindness.1,2 Uveitis can have a substantial economic burden as a result of visual impairment and associated loss of productivity.2 Non-infectious intermediate, posterior, and panuveitis (NIIPPU) was associated with greater annual direct healthcare resource utilisation (HRU), higher healthcare costs, and greater risk of workability loss compared with a matched population without uveitis.3 Corticosteroids are typically the first-line of therapy for controlling ocular inflammation; however, prolonged corticosteroid use can lead to ocular and systemic adverse events (AEs).4,5 After achieving treatment response, the recommendation is to taper and, if possible, discontinue corticosteroids.5 In clinical practice, therapeutic options for NIIPPU management now include corticosteroid-sparing immunosuppressants such as non-biologic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDS; eg, azathioprine, methotrexate) that can be used to supplement corticosteroid therapy.4,6,7 Adalimumab (Humira, AbbVie, North Chicago, Illinois, USA), a monoclonal antibody that targets tumour necrosis factor-α (TNFα) as a biologic DMARD, has been approved for the treatment of NIIPPU.8 Efficacy and safety of adalimumab in patients with active or inactive NIIPPU was demonstrated in the VISUAL I and II clinical trials and the VISUAL III open-label extension study.9–12 The VISUAL III study suggested that adalimumab can provide long-term control of NIIPPU.11,12 The goals of uveitis therapy are to suppress inflammation and achieve quiescence, prevent flares, and maintain good visual function.13 There are limited data available on the effects of adalimumab on NIIPPU in routine clinical settings using...
quiescence as a primary endpoint. Additionally, better understanding of real-world disease characteristics, aetiologic origin, and underlying systemic diseases are needed.

This study evaluated real-life effectiveness of adalimumab in patients with active NIIPPU despite corticosteroid therapy. Disease characteristics and effects of adalimumab on treatment response (quiescence), health-related quality of life, workability, and HRU in real-world settings were assessed.

METHODS

Study design and patients

HOPE (impact of adalimumab therapy on ocular inflammation, selected HRU and patient reported outcomes in patients with active NIIPPU in routine clinical practice; NCT03155243) was a 12-month, postmarketing, prospective, observational study at 24 sites in 12 countries (online supplemental table 1). Patient enrolment was from 20 June 2017 to 24 February 2020, with database lock on 20 April 2020. Included in the study were patients aged ≥18 years with active NIIPPU. Uveitis was classified according to the Standardization of Uveitis Nomenclature (SUN). The decision to treat with adalimumab was made before any decision to approach a patient to participate in this study. Excluded were patients who could not be treated with adalimumab per local prescription guidelines, had prior adalimumab treatment, participated in other clinical studies, or were unwilling or unable to complete patient-reported questionnaires. Patient visits included baseline (V0) and follow-up visits over 12 months at 3-month intervals (V1, V2, V3, and V4). Patient sociodemographic data and NIIPPU-specific medical history were collected at V0. In accordance with the approved adalimumab label, screening for active or latent tuberculosis (TB) was recommended for all patients. Screening for demyelinating disease (medical history and/or neurological examination) was recommended for all patients with intermediate uveitis before initiating adalimumab treatment.

Efficacy assessments

The primary endpoint of this study was the proportion of patients achieving quiescence (AC cells grade≤0.5+ on slit lamp examination according to the SUN Working Group criteria14 and VH grade≤0.5+ as described in the National Eye Institute label, 8 screening for active or latent tuberculosis (TB) grade≥2+, or VH grade≥2+ in ≥1 eye and no new active chorioretinal inflammatory lesions) at any of the follow-up visits.

Secondary endpoints included the proportion of patients achieving quiescence at each visit separately; the proportion of patients who maintained response (quiescence achieved at prior visit and no flare at current visit) at any follow-up visit and at each visit separately; the proportion of patients with sustained maintained response (defined as quiescence achieved at all respective prior visits and no flare at current visit) at each visit separately; and the proportion of patients with flares (AC cells grade≥2+, or VH grade≥2+ in ≥1 eye and new active inflammatory lesions) at any follow-up visit. Proportions of patients with corticosteroid-free quiescence (ie, not receiving any type of systemic or local corticosteroids when quiescence was observed) were assessed at all visits. Evaluations were assessed for both eyes at all follow-up visits and included change in central retinal thickness (CRT) measured by optical coherence tomography, best corrected visual acuity (BCVA), and intraocular pressure.

Patient-reported outcomes were assessed at V0, V2 (6 months), and V4 (12 months). Effects on workability were evaluated using Work Productivity and Activity Impairment–Uveitis (WPAI-UV) scores. Absenteeism, presenteeism, and daily activity impairment were assessed with the WPAI-UV questionnaire. Visual function was assessed with the National Eye Institute 25-Item Visual Function Questionnaire (VFQ-25) using 12 subscale scores and composite scores.

HRU assessment at V0 included visits for uveitis in the preceding 6 months. These data were evaluated at V2–V4 by medical visits for uveitis since the last visit. Visits to a healthcare professional, emergency room visits and hospital admissions were included in the analysis. Cumulative healthcare visits summarised the number of visits to healthcare professionals, number of emergency room visits, and the number of hospital admissions.

Changes in concomitant medication and immunosuppressant load were assessed at each visit. Serious AEs (SAEs), AEs of special interest (AESI), pregnancies, and product complaints were collected.

Analysis methods

The full analysis set (FAS) included all patients enrolled who fulfilled the patient selection criteria and had data for ≥1 follow-up visit. Data were analysed descriptively. Two-sided 95% CIs were calculated where appropriate. For quiescence and flare variables, as observed in the analysis in FAS patients, valid data was used without imputation. For patient-reported outcomes, last observation carried forward (LOCF) analysis was carried out for missing values at V4. P values were calculated using t-test or χ² test.

RESULTS

Patient disposition and baseline characteristics

Of 155 patients enrolled, 149 patients were included in the FAS and 106 completed the study with no adalimumab discontinuations. FAS patients were assessed at V0 (n=149), V1 (n=147), V2 (n=143), V3 (n=134), and V4 (n=128). During the follow-up period, 21/149 (14%) of FAS patients discontinued the study. Reasons for discontinuation were SAEs (n=5), AESIs (n=3), lost to follow-up (n=8), investigator decision (n=10), and patients request (n=8); online supplemental figure 1); some patients had multiple reasons for discontinuation. Mean age at baseline was 42.3 years; 93/149 (62%) of patients were women, and 120/149 (81%) were white (table 1). Most patients were working (89/149; 60%), and 74 of those were working full-time; 26/149 (17%) of patients were unemployed but seeking work or a homemaker, 13/149 (9%) were on sick leave, and 11/149 (7%) were unemployed due to disability (table 1). Of 13 patients on sick leave, 8 were on leave because of NIIPPU. Most patients had been diagnosed with NIIPPU for ≥3 years before study inclusion. After first onset of symptoms, it was an average of 4.3 months until NIIPPU diagnosis and an additional 2.3 months until initiation with any NIIPPU-induced treatment.

The NIIPPU aetiology was idiopathic in 75/149 (50%) of patients. In 119/149 patients, both eyes were affected (80%). Panuveitis was the most common anatomical NIIPPU type (64/149; 43%). Patients experienced an average of 2.2 flares in the 12 months before study inclusion. The majority of patients experienced prior ocular complications (96/149; 64%); most common was macular oedema; (62/149; 42%; table 1). Furthermore, 119/149 (80%) patients reported ocular complications at the time of study inclusion; most common was macular oedema (80/149; 54%; table 1).

Mean±SD time from V0 to first adalimumab administration was 8±26 days and mean duration of adalimumab treatment
was 216±93 days. Of patients screened for TB, 8/144 (6%) were quantiferon positive; 7 of those completed TB prophylaxis ≥4 weeks before adalimumab initiation.

**Effectiveness**

The primary endpoint, quiescence, was achieved by 129/141 patients (91%) with assessment data at any of the follow-up visits (figure 1A). Most patients achieved quiescence at each visit; the proportion of patients who achieved quiescence was 99/145 (68%) at V1, 110/145 (77%) at V2, 102/131 (78%) at V3, and 99/128 (77%); figure 1B) at V4. Overall, the proportion of patients who achieved corticosteroid-free quiescence increased from 51/147 (35%) at V1 to 67/128 (52%) at V4; p<0.05. Of those who achieved quiescence, the proportion of FAS patients with available data who were corticosteroid-free changed from 51/99 at V1 (52%) to 67/99 (68%; figure 1C) at V4.

Response was maintained in 115/138 (83%) of patients at any of the follow-up visits (figure 2A); the proportion of patients with maintained response increased from 89/141 (63%) at V2 to 92/121 (76%; figure 2B) at V4; p<0.05. Sustained maintained response (quiescence achieved at all respective prior visits and no flare at the current visit) was reported in 89/141 (63%) at V2, 77/129 (60%) at V3, and 68/121 (56%) at V4. Flares occurred in 41/127 (32%) patients at any of the follow-up visits (figure 2C); the proportion of patients who had a flare decreased from 25/145 (17%) at V1 to 13/128 (10%) at V4 (p=0.092; figure 2D).

Mean±SD CRT decreased from 307±125 µm at V0 to 289±109 µm at V4 in the right eye (mean change, 11±145; p=0.47) and 307±108 µm at V0 to 281±64 µm at V4 in the left eye (mean change, 33±88; p=0.0007). BCVA remained stable (mean worsening of 0.7 logMAR at V0 to 0.8 logMAR at V4).

**Patient-reported outcomes and HRU**

Patient-reported visual function improved at V4 compared with V0 (online supplemental figure 2). For VFQ-25, the median overall composite score increased from 72.5 points (IQR, 55.8–89.1) at V0 to 88.2 points (IQR, 66.6–95.1) at V4. Median change in overall VFQ-25 score vs baseline was 3.3 (IQR, 0.4–13.4) at V2 and 4.7 (IQR, 0.4–14.4) at V4-LOCF (p<0.0001).

Workability parameters improved in all categories at V4 vs V0, including total activity impairment, total work productivity impairment, absenteeism, and presenteeism (figure 3A). The median change in total activity impairment compared with baseline was 0 (IQR, −30.0 to 0.0) at V2 and −10 (IQR, −40.0 to 0) at V4. The proportion of patients with medical visits for uveitis in the preceding 6 months or since the last visit decreased from V0 to V4 (figure 3B). During the 6-month period before V0, the proportion of patients with medical visits for uveitis was

---

**Table 1** Baseline demographics and patient characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Full analysis set (N=149)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean±SD, y</td>
<td>42.3±15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sex, n (%)</td>
<td>93 (62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race, n (%)</td>
<td>White 120 (81), Asian 2 (1), Black 1 (0.7), Other 26 (17)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1 Continued**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Full analysis set (N=149)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employment status, n (%)</td>
<td>Working for payment 89 (60), Unemployed but seeking work or homemaker 26 (17), Unemployed due to disability 11 (7), Retired 16 (11), Sick leave 13 (9), Student 11 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±SD number of flares in the past 12 months</td>
<td>2.2±1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic NIIPPU-induced therapy, n (%)</td>
<td>Any 78 (52), Prednisone/methylprednisone 64 (43), Methotrexate 17 (11), Azathioprine 12 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest daily prednisone or equivalent dose, mg</td>
<td>Median (25% quartile, 75% quartile) 48.0 (16, 60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medically assessed ocular activity at each visit</td>
<td>Any 149 (100), Idiopathic 75 (50), Behçet syndrome 25 (17), Vogt-Koyanagi-Harada 13 (9), Sarcoid 11 (7), Birdshot chorioretinopathy 6 (4), Other 23 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past ocular complications, n (%)</td>
<td>Any† 96 (64), Macular oedema 62 (42), Cataract 46 (31), Vision loss 36 (24), Glaucoma 29 (19), Retinal detachment 6 (4), Other 26 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocular complications at time of study inclusion, n (%)</td>
<td>Any‡ 119 (80), Macular oedema 80 (54), Vision loss 40 (27), Cataract 38 (26), Glaucoma 27 (18), Retinal detachment 6 (4), Other 35 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean central retinal thickness±SD, µm</td>
<td>Left eye (n=135) 306.9±107.7, Right eye (n=131) 306.9±124.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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132/149 (89%). At V4, 27/127 patients (21%) had any visits for uveitis since the last study visit. Emergency room visits for uveitis decreased from 35/132 (27%) before V0 to 0% at V4. Furthermore, hospital admission among patients with a visit for uveitis was 14/132 (11%) before V0; none were admitted to the hospital during V1–V4 follow-up period (figure 3B).

Concomitant therapy
The most common concomitant systemic therapies were prednisone, methotrexate, and azathioprine. The proportion of patients receiving ≤7.5 mg/day prednisone equivalent was 95/149 (64%) at V0 and 99/128 (77%) at V4. Some patients with Vogt-Koyanagi-Harada, sarcoid, Behçet syndrome, or serpiginous chorioretinopathy required high supplementary corticosteroid doses.

Three outliers received disproportionately high corticosteroid doses. Two patients received 1250 mg/day prednisone equivalent as highest maintenance dose: one patient received it as a pulse therapy at V1, and one patient received it for an unknown duration. One of these patients had Behçet syndrome, cataract, and macular oedema, and the other had Vogt-Koyanagi-Harada syndrome and vision loss at V0. One other patient with Vogt-Koyanagi-Harada and macular oedema received 312.5 mg/day prednisone equivalent as highest maintenance dose. When these three patients were excluded from analysis, mean prednisone equivalent dose decreased from 18.5 mg/day at V0 to 10.6 mg/day at V4 (p=0.004).

The proportion of patients receiving local corticosteroids, administered as injections, intravitreal implants (dexamethasone implants were used in four patients), or topical eye drops, changed from 47/149 (32%) at V0 to 31/128 (24%) at V4. The most common NIIPPU-indicated local corticosteroids were prednisone and dexamethasone.

Safety
In FAS patients, 13/149 had SAEs (9%; table 2). When analysed by system organ class, most common AEs were infections (4/149; 3%) and eye disorders (3/149; 2%). All pregnant patients (3/149; 2%) discontinued adalimumab per investigator decision despite being permissible per adalimumab label. The majority of reportable events were non-life-threatening and were not considered to have a causal relationship to adalimumab. When analysed by frequency distribution based on individual events, most reportable events were resolved or resolving (21/27; 78%) and were mild-to-moderate in severity (23/27; 85%).

DISCUSSION
This study assessed adalimumab impact in real-life clinical practice and provided comprehensive and detailed characteristics of an international patient population with NIIPPU receiving adalimumab. To date, this is the only real-world prospective study to address adalimumab impact on quality of life, workability, and HRU. The patient population was diverse and with complex aetiology, including a substantial number of patients with Behçet

Figure 1  Proportion of patients who achieved quiescence* at any visit during follow-up (A) and at separate follow-up visits (B). Proportion of patients in quiescence who were corticosteroid-free at separate follow-up visits (C). Visit schedule included baseline visit (V0) and four follow-up visits over 12 months at 3-month intervals (V1, V2, V3, and V4). *No new active chorioretinal inflammatory lesions and AC cells ≤0.5+ and VH grade≤0.5+ in both eyes. AC, anterior chamber; VH, vitreous haze.
disease, Vogt-Koyanagi-Harada’s, and birdshot chorioretinopathy, which may have increased the challenge of treatment. The mean time between the first onset of symptoms and treatment initiation was 7 months (4.5 months between the onset of symptoms and diagnosis and 2.5 months between diagnosis and treatment), indicating potentially limited access to uveitis specialists. Discontinuation rate in this study (21/149; 14% of FAS patients) is consistent with the discontinuation rates in the VISUAL I study, where 18/110 (16%) patients receiving adalimumab discontinued the study during the follow-up period of 52 weeks.9

Uveitis-specific endpoints used to assess treatment efficacy can vary among studies. The VISUAL I and II studies reported that adalimumab therapy was associated with lower risk of uveitis recurrence or visual acuity loss compared with placebo during and after the mandatory corticosteroid taper following the initial corticosteroid burst.9 10 In patients with active uveitis at study entry, the VISUAL III open-label study assessing long-term adalimumab effects reported an increase in proportion of patients in quiescence from 8% (19/240) at baseline to 80% (98/123) at week 150.11 A small real-world prospective cohort study in patients with non-infectious uveitis (n=43) reported that 91% of patients receiving TNFα inhibitors achieved sustained remission (anterior chamber inflammation and vitreous haze scores of ≤0.5+ on two successive visits, absence of retinal vasculitis, or worsening cystoid macular oedema).18 Another real-world retrospective study (n=106) reported that 84% of patients receiving adalimumab achieved ocular control (absence of ocular flare in both eyes and reduction of prednisone-equivalent dose to ≤10 mg/day or halving the initial steroid dose; ocular flare: AC cells grade or VH grade ≥1+, or active chorioretinal lesions, inflammatory retinal vascular lesions, or optic nerve inflammation) within 6 months.19

Although differences in study design and methodology make it difficult to compare outcomes, the association of TNFα inhibitors with remission of ocular inflammation in patients with non-infectious uveitis is consistent with the results of the current study. The current study did not have a mandatory corticosteroid burst or taper. Quiescence was achieved by 129/141 (92%) of patients at any of the four visits during the 12-month follow-up; most patients (68%–78%) achieved quiescence at individual follow-up visits through month 12 based on ‘as observed’ analysis, a less conservative approach to report quiescence results. Using a post hoc intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis, the quiescence rates were 99/149 (66%), 110/149 (74%), 102/149 (68%), and 99/149 (66%) at V1, V2, V3, and V4, respectively, demonstrating a stable response across all visits. Response was maintained in 115/138 (83%) of patients at any of the follow-up visits, and sustained response was maintained by 68/121 (56%) of patients at V4. Of note, quiescence rates in the VISUAL I study ITT analysis study decreased from ~50% at week 12 to ~20% at week 5220; however, in the steroid tapering was mandatory by week 15 in the VISUAL I randomised clinical trial.

Based on a claims analysis in the USA, patients with NIIPPU had 2.9 times higher medical costs and 4.7 times higher prescription drug costs compared with matched controls, underscoring the economic burden of disease. Medically related absenteeism and work loss were significantly greater in patients with NIIPPU compared with matched controls (p<0.0001).3

Figure 2 Proportion of patients who maintained response* at any visit during the follow-up (A) and at separate follow-up visits (B) and proportion of patients who had flare† at any visit (C) and at separate follow-up visits (D). *Quiescence achieved at prior visit and no flare at current visit. †New active inflammatory lesions, AC cell grade≥2+, or VH grade≥2+ in ≥1 eye. AC, anterior chamber; VH, vitreous haze.
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In this real-world analysis of patients with NIIPPU, adalimumab was associated with a decrease in HRU and improved patient-reported outcomes, including visual function and workability. At V4, only 27/127 (21%) of patients had a medical visit for uveitis since their last study visit, compared with 132/149 (89%) of patients who had a medical visit for uveitis during the 6 months before V0. There was a decrease in emergency room visits for uveitis (0/27 at V4 vs 35/132 (27%) before V0) and hospital admission among patients with a visit for uveitis (0/27 at V4 vs 14/132 (11%) before V0). Adalimumab was associated with improvements in overall VFQ-25 scores and WPAI-UV scores for total activity impairment, work productivity impairment, absenteeism, and presenteeism.

Adalimumab has been reported to reduce corticosteroid burden in patients with non-infectious uveitis.11 12 19 21–23 In this study, the proportion of patients in quiescence who were corticosteroid-free improved from 51/99 at V1 (52%) to 67/99 at V4 (68%). Mean highest maintenance corticosteroid dose decreased from 28.8 mg/day (V0) to 20.3 mg/day (V4). The relatively high mean corticosteroid dose was driven by steroid-dependent patients (27/106), reflecting a higher real-world corticosteroid dosage than in controlled clinical trials. Some investigators may have been reluctant to taper corticosteroids in patients based on their medical condition. When three patients

Table 2  Summary of reportable events

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients, n (%)</th>
<th>Full analysis set (N=149)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SAE</td>
<td>13 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AESI</td>
<td>1 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any product complaints</td>
<td>2 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System organ class</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infections*</td>
<td>4 (2.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye disorder</td>
<td>3 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy, puerperium, and perinatal conditions</td>
<td>3 (2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*One event each of appendicitis, atypical pneumonia, meningitis, orchitis, sepsis, and urinary tract infection.
†One event each of eye inflammation and ocular hypertension and two events of glaucoma.
AESI, adverse event of special interest; SAE, serious adverse event.
requiring higher corticosteroids were excluded, mean prednisone equivalent dose decreased from 18.5 mg/day (V0) to 10.6 mg/day (V4). Another multicentre study evaluating real-world treatment patterns in 580 patients with non-infectious uveitis reported that 62% (360/580) received systemic corticosteroids; mean dose was 38–46 mg/day of prednisone equivalent.24 Despite progress in uveitis treatment, real-world scenarios show the continued use of long-term high-dose corticosteroids, highlighting the unmet needs in disease management.

Limited real-world studies are available for patients with non-infectious uveitis receiving adalimumab (online supplemental table 2). Although a few studies assessed effects of adalimumab on corticosteroid burden,19 21 22 25 26 only the current prospective study addressed effects of adalimumab on quality of life, HRU, and workability. Unlike the retrospective real-world studies,20 21 22 25 26 this prospective study included geographically diverse population from 12 countries.

Limitations of this study include an observational non-controlled design and a potential bias associated with self-reported outcomes. VFAQ-25 and WPAI-UV are prone to recall, apprehension, and self-presentation bias. HRU assessments were captured by physicians based on patients’ reports and may be prone to memory bias. Furthermore, attrition bias may have impact on the effectiveness results (LOCF was used for imputing missing assessments at V4 for patient-reported outcomes). Patients were recruited from large urban academic sites; bias toward a population with more severe or chronic uveitis is possible. Approved NIIPPU therapies other than corticosteroids may have been limited in some regions. Given the observational nature of this study, no steroid tapering schedule was prescribed by the protocol and data on the type and use of corticosteroids to support the exploratory endpoint (eg, impact of adalimumab on corticosteroid use) were collected without the granular details of the dosing schedule. Longer follow-up is needed to further evaluate effects of adalimumab on corticosteroid burden. Although 99/128 (77%) of our patients achieved quiescence at V4 while on low dose (≤7.5 mg/day) corticosteroids, future explanatory and adequately powered studies are needed to further address the corticosteroid-sparing effects of adalimumab. Strengths of this study include the prospective design and a large international cohort of patients with active uveitis (predominantly panuveitis) allowing for a comprehensive overview of patient characteristics, socio-economic aspects, and the effects of adalimumab in a real-world setting.

Patients with active NIIPPU who received adalimumab in routine clinical practice showed improvement in ocular inflammation, quality of life, workability, and HRU; most achieved quiescence during the 12-month follow-up. To date, these results are the first to demonstrate adalimumab effectiveness in improving quality of life while reducing the economic burden of the disease.
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### Patients enrolled

| N=155 |

### Full analysis set, V0

- n=149
- Discontinued adalimumab, n=3
- AESI, n=2
- Investigator decision, n=1

### Full analysis set, V1

- n=147
- Discontinued adalimumab, n=6
- AE, n=2
- Lost to follow-up, n=1
- Investigator decision, n=1
- Patient request, n=1
- Missing, n=1

### Full analysis set, V2

- n=143
- Discontinued adalimumab, n=10
- AE, n=2
- Lost to follow-up, n=2
- Investigator decision, n=4
- Patient request, n=2

### Full analysis set, V3

- n=134
- Discontinued adalimumab, n=16
- AE, n=2
- AESI, n=1
- Lost to follow-up, n=5
- Investigator decision, n=4
- Patient request, n=4

### Full analysis set, V4

- n=128
- Discontinued adalimumab, n=16
- AE, n=2
- AESI, n=1
- Lost to follow-up, n=5
- Investigator decision, n=4
- Patient request, n=4
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**Supplementary Table 1.** Number of patients recruited from specific countries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Patients, n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuwait</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Arab Emirates</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lebanon</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Supplementary Table 2. Real-world studies of adalimumab in patients with noninfectious uveitis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study name</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Follow up</th>
<th>Primary endpoint results</th>
<th>Secondary endpoint results</th>
<th>Safety</th>
<th>QoL included</th>
<th>HRU included</th>
<th>Steroid dose decreased</th>
<th>Strengths</th>
<th>Limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| HOPE (International, 12 countries) | Tang Lee Say BMJ Open Ophthalmol 2021[20] | 149 | 1 year | 91% of pts achieved quiescence at any visit | • 83% of pts maintained response (any visit)  
• 32% of pts had flares (any visit)  
• Median VFQ-25 improved from 72.5 (V0) to 88.2 (V4)  
• Reduced hospital and ER visits at V4 vs V0  
• Improved WPAI-UV scores at V4 vs V0  
• Steroid daily dose decreased from 18.5 mg (V0) to 10.6 mg (V4) | • Common AEs: infection and eye disorders  
• Most events were mild to moderate in severity | Yes | Yes | Yes | • Prospective design  
• Large international cohort  
• Real-world settings | • Observational design  
• Selection and attrition bias  
• Potential differences in access to approved NIIPPU therapies in different regions |
| Retrospective medical record chart review (single-site, Australia) | | 46 | 10 years (4.5 years mean follow-up) | 17% of pts had a treatment failure on adalimumab  
Mean time to treatment failure was 460 days | • Steroid dose reduced to ≤7.5 mg/day (24% pts) or stopped (74%) | No | No | Yes | • Real-world clinical data | • Small sample size  
• Retrospective design |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Type</th>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Key Findings</th>
<th>AE Findings</th>
<th>Adalimumab Use</th>
<th>Sample Size Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Retrospective study to assess uveitis control in real-world settings (Korea)</td>
<td>Park Yonsei Med J 2021[24]</td>
<td>23 eyes (14 pts)</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>AC grade and VH grade improved at 1 year ($P&lt;0.05$)</td>
<td>No serious AEs</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Central macular thickness was significantly reduced at 1 year ($P&lt;0.05$)</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>39% pts discontinued adalimumab</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Drug retention rate was 54% at 5 y</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Median drug retention time was 69 months.</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registry-based observational study (multiple sites, Spain)</td>
<td>Lloren Ophthalmol 2020[25]</td>
<td>392 eyes</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>39% pts discontinued adalimumab</td>
<td>8.7% of pts experienced AEs leading to discontinuation (most common were infections, 2.6%))</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Drug retention rate was 54% at 5 y</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Median drug retention time was 69 months.</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retrospective medical chart review (3 referral centers, Italy)</td>
<td>Bitossi Mediators Inflamm 2019[19]</td>
<td>106 eyes (75 pts)</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>Ocular control achieved in 83% of patients at 1 year</td>
<td>Visual acuity was stable or improved</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Median daily steroid dose was 10 mg at baseline and 2.5 mg at 1 year.</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Median daily steroid dose was 10 mg at baseline and 2.5 mg at 1 year.</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Median drug retention time was 69 months.</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Median drug retention time was 69 months.</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retrospective chart review (multicenter)</td>
<td>Lee Br J Ophthalmol 2018[21]</td>
<td>37 eyes (22 pts)</td>
<td>18 months</td>
<td>Daily steroids reduced to ≤10 mg in 75% pts at 6 wks</td>
<td>7 pts had mild to moderate AEs</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90% of eyes had 2-step improvement in AC grade at 6 months</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Improvement in VH grade in 60% of eyes</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Improvement in VH grade in 60% of eyes</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AC=anterior chamber; AE=adverse events; HRU=health resource utilization; QoL=quality of life, TEAE=treatment emergent adverse events; pts=patients; VFQ=visual function questionnaire; VH=vitreous haze, wk=week.